This work is on a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC DY 4.0) license, <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>. Access to this work was provided by the University of Maryland, Baltimore County (UMBC) ScholarWorks@UMBC digital repository on the Maryland Shared Open Access (MD-SOAR) platform.

### Please provide feedback

Please support the ScholarWorks@UMBC repository by emailing <u>scholarworks-group@umbc.edu</u> and telling us what having access to this work means to you and why it's important to you. Thank you.

## SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

natureresearch

Corrected: Author Correction

# OPEN Asthma and/or hay fever as predictors of fertility/impaired fecundity in U.S. women: National Survey of Family Growth

Paul C. Turkeltaub <sup>1\*</sup>, Richard F. Lockey<sup>2</sup>, Katie Holmes<sup>3,4</sup> & Erika Friedmann<sup>3</sup>

This study addresses whether asthma and/or hay fever predict fertility and impaired fecundity. The lifetime number of pregnancies (fertility) and spontaneous pregnancy losses (impaired fecundity) in 10,847 women representative of the U.S. population 15 to 44 years of age with histories of diagnosed asthma and/or hay fever are analyzed in the 1995 National Survey of Family Growth using multivariable Poisson regression with multiple covariates and adjustments for complex sampling. Smokers have significantly increased fertility compared to nonsmokers. Smokers with asthma only have significantly increased fertility compared to other smokers. Higher fertility is associated with impaired fecundity (ectopic pregnancy, miscarriage, stillbirth). Women with asthma (with and without hay fever) have significantly higher pregnancy losses than women without asthma. With increasing number of pregnancies, smokers have increased pregnancy losses compared to nonsmokers. Smokers, especially those with asthma only, have increased fertility and require special attention as to their family planning needs, reproductive health, and smoking cessation. Women with asthma, regardless of number of pregnancies, and smokers with higher numbers of pregnancies have high risk pregnancies that require optimal asthma/medical management prenatally and throughout pregnancy. Whether a proinflammatory asthma endotype underlies both the increased fertility and impaired fecundity associated with age and smoking is discussed.

The increased prevalence of allergic respiratory disease worldwide<sup>1-3</sup> is attributed in part to atopic women having more pregnancies resulting in live births than nonatopic women<sup>4</sup> as both successful pregnancy<sup>5,6</sup> and allergic diseas<sup>7,8</sup> are associated with a type 2 (T2) immune response endotype i.e., group 2 innate lymphoid/adaptive T helper 2 cell immune response<sup>9,10</sup>. The National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG) is a cross-sectional survey representative of the U.S. population of women of reproductive age<sup>11</sup>. Questions pertaining to asthma and hay fever were requested by one of the authors (PCT) to be included in the 1995 NSFG to explore the relationship of allergic phenotype to fertility/fecundity. Fertility is defined as the capacity to establish a clinical pregnancy<sup>12</sup>. Fecundity is defined as the capacity to have a live birth<sup>12</sup>.

Although prior studies addressed fertility in women with asthma, these studies conceptualized fertility from different perspectives, analyzing live birth rates<sup>13</sup>, currently defined as fecundity<sup>12</sup> or analyzing time to pregnancy >1 year<sup>14</sup>, currently defined as infertility<sup>12</sup>. While both of these approaches provide important information, they do not address the process leading from pregnancy to pregnancy loss and thus are not comparable to the current analysis.

We previously studied predictors of spontaneous pregnancy losses in women with asthma and/or hay fever based on their most recent singleton pregnancy<sup>15</sup>. The current study conceptualizes birth outcomes as a two-step process, becoming pregnant and carrying the pregnancy to term. Our previous study included only one pregnancy and its outcomes, it did not consider the possible contribution of asthma to the process of becoming pregnant or evaluate the contribution of the number of previous pregnancies to these outcomes. As a prior study

<sup>1</sup>Independent Scientist, PO Box 61571, Potomac, Maryland, 20859, USA. <sup>2</sup>Division of Allergy & Immunology, University of South Florida College of Medicine, 13000 Bruce B. Downs Blvd, Tampa, Florida, 33613, USA. <sup>3</sup>Organizational Systems and Adult Health, University of Maryland School of Nursing, 655 W. Lombard St., Baltimore, Maryland, 21201, USA. <sup>4</sup>Present address: The Hilltop Institute, University of Maryland Baltimore County, 1000 Hilltop Circle, Baltimore, Maryland, 21250, USA. \*email: p.turkeltaub@att.net indicated that the numbers of previous pregnancies increase the risk of pregnancy losses<sup>16</sup>, the current study analyzes the contributions of asthma and hay fever to the predictors of pregnancy loss controlling for the number of previous pregnancies.

#### **Materials and Methods**

**NSFG design.** The survey methodology is previously described<sup>11</sup>. In brief, data are drawn from the 1995 NSFG, a multistage probability sampling of the civilian non-institutionalized population of women 15 to 44 years of age, yielding estimates that are representative of the U.S population of women in this age range. The 1995 NSFG sample was drawn from a larger sample of households previously interviewed for the 1993 National Health Interview Survey (NHIS). A complex stratified cluster sampling design was employed with oversampling of underrepresented groups. The 1993 NHIS respondent sample included data from 109,671 persons in 43,007 households selected from around the U.S. In all, a national probability sample of 14,000 women was drawn from the 1993 NHIS. This sampling methodology, used in conjunction with the sampling weights provided by the NSFG (see statistics section below), produced a nationally representative sample. Exclusions from the NSFG included not being born between April 1, 1950 – March 31, 1980, failing to respond or not complete the interview, parental consent not given if ages 15–17, leaving 10,847 women who completed the NSFG interview. Twenty-three women were excluded from this study due to missing asthma/hay fever data. The 1995 NSFG is the only one to include questions related to asthma and/or hay fever. Between January 1995 and October 1995 in-home interviews (90 minute) were conducted providing pregnancy and asthma/hay fever data for 10,824 women 15 to 44 years of age (Table 1).

**Study variables.** *Pregnancy outcomes.* The history of number of previous pregnancies, live births, spontaneous pregnancy losses (ectopic or tubal pregnancy, miscarriage, stillbirth), and induced abortions are obtained for each woman during the interview. Fertility is operationally defined as the number of previous pregnancies. Fecundity is operationally defined as the number of previous live births. Impaired fecundity is operationally defined as a predictor of impaired fecundity.

*Lifetime history of asthma and/or hay fever.* Lifetime histories of asthma and hay fever are based on responses to questions included in the NHIS that were added to the 1995 NSFG: "Has a doctor or other medical care provider ever told you that you had asthma (yes/no)"/"Has a doctor or other.....ever told you that you had hay fever (yes/no)"<sup>17</sup>. The responses are operationalized into four mutually exclusive categories: asthma only, hay fever only, asthma and hay fever, and neither asthma nor hay fever using three dummy variables with neither asthma nor hay fever as the reference group. The phenotypes, asthma and hay fever and hay fever only, are categorized differently from asthma only based on their significant association with allergen skin test positivity/sensitization<sup>18</sup>, especially during the early reproductive years ( $\leq$ 24 years old), whereas asthma only has a significantly lower association<sup>19</sup>. Self-reported histories of doctor diagnosed hay fever are also highly associated with aeroallergen skin test positivity, whereas self-reported histories of doctor diagnosed asthma are not<sup>19</sup>.

*Lifetime history of smoking.* The response to the question "In your entire life, have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes? (yes/no)" was used to differentiate lifetime nonsmokers (<100) from smokers (>100)<sup>17</sup>.

*Demographics and health history.* The demographic variables obtained included: age at interview, race and Hispanic origin, marital status, region of residence, high school graduation/general equivalency diploma (GED), and income defined as percent federal poverty index at time of interview. The health variables included the biological variables of history of pelvic inflammatory disease (PID), history of diabetes, history of high blood pressure, and Body Mass Index (BMI) classified as underweight ( $<18.5 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ), normal weight ( $18.5-24.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ), overweight ( $25-29.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ), and obese ( $>/=30 \text{ kg/m}^2$ )<sup>17</sup>. The health behavior variable of number of male partners since puberty was also obtained.

*Statistics.* Initial descriptive statistics were used to characterize the sample. Because the average age of first heterosexual vaginal intercourse is 15<sup>20</sup>, the number of years of a woman's potential to become pregnant is calculated by subtracting 15 years from the age at interview. This is akin to mean centering to ensure estimates do not go beyond applicable age ranges. The number of pregnancies per year exposed is calculated for each woman. This rate is used as the fertility outcome. The number of live births per year exposed is calculated for each woman. This rate is the fecundity outcome. The number of spontaneous pregnancy losses per year exposed is calculated for each woman. This rate is used as the impaired fecundity outcome.

Demographic and health variables were added to the analyses as covariates/confounders. Confounders include smoking, age, BMI, race/ethnicity, percent federal poverty index, high school education/GED, and region of residence. Smoking increases the risk of asthma only, decreases the risk of hay fever only<sup>21</sup> while increasing the risk of pregnancy loss<sup>22</sup>. Age impacts the ability to both become pregnant and maintain pregnancy while also influencing the risk of asthma in women<sup>23</sup>. Obesity increases the risk in women of asthma only.<sup>15</sup> as well as pregnancy loss<sup>24</sup>. As there is a nonlinear relationship between BMI and pregnancy outcomes, BMI categories were included as covariates with normal weight as the reference group. Race/ethnicity is associated with increased asthma prevalence in blacks, increased prevalence in whites of hay fever only<sup>21</sup> as well as influencing fertility and fecundity<sup>25</sup>. Percent federal poverty index is related to risk of asthma<sup>21</sup> as well as fertility and fecundity<sup>26</sup>. High school graduation/GED status is related to diagnosis of asthma<sup>27</sup> as well as risk of pregnancy loss<sup>15</sup>. Region of residence influences risk of asthma<sup>21</sup> as well as fecundity<sup>28</sup>. Covariates include number of male partners, marital

