This work is on a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC DY 4.0) license, <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>. Access to this work was provided by the University of Maryland, Baltimore County (UMBC) ScholarWorks@UMBC digital repository on the Maryland Shared Open Access (MD-SOAR) platform.

Please provide feedback

Please support the ScholarWorks@UMBC repository by emailing <u>scholarworks-group@umbc.edu</u> and telling us what having access to this work means to you and why it's important to you. Thank you.

Branch point control at malonyl-CoA node: A computational framework to uncover the design principles of an ideal genetic-metabolic switch

Peng Xu

PII: S2214-0301(19)30049-5

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mec.2020.e00127

Reference: MEC 127

To appear in: Metabolic Engineering Communications

Received Date: 14 October 2019

Revised Date: 1 April 2020

Accepted Date: 4 April 2020

Please cite this article as: Xu, P., Branch point control at malonyl-CoA node: A computational framework to uncover the design principles of an ideal genetic-metabolic switch, *Metabolic Engineering Communications* (2020), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mec.2020.e00127.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 The Author. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of International Metabolic Engineering Society.



Author contributions

PX conceptualized the topic, performed the simulation and wrote the manuscript.

Journal Pression

1	
2	
3	Branch point control at malonyl-CoA node: A computational framework
4	to uncover the design principles of an ideal genetic-metabolic switch
5	Peng Xu*
6	
7	
8	
9	<u> </u>
10	Department of Chemical, Biochemical and Environmental Engineering, University of Maryland
11	Baltimore County, Baltimore, MD 21250
12	* Corresponding author, Tel: +1(410)-455-2474; fax: +1(410)-455-1049. E-mail address:
13	pengxu@umbc.edu (PX)

14 Abstract

Living organism is an intelligent system coded by hierarchically-organized information to 15 perform precisely-controlled biological functions. Biophysical models are important tools to 16 uncover the design rules underlying complex genetic-metabolic circuit interactions. Based on a 17 previously engineered synthetic malonyl-CoA switch (Xu et al, PNAS 2014), we have 18 formulated nine differential equations to unravel the design principles underlying an ideal 19 metabolic switch to improve fatty acids production in E. coli. By interrogating the 20 physiologically accessible parameter space, we have determined the optimal controller 21 22 architecture to configure both the metabolic source pathway and metabolic sink pathway. We determined that low protein degradation rate, medium strength of metabolic inhibitory constant, 23 high metabolic source pathway induction rate, strong binding affinity of the transcriptional 24 activator toward the metabolic source pathway, weak binding affinity of the transcriptional 25 repressor toward the metabolic sink pathway, and a strong cooperative interaction of 26 transcriptional repressor toward metabolic sink pathway benefit the accumulation of the target 27 28 molecule (fatty acids). The target molecule (fatty acid) production is increased from 50% to 10folds upon application of the autonomous metabolic switch. With strong metabolic inhibitory 29 30 constant, the system displays multiple steady states. Stable oscillation of metabolic intermediate is the driving force to allow the system deviate from its equilibrium state and permits 31 bidirectional ON-OFF gene expression control, which autonomously compensates enzyme level 32 for both the metabolic source and metabolic sink pathways. The computational framework may 33 facilitate us to design and engineer predictable genetic-metabolic switches, quest for the optimal 34 35 controller architecture of the metabolic source/sink pathways, as well as leverage autonomous oscillation as a powerful tool to engineer cell function. 36

Key words: autonomous oscillation, metabolic switches, biophysical models, controller
architecture, metabolic engineering, synthetic biology

39

40 Introduction

In recent years, there is an influx of applying dynamic control theory to optimize metabolic 41 42 pathways for production of various chemicals (Venayak, Anesiadis et al. 2015, Xu 2018, Xia, Ling et al. 2019). The marriage of intelligent control with synthetic biology have fruited a large 43 volume of experimental and computational works that allow us to embrace a "dynamic" 44 perspective to engineer cell metabolism (Zhang, Carothers et al. 2012, Xu, Li et al. 2014, Gupta, 45 Reizman et al. 2017). The notion of "metabolic homeostasis" is a result of the dynamic interplay 46 of the various biomolecules inside the cell (Xu 2018, Lv, Qian et al. 2019). Take the glycolytic 47 pathway as an example, oscillating metabolic flux could arise due to the feedback inhibition of 48 the phosphofructokinase by cellular energy levels (specifically, ATP, ADP and AMP) (Sel'kov 49 1968, Bier, Bakker et al. 2000, Chandra, Buzi et al. 2011, Gustavsson, van Niekerk et al. 2014). 50 Another classical example is the Lac operon, hysteresis and multiple steady states could arise 51 due to the positive feedback loop of the intake of the inducer (IPTG or lactose) by lactose 52 53 permease encoded by LacY (Yildirim and Mackey 2003, Santillán, Mackey et al. 2007, 54 Stamatakis and Mantzaris 2009). Inspired by this phenomena, early synthetic biology effort is spent extensively on constructing artificial genetic circuits by mimicking the electrical 55 counterparts of the physical word (Andrianantoandro, Basu et al. 2006). Combing with 56 mathematical modeling, a collection of classical work has emerged in the early 2000s, including 57 the well-known toggle switch (CHEN and BAILEY 1994, Gardner, Cantor et al. 2000), 58 repressilator (Elowitz and Leibler 2000) and metabolator (Fung, Wong et al. 2005) et al. These 59 seminal works have encouraged us to employ biophysical models to quantitatively unravel and 60 61 test the complicated molecular interactions underlying many perplexing biological problems, which marks the birth of synthetic biology. 62

With about one decade, the post-term impact of synthetic biology starts yielding fruits in the 63 metabolic engineering field (Keasling 2010). From a control perspective, metabolic enzyme 64 could be the "actuator" that performs chemical conversion (i.e. kinase phosphorylation, 65 chromatin deacetylation) or the "transducer" that generates secondary messenger (i.e. cAMP or 66 acetyl-CoA) (Smolke and Silver 2011, Michener, Thodey et al. 2012). Moving beyond the logic 67 circuits engineering (AND, OR, NOT, NOR gates et al) (Tamsir, Tabor et al. 2011, Wang, 68 69 Kitney et al. 2011, Moon, Lou et al. 2012), metabolic engineers have been able to harness various regulatory mechanisms, including repression (Liu, Xiao et al. 2015), activation (Doong, 70

71 Gupta et al. 2018), attenuation (Benzinger and Khammash 2018) or RNA silencing (Yang, Lin et al. 2018), to rewire carbon flux and dynamically control cell metabolism. A number of control 72 73 architectures (Oyarzún and Stan 2013, Liu, Xiao et al. 2015, Oyarzún and Chaves 2015, Venayak, Anesiadis et al. 2015, Chaves and Oyarzún 2019) have emerged and been applied to 74 relieve metabolic burden (Ceroni, Boo et al. 2018), eliminate intermediate toxicity (Xu, Li et al. 75 2014), decouple cell growth from metabolite production (Bothfeld, Kapov et al. 2017, Doong, 76 Gupta et al. 2018), eliminate metabolic heterogeneity (Xiao, Bowen et al. 2016, Rugbjerg, 77 Myling-Petersen et al. 2018, Rugbjerg, Sarup-Lytzen et al. 2018, Wang and Dunlop 2019). The 78 interdisciplinary connection among control theory, genetic principles, ecological and evolutional 79 rules open a new venue for us to design and engineer precisely controlled genetic-metabolic 80 circuits to reprogram biological functions (Calles, Goñi-Moreno et al. 2019). Engineering such 81 decision-making functions to rewire the genetic (information) flow to redirect/optimize 82 metabolic flux will enable us to deliver intelligent microbes for a broad range of applications, 83 84 ranging from biocomputation, bioremediation, biosensing, biosynthesis to therapeutics (Nikel, Chavarría et al. 2016, Gao, Xu et al. 2019, Grozinger, Amos et al. 2019). 85