CharacteriorNameNameNameNameNameIque12-90313031.0031.0031.00Indene's Preyency Index'12-9131.0031.0031.0031.00Number of Male Partners12-9231.0012-9212-92Indene's Partners13.0012.0012.0012.0012.00Indene's Partners13.0012.0012.0131.0012.0012.01Indene's Partners13.0012.0012.0132.0132.0132.01Indene's Partners13.0012.0012.0132.0132.0132.01Indene's Partners13.0012.0012.0132.0132.0132.01Nather Data13.0012.0012.0132.0132.0132.01Nather Data13.0012.0013.0012.0132.0132.01Nather Data13.0012.0013.0013.0132.0132.01Nather Data13.0012.0013.0013.0132.0132.01Nather Data13.0012.0012.0012.0032.0132.01Nather Data13.0013.0012.0012.0032.0032.01Nather Data13.0013.0012.0013.0012.0032.00Nather Data13.0013.0013.0013.0013.0013.00Nather Data13.0013.0013.0013.0013.0013.00Nather Data13.0013.			NSFG Sample		US Population Represented		
Age at Interview (space)14-400.908.1308.1308.1000.000Number of Mac Partners*0-214.9204.9204.9204.9204.9204.920Number of Mac Partners*0-1001.8201.0001.001.001.0001.001.0001.	Characteristic	Range	Mean	SD	Mean	SEM	
Income (% Poverty Index)*[2-998][3153][2198][3196][210]Number Of Peqnancise0-151.971.831.770.024CharacteristicNPercent1.0Percent1.00Total1.0NPercent1.001.001.00Asthma andry Hever1.3301.2.0%1.01.7.10.2.17.8825.3.5.4.18May Feer Only5405.40%1.03.0.5.4.16.2.01.0.3.5.7.13Both54107.20%1.03.0.5.4.16.2.01.0.3.5.7.13Nether8.3607.20%1.03.0.5.4.16.2.01.0.3.5.7.13Both5.317.20%1.03.0.5.4.16.2.01.0.3.5.7.13Both5.311.001.03.0.5.4.16.2.01.0.3.5.7.13Back5.311.001.03.0.5.7.121.0.5.1.5.1.0Back5.311.001.03.44.59.1.101.0.5.7.1.1Mither7.7268.00%1.04.45.9.1.03.9.2.2.1.1.1Marif Statu5.211.0.01.0.01.0.3.1.2.11.0.5.1.1.1Preiously Married1.521.0.01.0.02.5.9.1.1.02.5.9.1.1.1Neer Marriad5.221.0.01.0.02.5.9.1.1.02.5.9.1.1.0Neer Marriad5.221.0.01.0.02.5.9.1.1.02.5.9.1.1.0Neer Marriad5.221.0.01.0.02.5.9.1.1.02.5.9.1.1.0Neer Marriad5.222.0.01.0.02.5.9.1.02.5.9.1.	Age at Interview (years)	14-45	30.59	8.31	30.09	0.091	
Number of Male Partners0-219.293.309.7930.725Number of PregunaciesN8888100001000.002.017.001.304.015.00Total0.8240.00001.001.000.011.300.01<	Income (% Poverty Index)*	12-998	315.35	219.59	331.06	2.91	
Number of Pregnancies09119100	Number of Male Partners*	0-21	4.9278	5.35	4.7953	0.07225	
CharacteristicNPercentFrequency ETotal100.0000.00.017.011.236.495.57Asthma a0rel Server1.33912.30%0.02.57.315.408Asthma Only5845.40%0.03.015.416.326156.235.741Both8.3017.20%0.4.336.741.711.00.312.357Race8.3017.20%0.4.336.741.711.00.312.357Race0.00%1.08.45.84.71.713.05.12.357Back7.720%0.00%0.4.836.52.341.811.087.051.30Other7.7208.00%0.4.73.85.29.341.811.087.051.30Other1.7208.00%0.4.73.85.29.341.811.087.051.30Other1.7208.00%0.4.73.85.29.341.811.087.051.30Other1.7208.00%0.4.73.85.29.341.811.087.051.30Other1.7208.00%0.4.73.85.29.341.811.087.051.30Other1.7208.00%1.4.73.835.67.88.71Mariate Status1.7221.10%1.4.447.81.815.07.85.71Previous/Married1.5221.10%1.1.447.88.35.67.88.971NeerMarrid3.7422.3.00%1.0.925.57.1272.55.712Northeast2.4292.1.091.1.447.88.35.67.88.971Midwest2.4298.00%4.7.45.30.014792.45.74Midwest2.4298.00%4.7.45.30.01492.45.74Midwest2.4298.00%4.7.45.30.0159.60%Outher status2.42	Number of Pregnancies	0-15	1.97	1.85	1.77	0.024	
Total10.824100.00%60.042.017.621.236.495.57Asthma and/or Hay Fever Only1.3391.230%7.410.212.788257.315.408Asthma Only5845.40%3.269.60.363156.235.741Both5405.00%3.015.416.326162.835.575Neither8.36177.20%4.3646.748.1711.000.351.235Race1111.000.51.2351.000.51.235Black2.5111.400%4.845.847.71355.472.47White7.22680.00%4.845.847.71355.472.47Other5776.00%3.759.875.73397.244.09Hisparic Ethnicity1.5491.00%6.882.753.8448.992.52Marital Status7.844.11.167277.206.87277.206.87Never Married3.9823.750%2.2528.171.57620.086.081Geographic Region111477.830.017272.457.12Northeat2.4222.10%11.447.83356.788.971Midwest2.522.10.0%11.447.83356.788.971South3.7423.60%2.0169.851.159.956.57.22South3.7423.60%2.0169.851.159.956.57.21Pelvic Inflammatory Diseas9.037.60%2.058.407.40459.957.57.12Pidy Chool Diploma/GED*8.32288.00%4.558.407.43198.558.30Diabeets (non-gestational)1862.00%3.031.351.2916.358.98Orise Mither4.976.003.	Characteristic	N	Percent		Frequency	Frequency SE	
Ashma and/or Hay Ferer      Image Network      Second Secon	Total	10,824	100.00%		60,042,017.62	1,236,495.57	
Hay Fever Only1,33912.30%7,410,212.758257,315.408Asthma Only5405.00%3,015,416.326162,335.741Both5405.00%3,015,416.326162,335.757Neither8,36177,20%4,6346,74171,805,31,235 <b>Rac</b> 77,20%4,6346,741711,805,31,235Black2,51114.00%8,445,847,71385,472,47White7,7268,00%4,783,62,4181,087,031,30Other5876,00%3,759,875,73297,244.69Hispanic Ethnicity1,54911,00%6,685,275,83438,992,52Marital Status5,29049,40%29,669,734.41677,835,715Previously Married1,55213,10%7,844,111.637277,260,887Never Married3,98237,50%22,528,171.57620,086,081Geographic Region6677North-ast2,02819,10%11,447,883536,788,971Midwest2,3222,30%20,169,863,1549,600,568South3,74233,60%4,758,30,04,9792,455,74High School Diploma/GED**8,5128,80%4,758,30,04,9792,455,71High School Diploma/GED**8,5128,00%4,558,407,43198,553,731Diabetes (non-gestational)1662,00%4,945,642,728,549,74High School Diploma/GED**10,00%4,021,633,6389,488,38Overweight4,976,003,03,15,12916,158,58	Asthma and/or Hay Fever						
Ashma Only5845.40%3.289,640.363156,235.751Both5405.00%3.015,416.326162,335.575Neither8,36177.20%4.6346.748.171.000.351.235RaceIII1.000.351.235Black2,51114.00%8,445,847.71385,472.47White7.72680.00%4.738.6294.181.087,051.30Other77.8411.00%6.686.275.33297.244.69Hispanic Ethnicity1.5491.00%6.686.275.33297.244.69Marriad5.29049.0%2.9669,734.41677,835.715Previously Married3.52237.50%2.25.871.2177.20.088.07Neer Married3.8235.0%2.25.871.2177.20.088.07Northeast2.02819.10%11.487,883536,788.971Midwest2.5822.41.0%11.447,883536,788.971South3.74223.00%13.925,257.12792,537.716High School Diploma/GED**8.5328.00%4.758.30.49.73195,55.30Diabets (non-gestational)1662.00%94,546.2785,749.50Hypertension8917.0%4.377,586.2316,453.23Normal Weight6.80863.00%4.0216,33.63894.83.80Overweight2.07515.00%10.116.057.6622.93.86Obee14.241.00%6.678,270.4420.59.50Idat Lats PregnantI1.00%6.678,270.4420.59.50.14Overweight<	Hay Fever Only	1,339	12.30%		7,410,212.758	257,315.408	
Both5405.00%3.015,416.32616,2,835.757Neither8,3617.20%4.6346,748.1711,000,351.235Race114.00%8,445,847.7185,472.47White7,72680.00%4.7,836,294.181,087,051.30Other5876.00%3,759,875.73297,244.69Hispanic Ethnicity154911.00%6.686,275.83438,992.52Marital Status11.00%7,844,111.637277,260.887Never Married1,52213.10%7,844,111.637277,260.887Never Married2,02819.10%2,528,171.57620,086.081Geographic Region1111Northeast2,02819.10%11,487,88353,6788.971Midwest2,47223.00%20,169,86.31549,600.568South3,7243,600%20,169,86.31549,600.568West2,47223.00%13,925,257.1279,253,716High School Diploma/GED*8,53280.00%4,37,586.23196,453.32Hypertension8917,0%4,37,586.23164,538.98Overweight6,0863.00%40,015,38.6359,488.38Overweight6,0863.00%40,015,38.6389,488.38Overweight6,07%13,00%6,678,270.4020,392,444Somker (≥100 Lifetime Cigarett)4,20%20,048,297.6120,393.66Mumer of Times Pregnant13,00%6,678,270.4020,392,93.64Muter of Times Pregnant	Asthma Only	584	5.40%		3,269,640.363	156,235.741	
Neither8,36177.20%4,6346,748.171,000,351.235RaceIIIIBlack2,51114.00%8,46346,77.11385,472.47Black7,72680.00%47,836.294.181,087,051.30Other5876.00%3,759,875.73297,244.69Hispanic Ethnicity1,54911.00%6.686275.83438,992.52Marital StatusTTSSPreviously Married1,55213.10%7,844,111.637277,260.887Never Married3,98237.50%2,258,171.57620,086.081Geographic Region1114,478,883536,788.971Northeast2,28219.10%11,487,883536,788.971Midwest2,58219.10%11,487,8831549,600.568West3,74233.60%20,169,863.1549,600.568West2,47223.20%13,925,257.1279,233.716High School Diploma/GED**8,32288.00%4,758,30.04797,445.74Pelvic Inflammatory Disease9037.60%4,375,86.2319,645.32Diabetes (non-gestational)1862.00%94,546.2785,749.50Hyer tongipt6,073,031,351.29161,358,98Normal Weight6,086,30%4,0216,338.63899,488.38Overwight2,075166.00%4,0216,338.63899,488.38Overwight2,07566.00%6,078,270.4422,924.44Moder (≥100 Lifetime Cigaretts)	Both	540	5.00%		3,015,416.326	162,835.575	
RaceImage: state in the state i	Neither	8,361	77.20%		4,6346,748.17	1,000,351.235	
Black      2,511      14.00%      8,445,847.71      383,472.47        White      7,726      80.00%      47,836,294.18      1.087,051.30        Other      587      6.00%      3,759,875.73      297,244.69        Hispanic Ethnicity      1549      11.00%      6.686,275.83      438,992.52        Marital Status      9      29,669,734.41      677,835.715      5        Previously Married      1,552      13.10%      7,844,111.637      277,208.87        Never Married      3,982      37.50%      22,528,171.57      620,008.081        Geographic Region      1      14475,831      536,788.971        Midwest      2,582      24.10%      14,459,014.4      520,912.278        South      3,742      33.60%      20,169,863.1      549,600.568        West      2,472      23.20%      13,925,257.12      792,557.14        High School Diploma/GED**      8,532      80.0%      47,583,004.97      972,455,74        Pelvic Inflammatory Disease      903      7,60%      4,558,407.43      198,558.30        Diabets (non-gestational)      186<	Race						
White      7,726      80.00%      47,836,294.18      1,087,051.30        Other      587      6.00%      3,759,875.73      297,244.69        Hispanic Ethnicity      1,549      11.00%      6.668,275.83      33,992.52        Marital Status      Marited      5,290      49,40%      29,669,734.41      677,835.715        Previously Married      1,552      13.10%      7,844,111.637      277,260.887        Never Married      3,982      37.50%      22,528,171.57      620,086.081        Geographic Region      1      1      14,859,014.4      520,912.278        Northeast      2,582      24.10%      14,459,014.4      520,912.278        South      3,742      33.60%      20,169,863.1      549,600.568        West      2,472      23.20%      13,925,257.12      792,455.74        Pelvic Inflammatory Disease      93      7.60%      4,538,407.43      196,425.32        BMICategory      10      4,377,586.23      196,425.32      BM        Underweight      6,808      63.00%      40,216,338.63      899,488.38        Ove	Black	2,511	14.00%		8,445,847.71	385,472.47	
Other      587      6.00%      3,759,875.73      297,244.69        Hispanic Ethnicity      1,549      11.00%      6,686,275.83      438,992.52        Married      5,500      49.00%      29,669,734.41      677,835.715        Previously Married      1,552      13.10%      7,844,111.637      277,260.887        Never Married      3,982      37.50%      22,528,171.57      620,086.081        Geographic Region        11,487,883      536,788.971        Nichwest      2,028      24,10%      14,459,014.4      520,912.278        South      3,742      33.60%      20,169,863.1      549,600.568        West      2,472      23.20%      13,925,257.12      792,537.716        High School Diploma/GED*8      8,532      88.00%      4,7583,004.97      974,557.4        Pelvic Inflammatory Disease      903      7.60%      4,937,586.23      196,425.32        BM Category        40,216,338.63      899,488.38        Overweight      6,00      3,031,351.29      161,358.98        Normal Weight      6,80%	White	7,726	80.00%		47,836,294.18	1,087,051.30	
Hispanic Ethnicity1,54911.00%6,686,275.83438,992.52Marital StatusMarried5,29049,40%29,669,734.41677,835.715Previously Married1,55213.10%7,844,111.637277,260.887Never Married3,98237,50%22,528,171.57620,086.081Geographic Region114,479,833536,788.971Midwest2,58224,10%14,459,014.4520,912.278South3,74233,60%20,169,863.1549,600,686West2,47223,20%20,252,57.12792,537.716High School Diploma/GED**8,53288.00%47,583,004.9792,245.74Pelvic Inflammatory Disease9037,60%4,558,407.43198,558.30Diabetes (non-gestational)1862,00%94,546.2785,749.50Hypertension8917,0%4,377,586.33899,488.38Overweight2,07519,00%10,116,057.66292,938.84Obsee2,07510,00%4,0216,338.63899,488.38Overweight2,07516,00%20,048,227.45552,895,60Had at Least 1 Pregnanty7,75966.80%20,048,227.45552,895,60INPercent920,048,227.45552,895,6011.77216,40%2,933,23.1421,537.3226,57.01ANPercent923,31,31.31121,537.3302,2922,30%2,30%2,323,31.31121,537.330	Other	587	6.00%		3,759,875.73	297,244.69	
Marital Status      Image: Constraint of the status        Married      5,290      49.40%      29,669,734.41      677,835.715        Previously Married      1,552      13.10%      7,844,111.637      277,260.887        Never Married      3,982      37,50%      22,528,171.57      620.086.081        Geographic Region      Image: Constraint of the status      53,6788.971      Midwest      52,0912.278        South      3,742      33,60%      20,169,863.1      549,600.568        West      2,472      23,20%      13,925,257.12      792,557.14        Pelvic Inflammatory Disease      903      7,60%      4,558,407.43      198,558.30        Diabetes (non-gestational)      186      2,00%      994,546.27      85,749.50        Hypertension      891      7,0%      4,377,586.23      196,425.32        BMI Category      Underweight      497      6.00      3,031,351.29      161,358.98        Normal Weight      6,808      63.00%      40,216,338.63      899,488.38      Obcese        Overweight      2,757      19,00%      10,116,057,66      292,938.86	Hispanic Ethnicity	1,549	11.00%		6,686,275.83	438,992.52	