One of the essential tasks for metabolic engineers is to dynamically allocate carbon flux, so that 86 the limited cellular resources could be harnessed to maximize the production of the target 87 molecules (Xu, Bhan et al. 2013, Wan, Marsafari et al. 2019). Considering that the cell's goal is 88 to proliferate, there is always a tradeoff or conflicts between cell growth and metabolite 89 overproduction. This will require us to equip the cells with various sensors to detect a broad 90 range of environmental cues, cellular stimuli or metabolite intermediates (Zhang, Jensen et al. 91 2015, Wan, Marsafari et al. 2019), in such a way the cell can autonomously adjust gene 92 expression or cell metabolism to compensate the loss or eliminate the surplus of enzyme activity. 93 To achieve this, a number of control architectures, including the incoherent feedforward loop 94 (Dunlop, Keasling et al. 2010, Harrison and Dunlop 2012), the invertor gate (Liu, Xiao et al. 95 2015), the metabolic toggle switch (Soma, Tsuruno et al. 2014) and the metabolic valve 96 97 (Solomon and Prather 2011), have been implemented to improve the cellular tolerance to biofuels, or improve chemical production. 98

One of the highly studied dynamic control system is centering around the malonyl-CoA node
(Xu, Li et al. 2014, Fehér, Libis et al. 2015, Albanesi and de Mendoza 2016, David, Nielsen et al.
2016). Malonyl-CoA is the essential metabolic building blocks for synthesizing advanced

102 biofuels (Xu, Gu et al. 2013), lipids (Qiao, Wasylenko et al. 2017, Xu, Qiao et al. 2017), polyketides (Zhou, Qiao et al. 2010, Liu, Marsafari et al. 2019), oleochemicals (Xu, Qiao et al. 103 104 2016), flavonoids (Xiu, Jang et al. 2017) and cannabinoids (Luo, Reiter et al. 2019) et al. High level of malonyl-CoA benefits the production of these metabolites (Yang, Kim et al. 2018) but 105 also inhibits cell growth (Xu, Li et al. 2014, Liu, Xiao et al. 2015). Up to date, the FapR-derived 106 malonyl-CoA sensor has been successfully applied to mammalian cell (Ellis and Wolfgang 2012), 107 E. coli (Xu, Wang et al. 2014, Yang, Kim et al. 2018) and yeast (Li, Si et al. 2015, David, 108 Nielsen et al. 2016). In particular, a recent development of the malonyl-CoA oscillator (Xu, Li et 109 al. 2014) has garnered significant attractions and allows us to study the optimal configurations of 110 the controller architecture (Fig. 1). By integrating genetic and metabolic circuits, we have been 111 able to experimentally construct and validate a malonyl-CoA oscillatory switch that was 112 engineered to improve fatty acids production in E. coli (Xu, Li et al. 2014). Experimentally, we 113 have engineered malonyl-CoA-responsive promoters that could be upregulated or down-114 115 regulated by FapR, and the activation or the repression could be abolished by malonyl-CoA. This 116 dual direction ON-OFF control mimics the amino acid feedforward and feedback regulation that are naturally occurring in many bacteria. 117

One essential question is how to effectively configure the regulatory architecture of the 118 metabolic source pathway and the metabolic sink pathway. To unravel the design principles 119 underlying the malonyl-CoA switch, we set about to establish a biophysical model (system of 120 ODE equations) and interrogated a broad range of parameter spaces, including the protein 121 degradation rate (D), malonyl-CoA inhibitory constant $(1/K_1)$ and malonyl-CoA source pathway 122 induction rate (β_2). We also determined the optimal regulatory architecture for both the malonyl-123 CoA source pathway (ACCase) and the malonyl-CoA sink pathway (FAS), defined by the FapR-124 UAS dissociation constant (K_4), FapR-fapO dissociation constant (K_3) as well as the FapR-fapO 125 Hill cooperativity coefficient (n). Our aim in this work is to understand how autonomous 126 oscillation may contribute to optimal metabolite (fatty acids) production in strain engineering. 127 128 The computational framework may facilitate us to design and engineer predictable geneticmetabolic switches, quest for the optimal controller architecture of the metabolic source/sink 129 pathways, as well as leverage autonomous oscillation as a powerful tool to engineer cell function. 130



Fig. 1. A malonyl-CoA switch to dynamically control fatty acids biosynthesis. (A) Autonomous 132 ON-OFF control of malonyl-CoA. FapR activates pGAP promoter and upregulates the 133 transcription of the malonyl-CoA source pathway (ACC) which generates malonyl-CoA; FapR 134 represses T7 promoter and shuts down the transcription of the malonyl-CoA sink pathway (FAS) 135 which consumes malonyl-CoA. The FapR bindings sites on the ACC operon is an upstream 136 137 activation sequence (UAS). The FapR binding sites on the FAS operon is the fapO operator. Malonyl-CoA is the effector molecule (ligand) that antagonizes the activity of FapR. (B) Four 138 possible genetic configurations of malonyl-CoA controller, which could be explored by changing 139 the sign of the Hill coefficients (n and p) listed in Eqn. 4 and Eqn. 5. The black arrow with red 140 cross indicates either transcriptional activation or repression. 141

142 Computational method and system equations

143 Assumptions to develop the system equations

To simplify the biochemical and genetic events, we made eight assumptions to extract the basics 144 of the genetic-metabolic circuits (Fig. 1): (a) We assume the number of DNA binding sites, 145 specifically, FapO and UAS, far exceeds the number of transcriptional factor FapR in the system. 146 Therefore, the repression rate of FAS or the activation rate of ACC are independent of the 147 number of FapO and UAS in the system. (b) Glycolytic pathway (9 reactions) could be lumped 148 into one single reaction to forming acetyl-CoA from glucose by PDH. (c) Fatty acids 149 150 biosynthesis could be lumped into one single reaction to forming fatty acids (FA) from malonyl-CoA by FAS. (d) Malonyl-CoA depletion rate due to the formation of malonyl-CoA-FapR 151 complex is negligible in the mass balance equation of malonyl-CoA (Eqn. 7). (e) The total 152

enzyme or FapR concentration are approximately equivalent to the free enzyme or free FapR 153 concentrations. (f) For non-regulated protein production (i.e. FapR and PDH), the production rate 154 155 is cell growth-associated, therefore the production rate is proportional to the cell growth rate. (g)For regulated protein production (i.e. FAS and ACC), the production rate consists of both leaky 156 expression (which is growth-associated) and regulated expression (which is non growth-157 associated) in the mass balance equations. (h) The cytosol is a homogenous and well-mixed 158 system without mass transfer or diffusion limitations, where D could be interpreted as the 159 dilution rate for CSTR or degradation constant for batch culture. 160

161 Formulation of the kinetic rate and mass balance equations

We formulated the kinetic rate models (Table 1) on the basis of Michaelis-Mention equation for 162 163 enzyme-substrate equations, Monod kinetics (Xu 2020) with metabolite (Malonyl-CoA) inhibition for cell growth, Hill-type equations for enzyme kinetics and metabolite-TF binding. 164 Specifically, Eqn. 1 describes the specific growth rate, which follows Monod growth with 165 glucose as limiting nutrients and malonyl-CoA as inhibitory factor; Eqn. 2 describes the mass 166 balance for cell growth; Eqn. 3 describes the growth-associated production of FapR and the 167 168 depletion of FapR due to the formation of FapR-Malonyl-CoA complex; Eqn. 4 describes the growth-associated production (leaky expression) of FAS and the regulated expression of FAS 169 170 repressed by FapR; Eqn. 5 describes the growth-associated production (leaky expression) of ACC and the regulated expression of ACC activated by FapR; Eqn. 6 describes the production 171 rate of fatty acids (FA) from malonyl-CoA; Eqn. 7 describes the mass balance for malonyl-CoA, 172 accounting for both the malonyl-CoA source (ACC) pathway and the malonyl-CoA sink (FAS) 173 pathway; Eqn. 8 describes the mass balance for acetyl-CoA, accounting for both the acetyl-CoA 174 source (PDH) pathway and the acetyl-CoA sink (ACC) pathway; Eqn. 9 describes the PDH 175 production rate which is proportional to the cell growth rate; and Eqn. 10 describes the mass 176 balance for glucose, accounting for the consumption rate due to cell growth and acetyl-CoA 177 production. For all the mass balance equations (Eqn. 2 to Eqn. 10), we also considered the 178 179 dilution or degradation terms. Biomass and cell concentration in the feeding stream of the system were designated as S_0 and X_0 . 180

Table 1. Equations used to define the autonomous oscillatory genetic-metabolic circuits.

Equation No.	Equations used in this work
--------------	-----------------------------

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}S(t) = D\left(S_0 - S(t)\right) - \frac{\mu X(t)}{Y_{\rm XS}} - \frac{k_4 C_{\rm PDH}(t) S(t)^u}{Y_{\rm PS2} \left(K_6^u + S(t)^u\right)}$$

182 Computational methods

Matlab R2017b was used as the computational package on a Windows 7 professional operation 183 system. The CPU processor is Intel Core i3-6100 with 3.70 GHz. The installed memory (RAM) 184 is 4.0 GHz. Matlab symbolic language package coupled with LaTex makeup language were used 185 186 to compile the equations (Table 1). ODE45 solver was used to simulate and predict the system behavior. Matlab plot function was used to output the solutions and graphs. Matlab codes will be 187 shared upon request. Biological parameters for Fig. 2 to Fig. 10 could be found in the 188 supplementary files. Most of the parameters were assigned on the basis of BioNumbers database 189 (Milo, Jorgensen et al. 2009). Jacobian matrices were evaluated according to a reported 190 191 numerical method (Auralius Manurung (2020). Calculate Jacobian of a function numerically at a given condition (https://www.github.com/auralius/numerical-jacobian)). 192

Initial states determine the final states for dynamic system. In this work, the initial conditions were taken on the basis of physiologically accessible dataset of biochemical systems. Most of the numbers were consistent with biochemical engineering textbooks, including Shuler & Kargi, Bioprocess engineering; and Blanch & Clark, Biochemical Engineering *et al.* These initial conditions come with SI unit and is provided in the SI file.

198 Results and Discussion

199 Effect of protein/metabolite degradation rate (dilution rate) on system dynamic behavior

To understand the system dynamics, we probed a number of parameter space to generate the dynamic pattern that meets our design and control criteria. A list of parameters could be found in the supplementary files. We first investigated how protein/metabolite degradation rate impacts the system dynamics (**Fig. 2**). For all the simulations, we used six species, including regulator protein FapR, fatty acid synthase (FAS), acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACCase), target product fatty acids (FA), intermediates malonyl-CoA (MalCoA) and acetyl-CoA (AcCoA), to represent the system.

207 Under the prescribed parameter conditions (supplementary files) with protein degradation rates ranging from 0.15 to 0.60 (the unit is inverse of time), we evaluated the trajectory of the 208 209 numerical solutions of the system ODE equations (Table 1). For relatively high degradation rate $(D \ge 0.2)$, we observed that the system solutions are approximately behaving like a damped 210 oscillator (Fig. 2). On the other hand, the low degradation rate (or longer residence time, i.e. D =211 0.15 in Fig. 2) allows the system to oscillate stably with fixed frequency and amplitude, leading 212 to the highest fatty acids production (Fig. 2). For example, fatty acids production at low protein 213 degradation rate (D = 0.15) is about 10-folds higher than the fatty acids production at high 214 protein degradation rate (D = 0.6). This is not counterintuitive as low degradation rate allows the 215 protein catalysts stay longer in the system (Gao, Hou et al. 2019). And the stable oscillation 216 indicates that the designed control scheme could perform alternating ON-OFF control of the 217 malonyl-CoA source pathway and malonyl-CoA sink pathway. Interestingly, the fatty acids 218 production pattern is closely related with the malonyl-CoA sink pathway (FAS), but doesn't 219 220 correlate well with the activity of the malonyl-CoA source pathway (ACC). This is rooted in our 221 initial assumptions that sufficient malonyl-CoA will inhibit cell growth. As a result, the intermediate acetyl-CoA and malonyl-CoA displays distinct oscillating pattern, with the stable 222 oscillation (D = 0.15) leading to better control. 223



224

Fig. 2. Effect of protein/metabolite degradation rate (dilution rate) on system dynamic behavior. Protein degradation rates have been labelled with different color. Low degradation rate (D = 0.15) leads to relatively stable oscillation. High degradation rate ($D \ge 0.2$) leads to damped oscillation. The units are arbitrary units. Parameters could be found in the supplementary file.

229 We also explored whether we could further improve fatty acids production by using even smaller degradation rate (*i.e.* D = 0.1, **Fig. 3**). Interestingly, decreasing the degradation rate to 0.1 allows 230 FapR to quickly accumulate in the system from t = 20. We could notice that a spike of fatty acids 231 production at t = 20, but the entire control system collapses (D = 0.1, Fig. 3) at t > 20, due to the 232 overdosed FapR repressing the expression of the malonyl-CoA sink pathway (FAS). 233 234 Accompanying with increased FapR, the malonyl-CoA source pathway (ACCase) was also overdosed (D = 0.1, Fig. 3) due to the activating action of FapR toward the expression of 235 ACCase. However, malonyl-CoA was not accumulated in the system due to the antagonist effect 236 237 of FapR toward malonyl-CoA. Taken together, the low degradation rate (D = 0.1) allows the cell to only build biomass, but generates little final products (Fatty acids in this study). In summary, 238 the range of degradation rate of the sensor protein (FapR) and the malonyl-CoA source pathway 239 (ACCase) determines whether the designed control scheme will work or fail. 240



241

Fig. 3. Effect of protein/metabolite degradation rate on system dynamic behavior. Protein degradation rates have been labelled with different color. Low degradation rate (D = 0.10) leads to a collapsed system: too much FapR represses the expression of FAS, activates the expression of ACC and quickly antagonize the resulting malonyl-CoA at t > 20.

Phase-plane represents the solution constraints between the interacting components, at different 246 parameter conditions (such as dilution rate or binding affinity) (Xu 2020). We further performed 247 a phase-plane analysis to interrogate the solutions of above ODEs (Fig. 4). On the FAS-FapR 248 phase plane, the system is attracted to periodic limit cycle of clockwise motion. The horizontal 249 (x-axis) projection of the elliptic cycle forms a negative slope with FapR (x-axis), indicating that 250 FapR represses the expression of FAS. On the ACCase-FapR phase planes (Fig. 4), the system is 251 attracted to periodic limit cycle of counterclockwise motion. The horizontal (x-axis) projection 252 of the elliptic cycle forms a positive slope with FapR, indicating that FapR activates the 253 expression of ACCase. Similarly, on the MalCoA-FapR phase plane (Fig. 4), the system is 254 255 attracted to periodic limit cycle of counterclockwise motion. The horizontal (x-axis) projection of the elliptic cycle forms a negative slope with FapR, indicating that FapR acts as an antagonist 256

for malonyl-CoA. Under D = 0.15, we observed that the system leads to stable oscillation (Fig. S1).

259 To analytically identify the steady state, we need to derive the Jacobina matrix and analyze the eigenvalue of the Jacobian matrix at each of the steady states. If all the eigenvalues are negative 260 or the real parts of all eigenvalues are negative (for imaginary eigenvalues), this will be a stable 261 262 steady state. Graphically, steady states represent time-invariant solutions along the time-axis. 263 The trajectory of stable steady states will asymptotically or periodically converge to a fixed point 264 or travel on an orbit (a limit cycle). By analyzing the Jacobian matrices, two pure imaginary eigenvalues with zero real parts were arrived (Supplementary Notes 1), indicating a stable 265 oscillation under D = 0.15. The phase portraits allow us to understand the motion of system 266 267 dynamic behavior, it may also serve as diagnosis for troubleshooting the design-build-test cycle in genetic circuit engineering. 268



Fig. 4. The phase-plane correlations for FAS-FapR, ACCase-FapR and MalCoA-FapR. FASFapR phase plane shows periodic limit cycle of clockwise motion. ACCase-FapR and MalCoAFapR phase planes show periodic limit cycle of counterclockwise motions.