Married      5,290      49.40%      29,669,734.41      677,835.715        Previously Married      1,552      13.10%      7.844,111.637      277,260.887        Never Married      3,982      37.50%      22,528,171.57      620,086.081        Geographic Region      1      1      620,086.081      620,728,071.05        Northeast      2,028      14,459,014.4      520,912.278        South      3,742      33,60%      20,169,863.1      549,600.568        West      2,472      23,20%      13,925,257.12      792,537.716        High School Diploma/GED**      8,532      88.00%      47,583,004.97      972,455.74        Pelvic Inflammatory Disease      903      7,60%      994,546.27      85,749.50        Hypertension      891      7,0%      4,3758.623      196,425.32        BMI Category      Underweight      497      6.00      3,031,351.29      161,358.98        Normal Weight      6,808      63,00%      40,216,338.63      899,488.38      00        Overweight      2,075      19,00%      10,116,057.66      292,928.86      00 <td>Marital Status</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Marital Status			1			
Previously Married1.55213.10%7.844.111.637277.260.887Never Married3.98237.50%22.528,171.57620.086.081Geographic RegionIII1.487,883536,788.971Midwest2.02819.10%11.487,883536,788.971Midwest2.58224.10%14.459,014.4520,912.78South3.74233.60%20.169,863.1549,600.568West2.47223.20%13.925,257.12792,537.716High School Diploma/GED**8,53288.00%47,583,004.97972,455.74Pelvic Inflammatory Disease9037.60%4,558,407.43198,558.30Diabetes (non-gestational)1862.00%994,546.2785,749.50Hypertension8917.0%4,375.86.23196,425.32BMI CategoryUUnderweight4976.003.031,351.29161,358.98Normal Weight6,80863.00%40,216,338.63899,488.38Overweight2.07519.00%10.116,057.66292,938.86Obese1,44413.00%6.678,270.04202,392.44Smoker ( $\geq$ 100 Lifetime Cigarettes)4,42842.00%25,010,736.61629,655.07Had at Least 1 Pregnancy7.7716.40%9.893,230.44314,673.1622.29220.30%12,191,434.53268,741.0031.70214.20%8,551,352.35285,268.1241.0158.30%4.974,282.69232,056.425507 </td <td>Married</td> <td>5,290</td> <td>49.40%</td> <td></td> <td>29,669,734.41</td> <td>677,835.715</td>	Married	5,290	49.40%		29,669,734.41	677,835.715	
Never Married3,98237.50%22,528,171.57620,086,081Geographic Region11111Northeast2,02819.10%11,487,883536,788.971Midwest2,58224.10%14,459,014.4520,912.278South3,74233.60%20,169,863.1549,600.568West2,47223.20%13,925,257.12792,537.716High School Diploma/GED**8,53288.00%47,583,004.97972,455.74Pelvic Inflammatory Disease9037.60%4,558,407.43198,558.30Diabetes (non-gestational)1862.00%994,546.2785,749.50Hypertension8917.0%4,075,83,004.97972,455.74BM CategoryUnderweight6.6003,031,351.29161,358.98Normal Weight6.80863.00%40,216,33.63899,488.38Overweight2,07519.00%10,116,057.66292,938.86Obese1,44413.00%6,678,270.04202,392.44Smoker ( $\geq$ 100 Lifetime Cigarettes)4,42842.00%25,010,73.61629,665.50Had Least 1 Pregnant7.75966.80%40,954,62.27552,895.6011,77716.40%9,893,23.04.431,467.31.622,29220.30%12,191,434.53326,874.1031,70214.20%8,551,352.35285,268.1211,77716.40%9,893,23.04.4314,673.1622,29220.30%12,191,434.53 <t< td=""><td>Previously Married</td><td>1,552</td><td>13.10%</td><td></td><td>7,844,111.637</td><td>277,260.887</td></t<>	Previously Married	1,552	13.10%		7,844,111.637	277,260.887	
Geographic RegionImage: Constraint of the second seco	Never Married	3,982	37.50%		22,528,171.57	620,086.081	
Northeast2,02819,10%11,487,883536,788.971Midwest2,58224.10%14,459,014.4520,912.278South3,74233.60%20,169,863.1549,600.568West2,47223.20%13,925,257.12792,537.716High School Diploma/GED**8,53288.00%47,583,004.97972,455.74Pelvic Inflammatory Disease9037.60%4,558,407.43198,558.30Diabetes (non-gestational)1862.00%994,546.2785,749.50Hypertension8917.0%4,377,586.23196,425.32BMI CategoryUnderweight6.80863.00%40,216,338.63899,488.38Overweight2,07519.00%10,116,057.66292,938.86Obese1,44413.00%6,678,270.04202,392.44Smoker ( $\geq$ 100 Lifetime Cigarettes)4,42842.00%25,010,73.61629,665.50Had Least 1 Pregnancy7,75966.80%20,048,297.45552,895.6011,77716.40%9,893,230.44314,673.1622,29220.30%12,191,434.5332,6874.1031,70214.20%8,551,352.35285,268.1241,0158.30%4,974,282.6923,056.4255073.90%2,323,131.31121,537.2362361.90%11,64,489.1190,756.4471230.90%53,6492.6553,971.4280.00%12,281.7333,351.6928 <td>Geographic Region</td> <td>-,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Geographic Region	-,					
Instant19101910/001910/00Midwest2,58224.10%14,459,014.4520,912.278South3,74233.60%20,169,863.1549,600.568West2,47223.20%13,925,257.12792,537.716High School Diploma/GED**8,53288.00%47,583,004.97972,455.74Pelvic Inflammatory Disease9037.60%4,558,407.43198,558.30Diabetes (non-gestational)1862.00%994,546.2785,749.50Hypertension8917.60%4,377,586.23196,425.32BMI CategoryU10,116,057.66292,938.86Overweight6,80863.00%40,216,338.63899,488.38Overweight2,07519.00%10,116,057.66292,938.86Obese1,44413.00%6,678,270.04202,392.44Smoker ( $\geq$ 100 Lifetime Cigarettes)4,42842.00%25,010,736.61629,665.50Had at Least 1 Pregnancy7,75966.80%40,095,621.69899,739.68Number of Times Pregnant </td <td>Northeast</td> <td>2.028</td> <td>19 10%</td> <td></td> <td>11.487.883</td> <td>536,788,971</td>	Northeast	2.028	19 10%		11.487.883	536,788,971	
InterviewPart of the problemPart of the problemSouth $3,742$ $33,60\%$ $20,169,863.1$ $549,600.568$ West $2,472$ $23.20\%$ $13,925,257.12$ $792,537.716$ High School Diploma/GED** $8,532$ $88.00\%$ $4,7583,004.97$ $972,455.74$ Pelvic Inflammatory Disease $903$ $7.60\%$ $4,558,407.43$ $198,558.30$ Diabetes (non-gestational) $186$ $2.00\%$ $994,546.27$ $85,749.50$ Hypertension $891$ $7.0\%$ $4,377,586.23$ $196,425.32$ BMI CategoryU $497$ $6.00$ $3,031,351.29$ $161,358.98$ Normal Weight $6,808$ $63.00\%$ $40,216,338.63$ $899,488.38$ Overweight $2,075$ $19.00\%$ $10,116,057.66$ $292,938.86$ Obese $1.424$ $13.00\%$ $6.678,270.04$ $202,922.44$ Smoker (≥100 Lifetime Cigarettes) $4,428$ $42.00\%$ $25,010,736.61$ $629,665.50$ Had at Least 1 Pregnancy $7.759$ $66.80\%$ $40,095,621.69$ $899,739.68$ Number of Times Pregnant $V$ $V$ $V$ $V$ 0 $3,079$ $33.30\%$ $20,048,297.45$ $552,895.60$ 1 $1,777$ $16.40\%$ $9,893,230.44$ $314,673.16$ 2 $2,292$ $20.30\%$ $12,191,434.53$ $326,874.10$ 3 $1,702$ $14.20\%$ $8,551,352.35$ $285,268.12$ 4 $1,015$ $8.30\%$ $4,974,282.69$ $223,056.42$ 2 $223$ $230,054.21$ <	Midwest	2,582	24 10%		14.459.014.4	520,912,278	
Next $2,472$ $23.20\%$ $11,912,525,712$ $792,337,716$ High School Diploma/GED** $8,532$ $88.00\%$ $4,558,407,43$ $198,558,30$ Diabetes (non-gestational) $186$ $2.00\%$ $994,546.27$ $85,749.50$ Hypertension $891$ $7.0\%$ $4,377,586.23$ $196,425.32$ BMI Category $U$ $U$ $4,377,586.23$ $196,425.32$ BMI Category $U$ $0.00\%$ $3.031,351.29$ $161,358.98$ Normal Weight $6,808$ $63.00\%$ $4.0216,338.63$ $899,488.38$ Overweight $2,075$ $19.00\%$ $10,116,057.66$ $292,938.86$ Obsee $1,444$ $13.00\%$ $6,678,270.64$ $202,392.44$ Smoker ( $\geq 100$ Lifetime Cigarettes) $4.428$ $42.00\%$ $25,010,736.61$ $629,665.50$ Had at Least 1 Pregnancy $7,759$ $66.80\%$ $40,095,621.69$ $899,739.68$ Number of Times Pregnant $V$ $V$ $V$ $V$ $0$ $3.03.97$ $33.30\%$ $20,048,297.45$ $552,895.60$ $1$ $1.777$ $16.40\%$ $9,893,230.44$ $314,673.16$ $2$ $2.292$ $20.30\%$ $12,191,434.53$ $326,874.10$ $3$ $1.702$ $14.20\%$ $8,551,352.35$ $285,268.12$ $4$ $4.00\%$ $2.323,131.11$ $121,537.23$ $6$ $1.90\%$ $1.36,489.11$ $90,756.84$ $7$ $2.30$ $3.09\%$ $2.323,131.31$ $121,537.23$ $6$ $1.00\%$ $1.36,489.11$ $90,756.84$	South	3,742	33.60%		20.169.863.1	549,600,568	
High School Diploma/GED**8,53288.00%47,583,004.97972,455.74Pelvic Inflammatory Disease9037.60%4,558,407.43198,558.30Diabetes (non-gestational)1862.00%994,546.2785,749.50Hypertension8917.0%4,377,586.23196,425.32BMI CategoryUnderweight4976.003,031,351.29161,358.98Normal Weight6,80863.00%40,216,338.63899,488.38Overweight2,07519.00%10,116,057.66292,938.86Obsee1,44413.00%6,678,270.04202,392.44Smoker (≥100 Lifetime Cigarettes)4,42842.00%25,010,736.61629,665.50Had at Least 1 Pregnancy7,75966.80%40,095,621.69899,739.68Number of Times PregnantNPercentNN03,037933,30%20,048,297.45552,895.6011,77716.40%9,893,230.44314,673.1622,29220.30%12,191,434.53326,874.1031,70214.20%8,551,352.35285,268.1241,0158.30%4.974,282.69223,056.4255073.90%2,323,131.31121,537.2362361.90%1.36,489.1190,756.8471230.90%536,492.6553,971.428460.30%1.92,817.3033,335.169280.20%1.48,972.5229,542.861017<	West	2.472	23.20%		13.925.257.12	792.537.716	
Nym      Nym <td>High School Diploma/GED**</td> <td>8.532</td> <td>88.00%</td> <td></td> <td>47.583.004.97</td> <td>972,455,74</td>	High School Diploma/GED**	8.532	88.00%		47.583.004.97	972,455,74	
Normal Process      Normal      Process      Process      Process        Diabetes (non-gestational)      186      2.00%      994,546.27      85,749.50        Hypertension      891      7.0%      4,377,586.23      196,425.32        BMI Category        4,377,586.23      196,425.32        Underweight      497      6.00      3,031,351.29      161,358.98        Normal Weight      6,808      63.00%      40,216,338.63      899,488.38        Overweight      2,075      19.00%      10,116,057.66      292,938.86        Obese      1,444      13.00%      6,678,270.04      202,392.44        Smoker (≥100 Lifetime Cigarettes)      4,428      42.00%      25,010,736.61      629,665.50        Had at Least 1 Pregnancy      7,759      66.80%      40,095,621.69      899,739.68        Number of Times Pregnant         52,895.60        1      1,777      1640%      9,893,230.44      314,673.16        2      2,292      20.30%      12,191,434.53      326,874.10        3      1,702 <td< td=""><td>Pelvic Inflammatory Disease</td><td>903</td><td>7.60%</td><td></td><td>4.558.407.43</td><td>198,558 30</td></td<>	Pelvic Inflammatory Disease	903	7.60%		4.558.407.43	198,558 30	
Initial generation100100100100100Hypertension8917.0%4,377,586.23106,425.32BMI CategoryUUUUUUUnderweight4976.00 $3,031,351.29$ 161,358.98Normal Weight6,80863.00%40,216,338.63899,488.38Overweight2,07519.00%10,116,057.66292,938.86Obese1,44413.00%6,678,270.04202,392.44Smoker ( $\geq 100$ Lifetime Cigarettes)4,42842.00%25,010,736.61629,665.50Had at Least 1 Pregnancy7,75966.80%40,095,621.69899,739.68Number of Times PregnantVVNN03,07933.30%20,048,297.45552,895.6011,77716.40%9,893,230.44314,673.1622,29220.30%12,191,434.53326,874.1031,70214.20%8,551,352.35285,268.1241,0158.30%4,974,282.69223,056.4255073.90%2,323,131.31121,537.2362361.90%1,136,489.1190,756.8471230.90%536,492.6553,971.428460.30%192,817.3033,335.169280.20%148,972.5229,542.8610170.10%74,971.4524,476.701160.00%25,902.0911,016.811240.00% </td <td>Diabetes (non-gestational)</td> <td>186</td> <td>2.00%</td> <td></td> <td>994.546.27</td> <td>85.749.50</td>	Diabetes (non-gestational)	186	2.00%		994.546.27	85.749.50	
Number	Hypertension	891	7.0%		4.377.586.23	196.425.32	
Link of P4976.00 $3,031,351.29$ $161,358.98$ Normal Weight6,808 $63.00\%$ $40,216,338.63$ $899,488.38$ Overweight $2,075$ $19.00\%$ $10,116,057.66$ $292,938.86$ Obese $1,444$ $13.00\%$ $6,678,270.04$ $202,392.44$ Smoker ( $\geq 100$ Lifetime Cigarettes) $4,428$ $42.00\%$ $25,010,736.61$ $629,665.50$ Had at Least 1 Pregnancy $7,759$ $66.80\%$ $40,095,621.69$ $899,739.68$ Number of Times Pregnant $V$ $V$ $V$ 0 $3,079$ $33.30\%$ $20,048,297.45$ $552,895.60$ 1 $1,777$ $16.40\%$ $9,893,230.44$ $314,673.16$ 2 $2,292$ $20.30\%$ $12,191,434.53$ $326,874.10$ 3 $1,702$ $14.20\%$ $8,551,352.35$ $285,268.12$ 4 $1,015$ $8.30\%$ $4,974,282.69$ $223,056.42$ 5 $507$ $3.90\%$ $2,323,131.31$ $121,537.23$ 6 $236$ $1.90\%$ $1,136,489.11$ $90,756.84$ 7 $123$ $0.90\%$ $536,492.65$ $53,971.42$ 8 $466$ $0.30\%$ $192,817.30$ $33,335.16$ 9 $28$ $0.20\%$ $148,972.52$ $29,542.86$ 10 $17$ $0.10\%$ $25,902.09$ $11,016.81$ 12 $4$ $0.00\%$ $20,339.39$ $10,664.98$ 13 $3$ $0.00\%$ $12,304.49$ $7,941.76$ 14 $2$ $0.00\%$ $9,242.17$ $6,558.79$ <td>BMI Category</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	BMI Category						
Normal Weight      6,808      63.00%      40,216,338.63      899,488.38        Overweight      2,075      19.00%      10,116,057.66      292,938.86        Obese      1,444      13.00%      6,678,270.04      202,392.44        Smoker (≥100 Lifetime Cigarettes)      4,428      42.00%      25,010,736.61      629,665.50        Had at Least 1 Pregnancy      7,759      66.80%      40,095,621.69      899,739.68        Number of Times Pregnant        99,739.68         0      3,079      33.30%      20,048,297.45      552,895.60        1      1,777      16.40%      9,893,230.44      314,673.16        2      2,292      20.30%      12,191,434.53      326,874.10        3      1,702      14.20%      8,551,352.35      285,268.12        4      1,015      8.30%      4,974,282.69      223,056.42        5      507      3.90%      2,323,131.31      121,537.23        6      136      1.90%      1,136,489.11      90,756.84        7      123      0.90%      536,492.65	Underweight	497	6.00		3,031,351,29	161,358.98	