273 Effect of malonyl-CoA dissociation constant (*K*₁) on system dynamic behavior

We next investigated how the malonyl-CoA dissociation constant (K_1) impacts the system dynamics (**Fig. 5**). The malonyl-CoA dissociation constant (K_1) describes the inhibitory strength of malonyl-CoA to cell growth: small dissociation constant (K_1) indicates a high binding affinity and high inhibitory strength. A number of dissociation constants ranging from 0.10 to 4.0 (in the units of concentration) were investigated (**Fig. 5**). As expected, strong inhibition ($K_1 = 0.10$) will sequestrate the cell at a low growth rate and lead to constant expression of FapR, FAS and

ACCase (Fig. 5), indicating that the expression of FAS and ACCase are independent of the 280 control scheme. As the inhibition becomes weaker ($K_1 = 0.50$ and 1.00), the solution of the 281 282 system ODEs oscillates with increased amplitude, albeit the frequency of the oscillation remains unchanged. A perfect ON and OFF control of FAS and ACCase expression is taking place when 283 a medium strength of inhibition ($K_1 = 2.0$) is used. This medium strength of inhibition confers 284 285 the system to oscillate stably with improved fatty acids production (Fig. 5), albeit the fatty acids increase is less than 50%. When the dissociation constant takes a larger number ($K_1 = 4.0$), the 286 systems behave like a damped oscillation that is approximately approaching to the optimal 287 design scheme ($K_1 = 2.0$). This analysis indicates that a medium strength of dissociation constant 288 (K_1) should be used. In practice, one can always use adaptive lab evolution to screen 289 conditionally tolerant phenotype that meets the K_1 selection criteria. 290

Similarly, we could perform a phase-plane analysis (Fig. 6). The phase-planes suggest that the 291 optimal control scheme ($K_1 = 2.0$, the purple cycles) only permits a very narrowed space of FAS, 292 ACCase and MaloCoA solutions. Interestingly, for low malonyl-CoA dissociation constant (K_1 = 293 0.5), the system exhibits a looping behavior on the FAS-FA and MalCoA-FA phase plane. 294 Plotting the steady state solutions of fatty acids, FAS and malonyl-CoA, we observed looping 295 pattern of solutions in the 3-D space, this may also imply a hysteretic state of the system (Aris, 296 Borhani et al. 2019) (Supplementary Notes 2 and 3). It simply means that strong malonyl-CoA 297 inhibition (i.e. $K_1 = 0.3$ or 0.5) will lead to multiplicity of steady states (Fig. 6 and Fig. 7), which 298 is a critical factor to evaluate the dynamics of the system behavior. 299

Literature reports that feedback inhibition of free fatty acid on FAS complex plays a major role 300 in regulating FA synthesis. Specifically, it is generally believed that acyl-ACPs or acyl-CoAs 301 will feedback inhibit acetyl-CoA carboxylase in E. coli (Davis and Cronan 2001). Since acyl-302 CoA/ACP could be hydrolyzed to free fatty acids by acyl-CoA thioesterase tesA (which is 303 constitutively overexpressed in the published paper PNAS 2014), we believe the feedback 304 inhibition of acyl-CoA/ACP on FAS complex could be minimized when tesA was overexpressed. 305 In this synthetic system, the malonyl-CoA inhibitory effect on FAS was translated to the 306 malonyl-CoA inhibitory effect on cell growth: cell growth is associated with how much of 307 membrane lipids (phospholipids synthesized from acyl-CoAs/acyl-ACPs) were made. Therefore, 308 309 the malonyl-CoA/ACP feedback inhibitory effect on FAS (cell growth) plays a critical role to determine the system dynamics. 310



Fig. 5. Effect of malonyl-CoA dissociation constant (K_1) on system dynamic behavior. Malonyl-CoA dissociation constants (K_1) have been labelled with different color. Low dissociation constants ($K_1 = 0.5$, 1.0 and 2.0) lead to stable oscillation. High dissociation constant ($K_1 = 4.0$) leads to damped oscillation. Medium strength of malonyl-CoA inhibition ($K_1 = 2.0$) favors fatty acids production.



Fig. 6. The phase-plane portraits for FA-FAS, FA-ACCase and FA-MalCoA. Low malonyl-CoA dissociation constant (K_1 = 0.5, orange line), which corresponds to strong malonyl-CoA

311

321 inhibition, leads to multiplicity of steady states pattern between FAS-FA and MalCoA-FA input-

322 output relationships.

323



324

Fig. 7. The 3-D phase-plane portraits for fatty acids, FAS and malonyl-CoA, with malonyl-CoA inhibition constant (K_1) varying from 0.1 to 1.5. A specific trajectory for $K_1 = 0.3$ is added to the above solution space, marked in blue color. Other parameters used here are the same as the parameters used in Fig. 5.

329 Effects of FapR-UAS interaction on system dynamics

We next explored how the gene expression of the malonyl-CoA source pathway (ACCase) 330 impacts the system dynamics. According to the original design and Eqn.5, expression of ACCase 331 is governed by the FapR-UAS interactions. The system equation for ACCase (Eqn. 5) accounts 332 for both the growth-associated leaky expression (α_3) and the FapR-activated regulatory 333 expression (β_2 , p and K₄). In all our simulations, we assume stringent regulation and the leaky 334 expression is negligible ($\alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 0.05$). We will specifically investigate how the ACCase 335 induction rate (β_2) and the FapR-UAS dissociation constant (K_4) impact the system dynamics 336 (Fig. 8 and Fig. 9). 337



Fig. 8. Effect of ACCase induction rate (β_2) on system dynamics. ACCase induction rates (β_2) have been labelled with different color. High ACCase induction rate (i.e. $\beta_2 = 4.0$) leads to a quickly damped oscillation and favors fatty acid accumulation.

338

342 We investigated a number of ACCase induction rates (β_2 , in the units of concentration per time), ranging from 0.50 to 4.0 (Fig. 8). As the ACCase induction rate increases (β_2) from 0.50 to 4.0, 343 the expression of malonyl-CoA source pathway (ACCase) is upregulated, leading to improved 344 fatty acids production (Fig. 8). For example, the fatty acids production is increased up to 2-fold 345 when the ACCase induction rate (β_2) increases from 0.5 (blue line, **Fig. 8**) to 4.0 (purple line, **Fig.** 346 8). On the other hand, the amount of regulator protein FapR decreases with increasing ACCase 347 induction rate (β_2) (Fig. 8), possibly due to the antagonist effect of malonyl-CoA. However, this 348 349 monotonic correlation was not found for the species MalCoA and AcCoA, due to the complicated autoregulation of malonyl-CoA in the control system. Furthermore, under low 350 ACCase induction rates (i.e. $\beta_2 = 0.5$, 1.0 and 2.0), the oscillation damped periodically with 351 decreasing amplitude. Under high ACCase induction rate (i.e. $\beta_2 = 4.0$), the oscillation damped 352 quickly to reach its steady state (Fig. 8). This result indicates that a high ACCase induction rate 353 (β_2) is essential for the proper function of the control scheme. 354

355 As FapR is the activator for the ACC operon, and the DNA binding site for FapR is a UAS (upstream activation sequence). We next investigated how the FapR-UAS dissociation constant 356 357 (K_4) impacts the system dynamics (Fig. 9). A smaller FapR-UAS dissociation constant (K_4) indicates a tighter binding between FapR and UAS (the inverse of the dissociation constant 358 quantifies the binding affinity). As the binding between FapR and UAS becomes tighter (K_4 359 decreases from 8.0 to 1.0), the expression of the malonyl-CoA source pathway (ACCase) is 360 strongly activated, leading to increased fatty acids production (Fig. 9). For example, the fatty 361 acids production is increased up to 2.2-fold when the FapR-UAS dissociation constant (K_4) 362 decreases from 8.0 (purple line, Fig. 9) to 1.0 (blue line, Fig. 9). Under high FapR-UAS binding 363 affinity ($K_4 = 1.0$), the oscillation damped quickly to reach its steady state; under low FapR-UAS 364 binding affinity ($K_4 = 4.0$ or 8.0), the oscillation retains periodic pattern with fixed frequency and 365 amplitude. This result indicates that a tighter FapR-UAS binding is the critical factor to achieve 366 the desired control scheme. 367



Fig. 9. Effect of FapR-UAS dissociation constant (*K*₄) on system dynamics. Tighter FapR-UAS
binding is advantageous to fatty acids production.

368

371 Effect of FapR-fapO interaction on system dynamics

We also attempted to understand how the gene expression of the malonyl-CoA sink pathway 372 373 (FAS) impacts the system dynamics. By design, FapR is the repressor that is specifically bound to fapO and represses the expression of the malonyl-CoA sink pathway (FAS). The system 374 375 equation for FAS (Eqn. 4) accounts for both the growth-associated leaky expression (α_2) and the 376 FapR-repressed regulatory expression (β_1 , *n* and K_3). Transcriptional factor (FapR) and DNA binding site (fapO) interactions are typically defined by the binding affinity (inverse of the 377 378 dissociation constant) and the Hill cooperativity coefficient. By probing the physiologically accessible parameter space, we will investigate how the FapR-fapO dissociation constant (K_3) 379 impact the system dynamics (Fig. 10). 380

381 We investigated a number of FapR-fapO dissociation constant (K_3), spanning from 0.50 to 8.0 (Fig. 10). A smaller FapR-fapO dissociation constant indicates a tighter binding between FapR 382 and fapO, thus the FapR-fapO complex will function as a stronger roadblock to prevent FAS 383 transcription. As the binding between FapR and fapO becomes tighter (K_3 decreases from 8.0 to 384 0.5), the expression of the malonyl-CoA sink pathway (FAS) is strongly repressed (Fig. 10), 385 leading to decreased fatty acid accumulation. For example, the fatty acids production at low 386 FapR-fapO dissociation constant ($K_3 = 0.50$, blue curve) is less than 1/7 of the fatty acid 387 production at high FapR-fapO dissociation constant ($K_3 = 8.0$, green curve) (Fig. 10). With 388 weaker FapR-fapO binding ($K_3 = 4.0$ and 8.0), the ODE solutions for ACCase, MalCoA and 389 AcCoA oscillate with fixed frequency and amplitude, indicating the functionality of the ON-OFF 390 control toward both the malonyl-CoA source pathway (ACCase) and the malonyl-CoA sink 391 pathway (FAS). However, with tighter FapR-fapO binding ($K_3 = 0.5$ and 1.0), the oscillation 392 collapses at relatively short period of time, indicating a faulted control scheme. This result 393 suggests that a weak binding between FapR and fapO (or a large FapR-fapO dissociation 394 395 constant) is the most important design criteria to achieve the desired ON-OFF control scheme.



Fig. 10. Effect of FapR-fapO dissociation constant (K_3) on system dynamics. A weak binding between FapR and fapO (or a large FapR-fapO dissociation constant, i.e. $K_3 = 8.0$) significantly improves fatty acid production, up to 7-fold.

400 Exploring the optimal controller architecture

396

The Hill cooperativity coefficient is a critical factor determining the input-output relationship of 401 biological signal transduction. Recent studies demonstrate that lots of nonlinear and complicated 402 403 biological functions are arising from the cooperative assembly of biological molecules (Bashor, Patel et al. 2019, Shaw, Yamauchi et al. 2019), including DNA, RNA and proteins. As such, we 404 will investigate how the FapR-FapO Hill cooperativity coefficient (n) impacts the system 405 dynamics (Fig. 11). We choose a number of FapR-fapO Hill cooperativity coefficients, ranging 406 from -4 to 4. It should be noted that, our original mass balance equations (Eqn. 4 and Eqn. 5) 407 only account for the fact that FapR represses the expression of FAS and FapR activates the 408 expression of ACC, which corresponds to a positive Hill coefficient (n > 0 and p > 0). 409

410 The sign of the Hill coefficient is related with the genetic configuration of the controller (Fig.
411 1B). For example, a positive Hill coefficient (n) in the malonyl-CoA sink pathway (FAS)

412 indicates that FapR represses the transcriptional activity of FAS expression; while a negative Hill coefficient (n) in the malonyl-CoA sink pathway (FAS) indicates that FapR activates the 413 414 transcriptional activity of FAS expression (Equation 4). Similarly, a positive Hill coefficient (p)in the malonyl-CoA source pathway (ACC) indicates that FapR activates the transcriptional 415 activity of ACC expression; while a negative Hill coefficient (p) in the malonyl-CoA source 416 pathway (ACC) indicates that FapR represses the transcriptional activity of ACC expression 417 (Equation 5). By changing the sign of the Hill coefficients for the malonyl-CoA sink pathway 418 (FAS) and the malonyl-CoA source pathway (ACC), we could explore the 'optimal controller' 419 structure in this study (Fig. 1B). Here we consider both positive Hill coefficients (n = 2.0 and 4.0) 420 and negative Hill coefficients (n = -2.0 and -4.0) as well as no cooperation (n = 0). By comparing 421 either the activating or repressing effect of FapR, we may interrogate the topology of the optimal 422 controller architecture that leads to maximal fatty acids production. 423





424

427 As the FapR-fapO Hill cooperativity coefficient (*n*) increases from -4.0 to 4.0, the regulatory 428 action of FapR towards the malonyl-CoA sink pathway (FAS) shifts from activation to

repression. As a result, a significant increase in the FAS, ACCase expression and fatty acids 429 production are observed (Fig. 11). For example, almost 5-fold increase of fatty acids is obtained 430 431 when the FapR-fapO Hill cooperativity coefficient (n) increases from -4.0 (blue line, strong activation) to 4.0 (green line, strong repression). Under strong FapR activation (n = -4.0), 432 counterintuitively, the expression of FAS is instead downregulated (Fig. 11). This could be 433 linked to the unbalanced induction rate (β) between the malonyl-CoA source pathway (ACCase, 434 $\beta_2 = 2.0$) and the malonyl-CoA sink pathway (FAS, $\beta_1 = 0.5$). Even with highly cooperative 435 activation of FAS by FapR (n = -4.0, blue line), the low induction rate of the malonyl-CoA sink 436 pathway (FAS) makes the expression of FAS unable to catch up with the expression of ACCase 437 (malonyl-CoA source pathway). As a result, malonyl-CoA will build up but stay unchanged in 438 the system (blue line in Fig. 11) to inhibit cell growth, which will result in even lower level of 439 FapR (activator for FAS expression when n = -4, blue line) and therefore exacerbate the 440 expression of FAS. On the contrary, highly cooperative repression of FAS by FapR (n = 4, green 441 442 line) will make malonyl-CoA level oscillate, which forms the driving force to dynamically link 443 and control the expression of the malonyl-CoA source pathway (ACCase) and the malonyl-CoA sink pathway (FAS). This analysis indicates that a control architecture consisting of upregulated 444 metabolic source and downregulated metabolic sink is an essential design criterion to build 445 adaptive genetic-metabolic circuits. In addition, the stable oscillation of the metabolic 446 intermediate (i.e., malonyl-CoA) forms the driving force to exert the ON-OFF dynamic control 447 toward complex metabolic function in the cell. 448

449 **Conclusions**

With the better understanding of cellular regulation, metabolic engineers have been able to 450 engineer both the chemistry (the mass flow) and the control modules (the information flow) 451 inside the cell to design intelligent cell factories with improved performance. Moving beyond 452 thermodynamic and stoichiometric constraints, living organisms could be viewed as a smart 453 system consisting of sensor (ligand binding domain of transcriptional factors), transducers 454 (DNA-binding domain of transcriptional factors, kinase or enzyme et al) and actuators (RNA 455 polymerases). Along this direction, cellular regulation and feedback control mechanisms have 456 been exploited to construct genetic/metabolic circuits that could sense/respond to environment, 457 458 achieve adaptive metabolic function and reshape cell fate for diverse biotechnological and medical applications. As chemical engineers have done to program machine language and 459

460 control the mass and energy flow in a chemical plant, a synthetic biologist could rewrite the461 genetic software and encode logic functions in living cells to control cellular activity.