Number of gamma      19.00      10.00      10.116,057.66      292,938.86        Obese      1,444      13.00%      6,678,270.04      202,392.44        Smoker (≥100 Lifetime Cigarettes)      4,428      42.00%      25,010,736.61      629,665.50        Had at Least 1 Pregnancy      7,759      66.80%      40,095,621.69      899,739.68        Number of Times Pregnant        552,895.60      1        0      3,079      33.30%      20,048,297.45      552,895.60        1      1,777      16.40%      9,893,230.44      314,673.16        2      2,292      20.30%      12,191,434.53      326,874.10        3      1,702      14.20%      8,551,352.35      285,268.12        4      1,015      8.30%      4,974,282.69      223,056.42        5      507      3.90%      2,323,131.31      121,537.23        6      236      1.90%      1,136,489.11      90,756.84        7      123      0.90%      536,492.65      53,971.42        8      0.20%      148,972.52      29,542.86	Normal Weight	6.808	63.00%		40.216.338.63	899.488.38	
Discr      Discr <t< td=""><td>Overweight</td><td>2.075</td><td>19.00%</td><td></td><td>10.116.057.66</td><td>292.938.86</td></t<>	Overweight	2.075	19.00%		10.116.057.66	292.938.86	
Smoker (≥100 Lifetime Cigarettes)      4,428      42.00%      25,010,736.61      629,665.50        Had at Least 1 Pregnancy      7,759      66.80%      40,095,621.69      899,739.68        Number of Times Pregnant      N      Percent           0      3,079      33.30%      20,048,297.45      552,895.60        1      1,777      16.40%      9,893,230.44      314,673.16        2      2,292      20.30%      12,191,434.53      326,874.10        3      1,702      14.20%      8,551,352.35      285,268.12        4      1,015      8.30%      4,974,282.69      223,056.42        5      507      3.90%      2,323,131.31      121,537.23        6      236      1.90%      1,136,489.11      90,756.84        7      123      0.90%      536,492.65      53,971.42        8      46      0.30%      192,817.30      33,335.16        9      28      0.20%      148,972.52      29,542.86        10      17      0.10%      74,971.45      24,476.70	Obese	1.444	13.00%		6.678.270.04	202.392.44	
Had at Least 1 Pregnancy      7,759      66.80%      40,095,621.69      899,739.68        Number of Times Pregnant      N      Percent      State      State        0      3,079      33.30%      20,048,297.45      552,895.60        1      1,777      16.40%      9,893,230.44      314,673.16        2      2,292      20.30%      12,191,434.53      326,874.10        3      1,702      14.20%      8,551,352.35      285,268.12        4      1,015      8.30%      4,974,282.69      223,056.42        5      507      3.90%      2,323,131.31      121,537.23        6      236      1.90%      1,136,489.11      90,756.84        7      123      0.90%      536,492.65      53,971.42        8      46      0.30%      192,817.30      33,335.16        9      28      0.20%      148,972.52      29,542.86        10      17      0.10%      74,971.45      24,476.70        11      6      0.00%      25,902.09      11,016.81        12      4	Smoker (>100 Lifetime Cigarettes)	4.428	42.00%		25.010.736.61	629.665.50	
Number of Times Pregnant      N      Percent      20,048,297.45      552,895.60        1      1,777      16.40%      9,893,230.44      314,673.16        2      2,292      20.30%      12,191,434.53      326,874.10        3      1,702      14.20%      8,551,352.35      285,268.12        4      1,015      8.30%      4,974,282.69      223,056.42        5      507      3.90%      2,323,131.31      121,537.23        6      236      1.90%      1,136,489.11      90,756.84        7      123      0.90%      536,492.65      53,971.42        8      46      0.30%      192,817.30      33,335.16        9      28      0.20%      148,972.52      29,542.86        10      17      0.10%      74,971.45      24,476.70        11      6      0.00%      25,902.09      11,016.81        12      4      0.00%      20,339.39      10,664.98        13      3      0.00%      12,304.49      7,941.76        14      2      0.00%      <	Had at Least 1 Pregnancy	7,759	66.80%		40.095.621.69	899.739.68	
N      Percent      20,048,297.45      552,895.60        1      1,777      16.40%      9,893,230.44      314,673.16        2      2,292      20.30%      12,191,434.53      326,874.10        3      1,702      14.20%      8,551,352.35      285,268.12        4      1,015      8.30%      4,974,282.69      223,056.42        5      507      3.90%      2,323,131.31      121,537.23        6      236      1.90%      1,136,489.11      90,756.84        7      123      0.90%      536,492.65      53,971.42        8      46      0.30%      192,817.30      33,335.16        9      28      0.20%      148,972.52      29,542.86        10      17      0.10%      74,971.45      24,476.70        11      6      0.00%      25,902.09      11,016.81        12      4      0.00%      20,339.39      10,664.98        13      3      0.00%      12,304.49      7,941.76        14      2      0.00%      9,242.17      6,558.79	Number of Times Pregnant	.,					
0      3,079      33.30%      20,048,297.45      552,895.60        1      1,777      16.40%      9,893,230.44      314,673.16        2      2,292      20.30%      12,191,434.53      326,874.10        3      1,702      14.20%      8,551,352.35      285,268.12        4      1,015      8.30%      4,974,282.69      223,056.42        5      507      3.90%      2,323,131.31      121,537.23        6      236      1.90%      1,136,489.11      90,756.84        7      123      0.90%      536,492.65      53,971.42        8      46      0.30%      192,817.30      33,335.16        9      28      0.20%      148,972.52      29,542.86        10      17      0.10%      74,971.45      24,476.70        11      6      0.00%      20,339.39      10,664.98        12      4      0.00%      20,339.39      10,664.98        13      3      0.00%      12,304.49      7,941.76        14      2      0.00%      9,242.17		N	Percent				
1      1,777      16.40%      9,893,230.44      314,673.16        2      2,292      20.30%      12,191,434.53      326,874.10        3      1,702      14.20%      8,551,352.35      285,268.12        4      1,015      8.30%      4,974,282.69      223,056.42        5      507      3.90%      2,323,131.31      121,537.23        6      236      1.90%      1,136,489.11      90,756.84        7      123      0.90%      536,492.65      53,971.42        8      46      0.30%      192,817.30      33,335.16        9      28      0.20%      148,972.52      29,542.86        10      17      0.10%      74,971.45      24,476.70        11      6      0.00%      20,339.39      10,664.98        12      4      0.00%      20,339.39      10,664.98        13      3      0.00%      12,304.49      7,941.76        14      2      0.00%      9,242.17      6,558.79	0	3,079	33.30%		20,048,297.45	552,895.60	
2      2,292      20,30%      12,191,434.53      326,874.10        3      1,702      14.20%      8,551,352.35      285,268.12        4      1,015      8.30%      4,974,282.69      223,056.42        5      507      3.90%      2,323,131.31      121,537.23        6      236      1.90%      1,136,489.11      90,756.84        7      123      0.90%      536,492.65      53,971.42        8      46      0.30%      192,817.30      33,335.16        9      28      0.20%      148,972.52      29,542.86        10      17      0.10%      74,971.45      24,476.70        11      6      0.00%      25,902.09      11,016.81        12      4      0.00%      20,339.39      10,664.98        13      3      0.00%      12,304.49      7,941.76        14      2      0.00%      9,242.17      6,558.79	1	1,777	16.40%		9,893,230.44	314,673.16	
3      1,702      14.20%      8,551,352.35      285,268.12        4      1,015      8.30%      4,974,282.69      223,056.42        5      507      3.90%      2,323,131.31      121,537.23        6      236      1.90%      1,136,489.11      90,756.84        7      123      0.90%      536,492.65      53,971.42        8      46      0.30%      192,817.30      33,335.16        9      28      0.20%      148,972.52      29,542.86        10      17      0.10%      74,971.45      24,476.70        11      6      0.00%      25,902.09      11,016.81        12      4      0.00%      20,339.39      10,664.98        13      3      0.00%      12,304.49      7,941.76        14      2      0.00%      9,242.17      6,558.79        15      1      0.00%      4,659.22      4,659.22	2	2,292	20.30%		12,191,434.53	326,874.10	
4      1,015      8.30%      4,974,282.69      223,056.42        5      507      3.90%      2,323,131.31      121,537.23        6      236      1.90%      1,136,489.11      90,756.84        7      123      0.90%      536,492.65      53,971.42        8      46      0.30%      192,817.30      33,335.16        9      28      0.20%      148,972.52      29,542.86        10      17      0.10%      74,971.45      24,476.70        11      6      0.00%      25,902.09      11,016.81        12      4      0.00%      20,339.39      10,664.98        13      3      0.00%      12,304.49      7,941.76        14      2      0.00%      9,242.17      6,558.79	3	1,702	14.20%		8,551,352.35	285,268.12	
5      507      3.90%      2,323,131.31      121,537.23        6      236      1.90%      1,136,489.11      90,756.84        7      123      0.90%      536,492.65      53,971.42        8      46      0.30%      192,817.30      33,335.16        9      28      0.20%      148,972.52      29,542.86        10      17      0.10%      74,971.45      24,476.70        11      6      0.00%      25,902.09      11,016.81        12      4      0.00%      20,339.39      10,664.98        13      3      0.00%      12,304.49      7,941.76        14      2      0.00%      9,242.17      6,558.79	4	1,015	8.30%		4,974,282.69	223,056.42	
6      236      1.90%      1,136,489.11      90,756.84        7      123      0.90%      536,492.65      53,971.42        8      46      0.30%      192,817.30      33,335.16        9      28      0.20%      148,972.52      29,542.86        10      17      0.10%      74,971.45      24,476.70        11      6      0.00%      25,902.09      11,016.81        12      4      0.00%      20,339.39      10,664.98        13      3      0.00%      12,304.49      7,941.76        14      2      0.00%      9,242.17      6,558.79        15      1      0.00%      4,659.22      4,659.22	5	507	3.90%		2,323,131.31	121,537.23	
7      123      0.90%      536,492.65      53,971.42        8      46      0.30%      192,817.30      33,335.16        9      28      0.20%      148,972.52      29,542.86        10      17      0.10%      74,971.45      24,476.70        11      6      0.00%      25,902.09      11,016.81        12      4      0.00%      20,339.39      10,664.98        13      3      0.00%      12,304.49      7,941.76        14      2      0.00%      9,242.17      6,558.79        15      1      0.00%      4,659.22      4,659.22	6	236	1.90%		1,136,489.11	90,756.84	
8      46      0.30%      192,817.30      33,335.16        9      28      0.20%      148,972.52      29,542.86        10      17      0.10%      74,971.45      24,476.70        11      6      0.00%      25,902.09      11,016.81        12      4      0.00%      20,339.39      10,664.98        13      3      0.00%      12,304.49      7,941.76        14      2      0.00%      9,242.17      6,558.79        15      1      0.00%      4,659.22      4,659.22	7	123	0.90%		536,492.65	53,971.42	
9      28      0.20%      148,972.52      29,542.86        10      17      0.10%      74,971.45      24,476.70        11      6      0.00%      25,902.09      11,016.81        12      4      0.00%      20,339.39      10,664.98        13      3      0.00%      12,304.49      7,941.76        14      2      0.00%      9,242.17      6,558.79        15      1      0.00%      4,659.22      4,659.22	8	46	0.30%		192,817.30	33,335.16	
10      17      0.10%      74,971.45      24,476.70        11      6      0.00%      25,902.09      11,016.81        12      4      0.00%      20,339.39      10,664.98        13      3      0.00%      12,304.49      7,941.76        14      2      0.00%      9,242.17      6,558.79        15      1      0.00%      4,659.22      4,659.22	9	28	0.20%		148,972.52	29,542.86	
11      6      0.00%      25,902.09      11,016.81        12      4      0.00%      20,339.39      10,664.98        13      3      0.00%      12,304.49      7,941.76        14      2      0.00%      9,242.17      6,558.79        15      1      0.00%      4,659.22      4,659.22	10	17	0.10%		74,971.45	24,476.70	
12      4      0.00%      20,320.9      10,664.98        13      3      0.00%      12,304.49      7,941.76        14      2      0.00%      9,242.17      6,558.79        15      1      0.00%      4,659.22      4,659.22	11	6	0.00%		25,902.09	11,016.81	
13      3      0.00%      12,304.49      7,941.76        14      2      0.00%      9,242.17      6,558.79        15      1      0.00%      4,659.22      4,659.22	12	4	0.00%		20,339,39	10,664.98	
14      2      0.00%      9,242.17      6,558.79        15      1      0.00%      4,659.22      4,659.22	13	3	0.00%		12,304.49	7.941.76	
15 1 0.00% 4.659.22 4.659.22	14	2	0.00%		9.242.17	6,558,79	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	15	1	0.00%		4.659.22	4.659.22	
Total Lifetime Pregnancies      21,325      106.538.565.37      5.537.931.46	Total Lifetime Pregnancies	21,325			106,538,565.37	5,537,931.46	

**Table 1.** NSFG 1995 Respondent Sample with Representation of U.S. Population of Women (15–44 years old). <sup>†</sup>Estimates of population represented based on sampling scheme and weighting used in NSFG 1995<sup>11</sup>. \*Winsorized to 998 for income above 998% of poverty index and 21 for >=21 partners; \*\*General Equivalency Diploma. status, PID, hypertension, and diabetes. Number of male partners and marital status are associated with fertility. Marital status<sup>15</sup>, PID<sup>15</sup>, hypertension<sup>29</sup>, and diabetes<sup>30</sup> are associated with the risk of pregnancy loss.

Poisson regression was used to examine the contribution of asthma and/or hay fever to fertility after controlling for covariates/confounders. Covariates and confounders in the analysis included: smoking, age, race/ ethnicity, marital status, region, high school education/GED, income as % poverty index, history of PID, history of hypertension, history of diabetes, BMI, and number of male partners. The potential moderating effect of smoking on the relationship of asthma to fertility was examined by including interaction terms between smoking and asthma/hay fever phenotype into this analysis.

Stratified multivariable Poisson regression analysis was conducted according to smoking status: non-smokers (smoked less than 100 cigarettes in the lifetime) and smokers (smoked 100 or more cigarettes in the lifetime). The analysis of women nonsmokers provided an opportunity to evaluate the relation between asthma/hay fever phenotypes and fertility not confounded by smoking. This analysis included all covariates/confounders from the previous analysis with the exception of the smoking-related variables. The number of smokers/nonsmokers in each asthma/hay fever phenotype ranged between 244 to 775.