Biophysical and biochemical models are important tools to quantitatively understand genetic 462 circuit dynamics, metabolic network constraints, cell-cell communications (Dai, Lee et al. 2019) 463 and microbial consortia interactions (Kong, Meldgin et al. 2018, Tsoi, Wu et al. 2018). Based on 464 465 a previously engineered malonyl-CoA switch, nine differential equations were formulated (Table 1) and employed to unravel the design principles underlying a perfect metabolite switch. While 466 the models present in the current study were simple, they provide sufficient kinetic information 467 to predict the dynamic behavior of the published work. By interrogating the physiologically 468 accessible parameter space, we have determined the optimal control architecture to configure 469 470 both the malonyl-CoA source pathway and the malonyl-CoA sink pathway. We also investigated a number of biological parameters that strongly impact the system dynamics, including the 471 protein degradation rate (D), malonyl-CoA inhibitory constant $(1/K_1)$, malonyl-CoA source 472 pathway induction rate (β_2), FapR-UAS dissociation constant (K_4), FapR-fapO dissociation 473 constant (K_3) as well as the FapR-fapO Hill cooperativity coefficient (*n*). We identified that low 474 protein degradation rate (D), medium strength of malonyl-CoA inhibitory constant $(1/K_1)$, high 475 malonyl-CoA source pathway induction rate (β_2), strong FapR-UAS binding affinity (1/K₄), 476 weak FapR-fapO binding affinity $(1/K_3)$ and a strong cooperative repression of malonyl-CoA 477 sink pathway (FAS) by FapR (n) benefits the accumulation of the target molecule (fatty acids). 478 The fatty acids production could be increased from 50% to 10-folds with the different set of 479 parameters. Under certain conditions (i.e. strong malonyl-CoA inhibitory constant $1/K_1$), the 480 system will display multiplicity of steady states. Stable oscillation of malonyl-CoA is the driving 481 force to make the system perform the ON-OFF control and automatically adjust the expression of 482 both the malonyl-CoA source (ACCase) and malonyl-CoA sink (FAS) pathways. 483

In this work, we have chosen a number of biophysical parameters to discuss the possible output of the malonyl-CoA switch. Genetically, these parameters could be altered by web-lab experiments, including protein engineering or degenerated repressor binding sites to change the biding affinity between the interacting components *et al*. The computational framework present here may facilitate us to design and engineer predictable genetic-metabolic switches, configure the optimal controller architecture of the metabolic source/sink pathways, as well as reprogram metabolic function for various applications.

491 Acknowledgments

492 Dr. Xu would like to acknowledge the Cellular & Biochem Engineering Program of the National 493 Science Foundation under grant no.1805139 for funding support. Dr. Xu would also like to 494 acknowledge the discussion of this project with the ENCH482/682 and ENCH640 students at the 495 University of Maryland Baltimore County in the Fall 2018 and Spring 2019.

Conflicts of interests

497 The author declares no conflicts of interests.

498 Appendix: Symbols and variables used in this work

- μ specific growth rate
- μ_{max} maximum specific growth rate
- α_1 cell growth-associated FapR production rate constant (constitutive expression)
- α_2 cell growth-associated FAS production rate constant (leaky expression)
- α_3 cell growth-associated ACC production rate constant (leaky expression)
- α_4 cell growth-associated PDH production rate constant (constitutive expression)
- β_1 non cell growth-associated FAS production rate (regulated expression)
- β_2 non cell growth-associated ACC production rate (regulated expression)
- K_1 Malonyl-CoA inhibitory (dissociation) constant
- K_2 Mal-CoA and FapR saturation constant
- K_3 dissociation rate constant of free FapR toward fapO in the FAS operon (to repress FAS transcription)
- K_4 dissociation rate constant of free FapR toward UAS in the ACC operon (to activate ACC transcription)
- K_5 acetyl-CoA saturation (Michaelis) constant toward ACC
- K_6 glucose saturation (Michaelis) constant toward glycolytic pathway
- K_S Monod constant for glucose
- K_m Malonyl-CoA saturation (Michaelis) constant toward FAS
- k_1 FapR-inactivating rate constant due to the formation of MalCoA-FapR complex

516	k_2	FA (fatty acids)	production rate constant	from Mal-CoA	catalyzed by	y FAS
-----	-------	------------------	--------------------------	--------------	--------------	-------

- k_3 malonyl-CoA production rate constant from acetyl-CoA catalzyed by ACC
- k_4 acetyl-CoA production rate constant from glycolysis catalzyed by PDH
- *S* glucose concentration
- S_0 glucose concentration in the feeding stream
- *D* dilution rate or degradation rate
- X_0 biomass concentration in the feeding stream
- Y_{PS1} malonyl-CoA to fatty acids conversion yield
- $Y_{\rm XS}$ glucose to biomass conversion yield
- Y_{PS2} glucose to acetyl-CoA conversion yield
- *m* malonyl-CoA-FapR (ligand-TF) Hill cooperativity coefficient
- *n* FapR-FapO nucleoprotein complex Hill cooperativity coefficient
- *p* FapR-UAS nucleoprotein complex Hill cooperativity coefficient
- *q* malonyl-CoA-FAS (substrate-enzyme) Hill cooperativity coefficient
- *r* acetyl-CoA-ACC (substrate-enzyme) Hill cooperativity coefficient
- *u* glucose-PDH (substrate-enzyme, artificial reaction) Hill cooperativity coefficient

533 **References**

554

387-393.

- Albanesi, D. and D. de Mendoza (2016). "FapR: From Control of Membrane Lipid Homeostasis to a Biotechnological Tool." <u>Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences</u> **3**: 64.
- 536 Andrianantoandro, E., S. Basu, D. K. Karig and R. Weiss (2006). "Synthetic biology: new engineering rules
- 537 for an emerging discipline." <u>Mol Syst Biol</u> **2**: 2006.0028.
- Aris, H., S. Borhani, D. Cahn, C. O'Donnell, E. Tan and P. Xu (2019). "Modeling transcriptional factor cross-talk to understand parabolic kinetics, bimodal gene expression and retroactivity in biosensor design." Biochemical Engineering Journal **144**: 209-216.
- Bashor, C. J., N. Patel, S. Choubey, A. Beyzavi, J. Kondev, J. J. Collins and A. S. Khalil (2019). "Complex
 signal processing in synthetic gene circuits using cooperative regulatory assemblies." <u>Science</u> 364(6440):
 593.
- 544 Benzinger, D. and M. Khammash (2018). "Pulsatile inputs achieve tunable attenuation of gene 545 expression variability and graded multi-gene regulation." <u>Nature Communications</u> **9**(1): 3521.
- Bier, M., B. M. Bakker and H. V. Westerhoff (2000). "How Yeast Cells Synchronize their Glycolytic
 Oscillations: A Perturbation Analytic Treatment." <u>Biophysical Journal</u> **78**(3): 1087-1093.
- Bothfeld, W., G. Kapov and K. E. J. Tyo (2017). "A Glucose-Sensing Toggle Switch for Autonomous, High
 Productivity Genetic Control." <u>ACS Synthetic Biology</u> 6(7): 1296-1304.
- Calles, B., A. Goñi-Moreno and V. de Lorenzo (2019). "Digitalizing heterologous gene expression in
 Gram-negative bacteria with a portable on/off module." <u>bioRxiv</u>: 783506.
- 552 Ceroni, F., A. Boo, S. Furini, T. E. Gorochowski, O. Borkowski, Y. N. Ladak, A. R. Awan, C. Gilbert, G.-B.
 553 Stan and T. Ellis (2018). "Burden-driven feedback control of gene expression." <u>Nature Methods</u> 15(5):
- Chandra, F. A., G. Buzi and J. C. Doyle (2011). "Glycolytic Oscillations and Limits on Robust Efficiency."
 <u>Science</u> 333(6039): 187.
- 557 Chaves, M. and D. A. Oyarzún (2019). "Dynamics of complex feedback architectures in metabolic 558 pathways." <u>Automatica</u> **99**: 323-332.
- 559 CHEN, W. and J. BAILEY (1994). "APPLICATION OF THE CROSS-REGULATION SYSTEM AS A METABOLIC
 560 SWITCH." <u>Biotechnology and Bioengineering</u> 43(11): 1190-1193.

- 561 Dai, Z., A. J. Lee, S. Roberts, T. A. Sysoeva, S. Huang, M. Dzuricky, X. Yang, X. Zhang, Z. Liu, A. Chilkoti and
- L. You (2019). "Versatile biomanufacturing through stimulus-responsive cell–material feedback." <u>Nature</u>
 <u>Chemical Biology</u> 15(10): 1017-1024.
- 564 David, F., J. Nielsen and V. Siewers (2016). "Flux Control at the Malonyl-CoA Node through Hierarchical
- 565 Dynamic Pathway Regulation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae." <u>ACS Synthetic Biology</u> **5**(3): 224-233.
- 566 Davis, M. and J. Cronan (2001). "Inhibition of Escherichia coli acetyl coenzyme A carboxylase by acyl-acyl
- 567 carrier protein." Journal of Bacteriology **183**(4): 1499-1503.
- 568 Doong, S. J., A. Gupta and K. L. J. Prather (2018). "Layered dynamic regulation for improving metabolic
- pathway productivity in Escherichia coli." <u>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences</u> **115**(12): 2964.
- 571 Dunlop, M., J. Keasling and A. Mukhopadhyay (2010). "A model for improving microbial biofuel 572 production using a synthetic feedback loop." <u>Systems and Synthetic Biology</u> **4**(2): 95-104.
- 573 Ellis, Jessica M. and Michael J. Wolfgang (2012). "A Genetically Encoded Metabolite Sensor for Malonyl574 CoA." <u>Chemistry & Biology</u> 19(10): 1333-1339.
- Elowitz, M. B. and S. Leibler (2000). "A synthetic oscillatory network of transcriptional regulators."
 <u>Nature</u> 403(6767): 335-338.
- Fehér, T., V. Libis, P. Carbonell and J.-L. Faulon (2015). "A Sense of Balance: Experimental Investigation
 and Modeling of a Malonyl-CoA Sensor in Escherichia coli." <u>Frontiers in Bioengineering and</u>
 <u>Biotechnology</u> **3**: 46.
- Fung, E., W. Wong, J. Suen, T. Bulter, S. Lee and J. Liao (2005). "A synthetic gene-metabolic oscillator."
 Nature 435(7038): 118-122.
- Gao, C., J. Hou, P. Xu, L. Guo, X. Chen, G. Hu, C. Ye, H. Edwards, J. Chen, W. Chen and L. Liu (2019).
 "Programmable biomolecular switches for rewiring flux in Escherichia coli." <u>Nature Communications</u> **10**(1): 3751.
- Gao, C., P. Xu, C. Ye, X. Chen and L. Liu (2019). "Genetic Circuit-Assisted Smart Microbial Engineering."
 <u>Trends in Microbiology</u>.
- 587 Gardner, T., C. Cantor and J. Collins (2000). "Construction of a genetic toggle switch in Escherichia coli."
 588 <u>Nature</u> 403(6767): 339-342.

- 589 Grozinger, L., M. Amos, T. E. Gorochowski, P. Carbonell, D. A. Oyarzún, R. Stoof, H. Fellermann, P. Zuliani,
- H. Tas and A. Goñi-Moreno (2019). "Pathways to cellular supremacy in biocomputing." <u>Nature</u>
 <u>Communications</u> 10(1): 5250.
- 592 Gupta, A., I. M. B. Reizman, C. R. Reisch and K. L. J. Prather (2017). "Dynamic regulation of metabolic flux
- in engineered bacteria using a pathway-independent quorum-sensing circuit." <u>Nat Biotech</u> **35**(3): 273279.
- 595 Gustavsson, A.-K., D. D. van Niekerk, C. B. Adiels, B. Kooi, M. Goksör and J. L. Snoep (2014). "Allosteric 596 regulation of phosphofructokinase controls the emergence of glycolytic oscillations in isolated yeast 597 cells." <u>FEBS Journal</u> **281**(12): 2784-2793.
- Harrison, M. and M. Dunlop (2012). "Synthetic Feedback Loop Model for Increasing Microbial Biofuel
 Production Using a Biosensor." <u>Frontiers in Microbiology</u> **3**: 360.
- Keasling, J. (2010). "Manufacturing Molecules Through Metabolic Engineering." <u>Science</u> 330(6009):
 1355-1358.
- Kong, W., D. R. Meldgin, J. J. Collins and T. Lu (2018). "Designing microbial consortia with defined social
 interactions." <u>Nature Chemical Biology</u> 14(8): 821-829.
- Li, S., T. Si, M. Wang and H. Zhao (2015). "Development of a Synthetic Malonyl-CoA Sensor in
 Saccharomyces cerevisiae for Intracellular Metabolite Monitoring and Genetic Screening." <u>ACS Synthetic</u>
 <u>Biology</u> 4(12): 1308-1315.
- Liu, D., Y. Xiao, B. S. Evans and F. Zhang (2015). "Negative Feedback Regulation of Fatty Acid Production
 Based on a Malonyl-CoA Sensor–Actuator." <u>ACS Synthetic Biology</u> 4(2): 132-140.
- Liu, H., M. Marsafari, F. Wang, L. Deng and P. Xu (2019). "Engineering acetyl-CoA metabolic shortcut for
 eco-friendly production of polyketides triacetic acid lactone in Yarrowia lipolytica." <u>Metabolic</u>
 <u>Engineering</u> 56: 60-68.
- Luo, X., M. A. Reiter, L. d'Espaux, J. Wong, C. M. Denby, A. Lechner, Y. Zhang, A. T. Grzybowski, S. Harth,
- W. Lin, H. Lee, C. Yu, J. Shin, K. Deng, V. T. Benites, G. Wang, E. E. K. Baidoo, Y. Chen, I. Dev, C. J. Petzold
 and J. D. Keasling (2019). "Complete biosynthesis of cannabinoids and their unnatural analogues in
- 615 yeast." Nature **567**(7746): 123-126.

- Lv, Y., S. Qian, G. Du, J. Chen, J. Zhou and P. Xu (2019). "Coupling feedback genetic circuits with growth
 phenotype for dynamic population control and intelligent bioproduction." <u>Metabolic Engineering</u> 54:
 109-116.
- Michener, J. K., K. Thodey, J. C. Liang and C. D. Smolke (2012). "Applications of genetically-encoded
 biosensors for the construction and control of biosynthetic pathways." <u>Metabolic Engineering</u> 14(3):
 212-222.
- Milo, R., P. Jorgensen, U. Moran, G. Weber and M. Springer (2009). "BioNumbers—the database of key
 numbers in molecular and cell biology." <u>Nucleic Acids Research</u> 38(suppl_1): D750-D753.
- Moon, T. S., C. Lou, A. Tamsir, B. C. Stanton and C. A. Voigt (2012). "Genetic programs constructed from
- layered logic gates in single cells." <u>Nature</u> **491**(7423): 249-253.
- 626 Nikel, P. I., M. Chavarría, A. Danchin and V. de Lorenzo (2016). "From dirt to industrial applications:

627 Pseudomonas putida as a Synthetic Biology chassis for hosting harsh biochemical reactions." <u>Current</u>

- 628 <u>Opinion in Chemical Biology</u> **34**: 20-29.
- Oyarzún, D. A. and M. Chaves (2015). "Design of a bistable switch to control cellular uptake." Journal of
 <u>The Royal Society Interface</u> 12(113): 20150618.
- Oyarzún, D. A. and G.-B. V. Stan (2013). "Synthetic gene circuits for metabolic control: design trade-offs
 and constraints." Journal of The Royal Society Interface 10(78): 20120671.
- Qiao, K., T. M. Wasylenko, K. Zhou, P. Xu and G. Stephanopoulos (2017). "Lipid production in Yarrowia
 lipolytica is maximized by engineering cytosolic redox metabolism." <u>Nat Biotechnol</u> **35**(2): 173-177.
- Rugbjerg, P., N. Myling-Petersen, A. Porse, K. Sarup-Lytzen and M. O. A. Sommer (2018). "Diverse
 genetic error modes constrain large-scale bio-based production." <u>Nature Communications</u> 9(1): 787.
- 637 Rugbjerg, P., K. Sarup-Lytzen, M. Nagy and M. O. A. Sommer (2018). "Synthetic addiction extends the
- 638 productive life time of engineered Escherichia coli populations." Proceedings of the National
- 639 <u>Academy of Sciences</u> **115**(10): 2347.
- Santillán, M., M. C. Mackey and E. S. Zeron (2007). "Origin of Bistability in the lac Operon." <u>Biophysical</u>
 Journal 92(11): 3830-3842.
- 642 Sel'kov, E. E. (1968). "Self-oscillations in glycolysis. 1. A simple kinetic model." <u>Eur J Biochem</u> **4**(1): 79-86.