Another set of Poisson regression analysis was used to evaluate the contributions of asthma and or hay fever to impaired fecundity. The analysis included only women who had at least one pregnancy that did not end in induced abortion. Initial analyses included examination of the contribution of fertility and of asthma and/or hay fever to impaired fecundity. These were followed by models that included demographic, health, and behavior covariates in addition to these variables. For these analyses, number of pregnancies was a key predictor along with asthma/hay fever phenotype. The covariates/confounders in this set of analyses included: smoking, age, race/ ethnicity, marital status, region, high school education/GED, income as % poverty index, history of PID, history of hypertension, history of diabetes, BMI, and number of male partners.

The potential moderating role of asthma only in the relationship between fertility and impaired fecundity was examined in Poisson regression models by adding an interaction term between asthma only (dichotomous) and number of pregnancies per woman year to Poisson regression with asthma only and number of pregnancies as predictors. The graphs illustrate the interactions of fertility with asthma-hay fever status and with smoking status as predictors of impaired fecundity. These analyses controlled for the covariates and confounders included above. These graphs include estimates of pregnancy losses for 70 and 170 pregnancies per 1,000 woman-years, the 25<sup>th</sup> (NP25) and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles (NP75) respectively.

STATA version 12 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX) statistical software program svy was used for multivariable analyses. It computes accurate variances that account for the stratified cluster sampling and oversampling of some subgroups in the sampling design of the NSFG. The NSFG data set variable PANEL was included as the cluster variable, COL\_STR as the strata variable, and POST\_WT as the sampling weight in all analyses. Estimated marginal means were compared using t-tests with Holm's sequential Bonferroni corrections for multiple comparisons.

*Ethics.* The 1995 NSFG survey was carried out in accordance with relevant ethical guidelines and regulations. The 1995 NSFG survey protocols were reviewed and approved by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) Institutional Review Board/NCHS Research Ethics Review Board. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects or if subjects were under 18 years old from a parent or legal guardian.

#### Results

**Demographics.** A total of 10,824 women in the NSFG represents approximately 60 million US women aged 15–44 years. Of these 66.8% have at least one pregnancy by the time of their interview experiencing a total of 21,325 pregnancies, with the number of pregnancies ranging from 0 to 15 per woman. Demographic, health, and behavioral data for the sample and the population they represent are presented in Table 1.

**Fertility (number of pregnancies per woman-year).** Women experienced a mean fertility rate of 106.14 pregnancies per 1000 woman-years (95% CI = 103.0, 109.3). In Poisson regression with all aforementioned covariates, asthma only is a significant independent predictor of more pregnancies compared to women with neither asthma nor hay fever. No significant differences in number of pregnancies are observed in women with asthma and hay fever or hay fever only compared to women with neither asthma nor hay fever. (Table 2). Younger age, lower income, and less education (no high school diploma/GED) independently predict higher number of pregnancies per fertile year after controlling for asthma/hay fever and all other covariates. Being married or previously married (compared with never married), Hispanic or non-Hispanic black (compared with non-Hispanic white), being overweight (compared with normal weight), smoking ( $\geq$ lifetime 100 cigarettes), and having more male partners since puberty also independently predict higher number of pregnancies after controlling for all other covariates/confounders (Table 2). Smoking is also associated with higher fertility (Table 2). Based on these findings, the interactions between smoking and the asthma and hay fever variables were added to the Poisson regression model.

**Fertility in smokers/nonsmokers with asthma/hay fever phenotypes.** The significant interaction between asthma only and smoking ( $\beta = 0.1590$ , t = 1.97, 95% CI = 0.0000, 0.3180, p = 0.05) indicates that the presence of both is associated with increases in the number of pregnancies (Table 2). None of the other asthma/hay fever interactions with smoking were significant. Estimates of fertility (number of pregnancies per 1,000 woman-years) in smokers and non-smokers according to asthma/hay fever status and smoking status, controlling for all other covariates shown in Table 2, are included in Fig. 1.

Characteristic	Coeff.	Std. Error	t	р	95% Confidence Interval		
Asthma and/or Hay Fever							
Asthma Only	0.1196	0.0482	2.48	0.014	0.0245, 0.2148		
Hay Fever Only	0.0053	0.0320	0.17	0.868	-0.0578, 0.0685		
Asthma & Hay Fever	0.0203	0.0452	0.45	0.654	-0.0688, 0.1093		
Neither	(ref)						
Age	-0.0204	0.0016	-12.9	< 0.001	-0.0235, -0.0173		
Race/Ethnicity							
Hispanic	0.1625	0.0311	5.23	< 0.001	0.1012, 0.223		
Non-Hispanic Black	0.3935	0.0276	14.28	< 0.001	0.3392, 0.4479		
Non-Hispanic Others	0.0641	0.054	1.19	0.234	-0.0417, 0.1700		
Non-Hispanic White	(ref)						
Marital Status							
Married	0.8643	0.0386	22.41	< 0.001	0.7882, 0.9403		
Previously Married	0.6572	0.0440	14.95	< 0.001	0.5704, 0.7439		
Never Married	(ref)						
Region							
North East	0.0077	0.0319	0.24	0.810	-0.0553, 0.0706		
Midwest	-0.0407	0.0354	-1.15	0.251	-0.1105, 0.0290		
South	-0.1515	0.0289	-5.24	< 0.001	-0.2086, -0.0945		
West	(ref)						
High School Grad or GED	-0.3651	0.0275	-13.28	< 0.001	-0.4193, -0.3108		
% Poverty Index	-0.0012	0.0011	-20.01	< 0.001	-0.0014, -0.0011		
PID	0.0602	0.0321	1.87	0.063	-0.0032, 0.1236		
Diabetes	-0.1410	0.0902	-1.56	0.120	-0.3190, 0.0370		
Hypertension	-0.0708	0.0386	-1.84	0.068	-0.1469, 0.0053		
BMI Category							
Underweight	< 0.0015	0.0485	0.01	0.992	-0.0951, 0.0961		
Overweight	0.0889	0.0205	4.33	< 0.001	0.0484, 0.1294		
Obese	0.0504	0.0262	1.92	0.056	-0.0014, 0.1021		
Normal Weight	(ref)						
Smoker	0.1265	0.0191	6.61	< 0.001	0.0887, 0.1642		
Number Male Partners	0.0226	0.0018	12.78	< 0.001	0.0191, 0.0261		
Constant	-1.709	0.0684	-24.99	< 0.001	-1.8439, -1.5741		

**Table 2.** Fertility in All Women: Results of Poisson regression examining the independent contributions ofasthma/hay fever categories and other predictors to number of pregnancies per woman-year in women aged15–44 years in the NSFG (\*N = 9,284). GED general equivalency diploma; ref reference group. \*N reduced dueto missing data.

In smokers, there is no significant difference in fertility between women with hay fever only compared to women with neither asthma nor hay fever. Among non-smokers there is also no significant difference in fertility in women with hay fever only or asthma and hay fever compared to women nonsmokers with neither asthma nor hay fever (Fig. 1). The pattern of the significance of the relationships of the other covariates from age to number of male partners (Table 2) to number of pregnancies did not change.

The moderation effect of smoking on the relationship of asthma/hay fever status to number of pregnancies is evaluated using stratified multivariable Poisson regression analyses performed on non-smokers (Table 3) and smokers (Table 4). Among women who smoked fewer than 100 cigarettes in their lifetimes (non-smokers), asthma only is not a significant independent predictor of the number of pregnancies per woman year compared to women with neither asthma nor hay fever (Table 3). The relationships of the other covariates to number of pregnancies remains relatively unchanged except for hypertension which predicts lower numbers of pregnancies (Table 3).

Among smokers, asthma only is a significant independent predictor of increased number of pregnancies per woman year compared to women with neither asthma nor hay fever (Table 4). In smokers, hay fever only and asthma and hay fever are not significant independent predictors of the number of pregnancies compared to women with neither asthma nor hay fever (Table 4). The relationships of the other covariates to number of pregnancies in smokers remains relatively unchanged (Table 4) compared to the analysis of all women (Table 2).

**Fecundity (number of live births per woman-year)/Impaired Fecundity (number of spontaneous pregnancy losses per woman-year).** Fecundity and impaired fecundity were examined using pregnancies that did not end in induced abortions. Pregnancy losses and number of pregnancies (excluding abortion) are



**Figure 1.** Estimated fertility (number of pregnancies per 1,000 woman-years) according to asthma/hay fever diagnosis and smoking status controlling for age, race/ethnicity, marital status, region, education, income, pelvic inflammatory disease, diabetes, hypertension, BMI, and number of male partners from the NSFG (N = 9,284). Estimates based on complex samples analysis in Table 2 adjusted for multiple comparisons, \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.001.

Characteristic	Coeff.	Std. Error	t	p	95% Confidence Interval		
Asthma and/or Hay Fever							
Asthma Only	0.0324	0.0665	0.49	0.626	-0.0988, 0.1637		
Hay Fever Only	-0.0194	0.0345	-0.56	0.576	-0.08751, 0.0487		
Asthma & Hay Fever	0.0684	0.0762	0.90	0.370	-0.0819, 0.2187		
Neither	(ref)						
Age	-0.0113	0.0019	-5.85	< 0.001	-0.0151, -0.0075		
Race/Ethnicity							
Hispanic	0.1157	0.0420	2.75	0.007	0.0328, 0.1986		
Non-Hispanic Black	0.4673	0.0355	13.17	< 0.001	0.3973, 0.5373		
Non-Hispanic Others	0.0101	0.0656	0.15	0.877	-0.1194, 0.1396		
Non-Hispanic White	(ref)						
Marital Status							
Married	1.026	0.0509	20.17	< 0.001	0.9257, 1.1265		
Previously Married	0.6865	0.0568	12.08	< 0.001	0.5744, 0.7986		
Never Married	(ref)						
Region							
North East	0.0309	0.0433	0.71	0.476	-0.0545, 0.1162		
Midwest	-0.0230	0.0470	-0.49	0.625	-0.1158, 0.0697		
South	-0.1391	0.0431	-3.23	0.001	-0.2240, -0.0541		
West	(ref)						
High School Grad or GED	-0.3922	0.0385	-10.19	< 0.001	-0.4682, -0.3163		
% Poverty Index	-0.0015	0.0011	-16.67	< 0.001	-0.0017, -0.0013		
PID	0.0939	0.0491	1.91	0.058	-0.0031, 0.1908		
Diabetes	-0.0443	0.1525	-0.29	0.772	-0.3452, 0.2566		
Hypertension	-0.1143	0.0449	-2.54	0.012	-0.2029, -0.0256		
BMI Category							
Underweight	-0.0817	0.0761	-1.07	0.285	-0.2319, 0.0685		
Overweight	0.0659	0.0315	2.09	0.038	0.0037, 0.1281		
Obese	0.0590	0.0373	1.58	0.115	-0.0145, 0.1326		
Normal weight	(ref)						
Number Male Partners	0.0255	0.0031	8.34	< 0.001	0.0195, 0.0315		
Constant	-2.0227	0.0928	-21.79	< 0.001	-2.2059, -1.8396		

**Table 3.** Fertility in Women Nonsmokers: Results of Poisson regression examining the independentcontributions of asthma/hay fever categories and other predictors to number of pregnancies per woman-yearin nonsmokers (<100 lifetime cigarettes) aged 15–44 years in the NSFG (N = 5,197). GED general equivalency</td>diploma; ref reference group.

Characteristic	Coeff.	Std. Error	t	р	95% Confidence Interval		
Asthma and/or Hay Fever							
Asthma Only	0.1934	0.0563	3.44	0.001	0.0824, 0.3044		
Hay Fever Only	0.0228	0.0504	0.45	0.652	-0.0767, 0.1222		
Asthma & Hay Fever	-0.0303	0.0521	-0.58	0.562	-0.1331, 0.0726		
Neither	(ref)						
Age	-0.0292	0.0024	-12.41	< 0.001	-0.0339, -0.0246		
Race/Ethnicity							
Hispanic	0.2086	0.0410	5.08	< 0.001	0.1277, 0.2896		
Non-Hispanic Black	0.3258	0.0409	7.97	< 0.001	0.2451, 0.4065		
Non-Hispanic Others	0.1516	0.0889	1.71	0.090	-0.0238, 0.3270		
Non-Hispanic White	(ref)						
Marital Status							
Married	0.6790	0.0504	13.47	< 0.001	0.5796, 0.7785		
Previously Married	0.5734	0.0592	9.68	< 0.001	0.4565, 0.6903		
Never Married	(ref)						
Region							
North East	-0.0240	0.0402	-0.60	0.550	-0.1034, 0.0553		
Midwest	-0.0671	0.0453	-1.48	0.141	-0.1565, 0.0224		
South	-0.1715	0.0367	-4.68	< 0.001	-0.2438, -0.0991		
West	(ref)						
High School Grad or GED	-0.3506	0.0373	-9.40	< 0.001	-0.4242, -0.2770		
% Poverty Index	-0.0010	0.0000	-12.50	< 0.001	-0.0011, -0.0008		
PID	0.0344	0.0439	0.79	0.443	-0.0521, 0.1210		
Diabetes	-0.1621	0.0836	-1.94	0.054	-0.3271, 0.0029		
Hypertension	-0.0341	0.0565	-0.60	0.547	-0.1455, 0.0773		
BMI Category							
Underweight	0.0737	0.0657	1.12	0.263	-0.0559, 0.2033		
Overweight	0.1071	0.0279	3.84	< 0.001	0.0521, 0.1623		
Obese	0.0197	0.0378	0.46	0.645	-0.0572, 0.0921		
Normal Weight	(ref)						
Number Male Partners	0.0175	0.0021	9.10	< 0.001	0.0155, 0.0240		
Constant	-1.2141	0.0884	-13.73	< 0.001	-1.389, -1.040		

**Table 4.** Fertility in Women Smokers: Results of Poisson regression examining the independent contributionsof asthma/hay fever categories and other predictors to number of pregnancies per woman-year in smokers( $\geq 100$  lifetime cigarettes) aged 15–44 years in the NSFG (N = 4,037). GED general equivalency diploma; refreference group.

available from 7,658 respondents who had been pregnant at least once, representing approximately 39,413,900 women. Women experienced 135.0 pregnancies per 1000 woman-years (95% CI = 132.1, 138.1) that did not end in induced abortion. Among these women were 401 respondents, representing approximately 2.5 million women, who reported zero pregnancies that did not end in abortion. The pregnancies resulted in 110.33 live births per 1000 woman-years (95% CI = 23.1, 26.3) occurring in 18.5% of pregnancies reported. Five thousand four hundred eighty-five (5,485) respondents (71.6%), representing approximately 28 million women, did not experience spontaneous pregnancy losses.

Asthma phenotypes and impaired fecundity. Asthma only is analyzed as a contributor to the relationship of number of pregnancies and pregnancy losses. In bivariate Poisson regression analyses, asthma only, operationalized as a dichotomous variable, predicts both higher number of pregnancies ( $\beta = 0.2957$ , t = 6.76, 95% CI = 0.2094, 0.3819, p < 0.001) and higher pregnancy losses ( $\beta = 0.6807$ , t = 5.37, 95% CI = 0.4308, 0.9306, p < 0.001).

The role of asthma only as a moderator of the relationship between pregnancy and pregnancy losses is examined using Poisson regression. With the number of pregnancies per woman-year, asthma only and their interaction as predictors of spontaneous losses per woman-year, their interaction is a significant independent predictor ( $\beta = 0.9330$ , t = 2.03, 95% CI = 0.0283, 1.8380, p = 0.043). The greater the number of pregnancies, the greater the contribution of asthma only to pregnancy losses.

**Smoking and impaired fecundity.** The role of smoking as a moderator of the relationship between pregnancies and pregnancy losses is examined in Poisson regression. With the number of pregnancies per woman-year, smoking and their interaction as predictors of pregnancy losses per woman-year, their interaction is a significant independent predictor ( $\beta = -1.5709$ , t = -3.42, 95% CI = -2.4760, -0.6658, p = 0.001). The greater



**Figure 2.** Estimated impaired fecundity (number of pregnancy losses per 1000 woman-years) according to smoking status and number of pregnancies per thousand woman years, controlling for age, race/ethnicity, marital status, region, education, income, pelvic inflammatory disease, diabetes, hypertension, BMI, number of male partners and number of pregnancies as well as the interaction between asthma/hay fever status and number of pregnancies from the NSFG (N = 9,284). Estimates based on complex samples analysis in Table 5 adjusted for multiple comparisons.

the number of pregnancies, the lesser the relationship of smoking to pregnancy losses. The relationship of number of pregnancies to the number of pregnancy losses is lower in smokers than in non-smokers.

**Rates of pregnancy losses in smokers/nonsmokers.** The association of the interaction of smoking and number of pregnancies per 1,000 woman-years with spontaneous pregnancy losses per 1,000 woman-years is illustrated in Fig. 2. The estimate of the rate of pregnancy losses among smokers at NP75 is significantly higher than among nonsmokers after controlling for all covariates/confounders. In contrast, there is no significant difference in rates of pregnancy losses of smokers compared to nonsmokers at NP25.