- 643 Shaw, W. M., H. Yamauchi, J. Mead, G.-O. F. Gowers, D. J. Bell, D. Öling, N. Larsson, M. Wigglesworth, G.
- Ladds and T. Ellis (2019). "Engineering a Model Cell for Rational Tuning of GPCR Signaling." <u>Cell</u> **177**(3):
 782-796.e727.
- 646 Smolke, C. D. and P. A. Silver (2011). "Informing biological design by integration of systems and synthetic

647 biology." <u>Cell</u> **144**(6): 855-859.

- Solomon, K. and K. Prather (2011). <u>Dynamic tuning of glycolytic flux for heterologous production with a</u>
 <u>glucose valve</u>. The 2011 AIChE annual meeting, Minneapolis, MN.
- 650 Soma, Y., K. Tsuruno, M. Wada, A. Yokota and T. Hanai (2014). "Metabolic flux redirection from a central
- 651 metabolic pathway toward a synthetic pathway using a metabolic toggle switch." <u>Metabolic Engineering</u>
- **23**: 175-184.
- Stamatakis, M. and N. V. Mantzaris (2009). "Comparison of deterministic and stochastic models of the
 lac operon genetic network." <u>Biophys J 96(3)</u>: 887-906.
- Tamsir, A., J. J. Tabor and C. A. Voigt (2011). "Robust multicellular computing using genetically encoded
 NOR gates and chemical 'wires'." <u>Nature</u> 469(7329): 212-215.
- Tsoi, R., F. Wu, C. Zhang, S. Bewick, D. Karig and L. You (2018). "Metabolic division of labor in microbial
 systems." <u>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences</u> 115(10): 2526.
- Venayak, N., N. Anesiadis, W. R. Cluett and R. Mahadevan (2015). "Engineering metabolism through
 dynamic control." <u>Current Opinion in Biotechnology</u> **34**(Supplement C): 142-152.
- Wan, X., M. Marsafari and P. Xu (2019). "Engineering metabolite-responsive transcriptional factors to
 sense small molecules in eukaryotes: current state and perspectives." <u>Microbial Cell Factories</u> 18(1): 61.
- Wang, B., R. Kitney, N. Joly and M. Buck (2011). "Engineering modular and orthogonal genetic logic gates
 for robust digital-like synthetic biology." <u>Nature Communications</u> 2.
- Wang, T. and M. J. Dunlop (2019). "Controlling and exploiting cell-to-cell variation in metabolic
 engineering." <u>Current Opinion in Biotechnology</u> 57: 10-16.
- Kia, P.-F., H. Ling, J. L. Foo and M. W. Chang (2019). "Synthetic genetic circuits for programmable
 biological functionalities." <u>Biotechnology Advances</u> **37**(6): 107393.
- Kiao, Y., C. H. Bowen, D. Liu and F. Zhang (2016). "Exploiting nongenetic cell-to-cell variation for
 enhanced biosynthesis." <u>Nat Chem Biol</u> **12**(5): 339-344.

- Kiu, Y., S. Jang, J. A. Jones, A. Zill Nicholas, J. Linhardt Robert, Q. Yuan, Y. Jung Gyoo and A. G. Koffas
- 672 Mattheos (2017). "Naringenin-responsive riboswitch-based fluorescent biosensor module for 673 Escherichia coli co-cultures." <u>Biotechnology and Bioengineering</u> **114**(10): 2235-2244.
- Ku, P. (2018). "Production of chemicals using dynamic control of metabolic fluxes." <u>Current Opinion in</u>
 <u>Biotechnology</u> 53: 12-19.
- Ku, P. (2020). "Analytical solution for a hybrid Logistic-Monod cell growth model in batch and continuous
 stirred tank reactor culture." <u>Biotechnology and Bioengineering</u> **117**(3): 873-878.
- Ku, P. (2020). "Dynamics of microbial competition, commensalism and cooperation and its implications
 for coculture and microbiome engineering." <u>bioRxiv</u>: 2020.2003.2005.979435.
- Xu, P., N. Bhan and M. A. G. Koffas (2013). "Engineering plant metabolism into microbes: from systems
 biology to synthetic biology." <u>Current Opinion in Biotechnology</u> 24(2): 291-299.
- Xu, P., Q. Gu, W. Wang, L. Wong, A. G. W. Bower, C. H. Collins and M. A. G. Koffas (2013). "Modular
 optimization of multi-gene pathways for fatty acids production in E. coli." <u>Nature Communications</u> 4:
 1409.
- Ku, P., L. Li, F. Zhang, G. Stephanopoulos and M. Koffas (2014). "Improving fatty acids production by
 engineering dynamic pathway regulation and metabolic control." <u>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</u> 111(31):
 11299-11304.
- Xu, P., K. Qiao, W. S. Ahn and G. Stephanopoulos (2016). "Engineering Yarrowia lipolytica as a platform
 for synthesis of drop-in transportation fuels and oleochemicals." <u>Proceedings of the National Academy</u>
 <u>of Sciences</u> 113(39): 10848-10853.
- Ku, P., K. Qiao and G. Stephanopoulos (2017). "Engineering oxidative stress defense pathways to build a
 robust lipid production platform in Yarrowia lipolytica." <u>Biotechnol Bioeng</u> **114**(7): 1521-1530.
- Ku, P., W. Wang, L. Li, N. Bhan, F. Zhang and M. A. G. Koffas (2014). "Design and Kinetic Analysis of a
 Hybrid Promoter-Regulator System for Malonyl-CoA Sensing in Escherichia coli." <u>Acs Chemical Biology</u>
 9(2): 451-458.
- Yang, D., W. J. Kim, S. M. Yoo, J. H. Choi, S. H. Ha, M. H. Lee and S. Y. Lee (2018). "Repurposing type III
 polyketide synthase as a malonyl-CoA biosensor for metabolic engineering in bacteria." <u>Proceedings of</u>
 <u>the National Academy of Sciences</u> 115(40): 9835.

- Yang, Y., Y. Lin, J. Wang, Y. Wu, R. Zhang, M. Cheng, X. Shen, J. Wang, Z. Chen, C. Li, Q. Yuan and Y. Yan
 (2018). "Sensor-regulator and RNAi based bifunctional dynamic control network for engineered
 microbial synthesis." <u>Nature Communications</u> 9(1): 3043.
- Yildirim, N. and M. C. Mackey (2003). "Feedback Regulation in the Lactose Operon: A Mathematical
 Modeling Study and Comparison with Experimental Data." <u>Biophysical Journal</u> 84(5): 2841-2851.
- Zhang, F., J. M. Carothers and J. D. Keasling (2012). "Design of a dynamic sensor-regulator system for
 production of chemicals and fuels derived from fatty acids." <u>Nat Biotech</u> **30**(4): 354-359.
- Zhang, J., M. K. Jensen and J. D. Keasling (2015). "Development of biosensors and their application in
 metabolic engineering." <u>Current Opinion in Chemical Biology</u> 28: 1-8.
- 708 Zhou, H., K. Qiao, Z. Gao, M. Meehan, J. Li, X. Zhao, P. Dorrestein, J. Vederas and Y. Tang (2010).
- 709 "Enzymatic Synthesis of Resorcylic Acid Lactones by Cooperation of Fungal Iterative Polyketide
- 710 Synthases Involved in Hypothemycin Biosynthesis." Journal of the American Chemical Society: 4530-+.

711

- Metabolic engineer can engineer both the chemistry and control modules in the cell
- 9 differential equations used to define a previously engineered malonyl-CoA switch
- Optimal control architecture of metabolic source and sink pathways were determined
- Models were used to unravel the design principles underlying an ideal metabolic switch
- Stable oscillation of metabolic intermediates permits alternating ON-OFF genetic control

Conflicts of interests

Non declared.

Journal Prevention