**Asthma phenotypes/smoking and impaired fecundity.** A multivariable Poisson regression analysis of number of spontaneous pregnancy losses that includes the four categories of asthma and/or hay fever and all the previous covariates/confounders plus interaction terms for asthma/hay fever categories with number of pregnancies and for smoking with number of pregnancies is present in Table 5. The interaction of asthma only with number of pregnancies per woman year and the interaction of smoking with number of pregnancies, each are independent predictors of greater number of pregnancy losses per woman-year. None of the other asthma/hay fever categories had significant interactions with number of pregnancies as predictors of number of pregnancy losses. Other significant predictors of pregnancy losses are the number of pregnancies, being older, never being married, having a high school diploma, higher income, PID, and more male partners (Table 5). These findings suggest independent roles of asthma only and smoking in the process leading from number of pregnancies to pregnancy losses.

**Rates of pregnancy losses in asthma/hay fever phenotypes.** The association of the interactions of asthma and/or hay fever and number of pregnancies per 1,000 woman-years with spontaneous pregnancy losses per 1,000 woman-years is illustrated in Fig. 3. At NP75, neither of the estimates of rates of pregnancy losses with asthma only ( $26.90 \pm 2.73$ ) nor of asthma and hay fever ( $26.45 \pm 2.20$ ) are significantly different from the estimate for women with neither asthma nor hay fever [ $22.10 \pm 0.63$ ); t(5924) = 1.84, p = 0.065 and t(5935) = 1.72, p = 0.17, respectively]. In contrast, the estimate of rates of pregnancy losses in women with asthma only at NP25 ( $14.74 \pm 1.81$ ) is significantly higher compared to women with neither [ $10.84 \pm 0.40$ , t(5924) = 2.38, p = 0.017], whereas the rate in women with asthma and hay fever ( $14.16 \pm 1.41$ ) is not significantly different from women with neither [ $10.84 \pm 0.40$ , t(5935) = 2.09, p = 0.074]. Although not reaching statistical significance, the rates of spontaneous pregnancy losses for asthma and hay fever are similar to asthma only (Fig. 3). The contribution of number of pregnancies to pregnancy losses depends on asthma (with and without hay fever) [ $\beta$  = 0.9977, t = 2.97, 95% CI = 0.3359, 1.6596, p = 0.003]. After grouping asthma only and asthma and hay fever together, asthma predicts significantly increased rates of spontaneous pregnancy losses compared with women without asthma after controlling for interactions and covariates/ confounders. Figure 3 illustrates estimated spontaneous pregnancy losses at NP25 and NP75.

#### Discussion

Fertility findings in the current analysis are consistent with U.S. data. The overall fertility rate of 106.14 pregnancies per 1,000 woman-years is similar to that previously reported<sup>31</sup>. Asthma only, smoking, and younger age are predictors of significantly higher fertility (Table 2) consistent with the highest U.S. pregnancy rate (1976–1996) in the age 20–24 cohort<sup>25</sup>. The differing asthma/hay fever phenotypes and their associated endotypes provide an

Characteristic	Coeff.	Std. Error	t	р	95% Confidence Interval		
Number of Pregnancies (P)	6.4854	0.2780	23.33	< 0.001	5.9369, 7.0340		
Asthma and/or Hay Fever							
Asthma Only	0.4240	0.1452	2.92	0.004	0.1375, 0.7105		
Hay Fever Only	0.0229	0.1274	0.18	0.858	-0.2286, 0.2743		
Asthma & Hay Fever	0.3496	0.1203	2.91	0.004	0.1123, 0.5869		
Neither	(ref)						
Smoke	-0.0253	0.0688	-0.37	0.714	-0.1611, 0.1105		
Asthma and/or Hay Fever X <sup>†</sup> P							
Asthma Only X P	-1.1133	0.3811	-2.92	0.004	-1.8651, -0.3615		
Hay Fever Only X P	-0.0954	0.6940	-0.14	0.891	-1.4646, 1.2738		
Asthma & Hay Fever X P	-0.9257	0.4924	-1.88	0.062	-1.8970, 0.0456		
Smoke X P	1.3020	0.3130	4.16	< 0.001	0.6846, 1.9194		
Age	0.0228	0.0046	4.96	< 0.001	0.0137, 0.0318		
Race/Ethnicity							
Hispanic	-0.0811	0.0711	-1.14	0.255	-0.2213, 0.0591		
Non-Hispanic Black	-0.1286	0.0791	-1.62	0.106	-0.2846, 0.0276		
Non-Hispanic Others	-0.0617	0.1444	-0.43	0.670	-0.3465, 0.2231		
Non-Hispanic White	(ref)						
Marital Status							
Married	-0.2169	0.0872	-2.49	0.014	-0.3890, -0.0449		
Previously Married	-0.2221	0.0967	-2.30	0.023	-0.4128, -0.0313		
Never Married	(ref)						
Region							
North East	-0.0076	0.0708	-0.11	0.915	-0.1473, 0.1322		
Midwest	-0.1018	0.0847	-1.20	0.231	-0.2689, 0.0654		
South	0.0192	0.0731	0.26	0.793	-0.1250, 0.1634		
West	(ref)						
High School Grad or GED	0.2237	0.0652	3.43	< 0.001	0.0951, 0.3522		
% Poverty Index	0.0010	0.0001	8.40	< 0.001	0.0007, 0.0012		
PID	0.3044	0.0712	4.27	< 0.001	0.1639, 0.4449		
Diabetes	-0.0479	0.2445	-0.20	0.845	-0.5302, 0.4344		
Hypertension	-0.0058	0.0930	-0.06	0.951	-0.1891, 0.1776		
BMI Category							
Underweight	0.0904	0.0993	0.91	0.364	-0.1055, 0.2863		
Overweight	0.0352	0.0591	0.60	0.552	-0.0814, 0.1517		
Obese	0.1031	0.0828	1.25	0.214	-0.0601, 0.2664		
Number Male Partners	0.0088	0.0045	1.98	0.050	0.0000, 0.0768		
Constant	-6.1463	0.2041	-30.11	< 0.001	-6.5490, -5.7436		

**Table 5.** Association of fertility with impaired fecundity: Results of Poisson regression examining the independent contributions of pregnancies per woman-year (excluding abortion), asthma only, smoking, the interactions of asthma and of smoking with number of pregnancies and other predictors to number of spontaneous pregnancy losses per woman-year (excluding abortion) in women aged 15–44 years in the NSFG (\*N = 7,239). X<sup>†</sup> = interaction; GED general equivalency diploma; ref reference group. \*N reduced due to missing data.

immunologic rationale for the association of smoking and asthma only smokers with increased fertility. Asthma/ hay fever phenotypes differ by age and smoking in their association with allergen skin test positivity<sup>19</sup>. During the early reproductive years ( $\leq$ 24 years old), the clinical phenotypes hay fever only, regardless of smoking, and asthma and hay fever in nonsmokers are significantly associated with aeroallergen skin test positivity (number of positive skin tests)<sup>19</sup>. Skin test positivity to either ragweed or rye grass in the U.S. population (<24 years old) increases the odds of hay fever only 160–200% and skin test positivity to *Alternaria* increases the odds of asthma and hay fever 860%<sup>32</sup>. Proteases in ragweed, rye grass, and *Alternaria* aeroallergens can activate a T2 immune response<sup>33</sup>. These clinical phenotypes are atopic, consistent with T2 inflammatory endotypes, respectively termed T2 immune response rhinitis<sup>9,10</sup> and T2-high asthma<sup>34,35</sup>. T2-high asthma is associated with eosinophilia<sup>36</sup>. The asthma and hay fever phenotype accounts for about 50% of asthma prevalence<sup>37</sup> in women (Table 1) and has been characterized as being mild to moderate in severity<sup>34</sup>.

In contrast, the prevalence of asthma only (without hay fever) in the early reproductive years as well as during the later reproductive years (25–49 years of age) in nonsmokers has a significantly lower association with



**Figure 3.** Estimated impaired fecundity (number of pregnancy losses per 1000 woman-years) according to asthma/hay fever status and number of pregnancies per thousand woman years, controlling for age, race/ ethnicity, marital status, region, education, income, pelvic inflammatory disease, diabetes, hypertension, BMI, number of male partners, and number of pregnancies as well as the interaction of number of pregnancies with smoking from the NSFG (N = 9,284). Estimates based on complex samples analysis in Table 5 adjusted for multiple comparisons.

aeroallergen skin test positivity<sup>19</sup> and include endotypes classified as T2-low asthma<sup>37–39</sup>/non-T2 Type 1 (T1) asthma<sup>34,40</sup>. The nonatopic phenotype, asthma only, also accounts for about 50% of asthma<sup>39,41</sup> and "…may be highly prevalent in mild to moderate asthmatics in the general population".<sup>42</sup>

Immune deviation from a T2-high asthma to a T2-low asthma/T1 endotype is characterized by biomarkers of neutrophil recruitment e.g., IL-1alpha, IL-6, IL-8<sup>43</sup>, innate immune response dysregulation e.g., IL-23, TNF alpha, interferon, IL-17<sup>44-48</sup>, and includes neutrophilic noneosinophilic asthma<sup>49</sup>. Neutrophilic asthma is significantly increased in smokers with asthma compared to nonsmokers with asthma<sup>50</sup> and in previous smokers with severe asthma compared to never smokers with severe asthma<sup>51</sup>.

The proinflammatory T2-low asthma endotype has similar biomarker characteristics to the fetal-maternal interface during implantation. Prior to implantation<sup>52,53</sup> and in the peri-implantation period the fetal-maternal interface is also characterized by immune deviation to a proinflammatory<sup>54</sup> (IL-1beta, IL-6, LIF, PGE2, CXCL8, IL-17A, TNF) T2-low endotype<sup>55–57</sup>. Insemination, exposure to semen, initiates a short lived neutrophilic inflammatory (IL-1beta, TNF alpha, CxCL1, IL-17A) internal genital response<sup>58–60</sup>. The placental cytokines, IL-1beta, IL-6, TNFalpha, as well as increased PGE2<sup>61,62</sup>, are also associated with early onset (2 weeks after fertilization) pregnancy symptoms e.g., nausea/vomiting<sup>62</sup>. PGE2, a negative regulator of type 2 innate lymphoid cell response<sup>63</sup>, is a mediator of cough variant asthma<sup>64,65</sup> in whom half studied are nonatopic and nearly two-thirds women<sup>66,67</sup>. Soluble HLA-G is both a biomarker of the T2-low asthma endotype<sup>68</sup> and a tolerance inducing MHC molecule that facilitates implantation at the fetal-maternal interface<sup>69</sup>. It is found in T2-low severe asthma in whom two-thirds studied were women and fifty percent on oral glucocorticosteroids<sup>68</sup>.

Smoking significantly increases the risk of asthma only in the U.S population<sup>21</sup> and elicits a systemic proinflammatory response<sup>70-72</sup> including IL-1beta and IL-17 shifted cytokine profiles<sup>73</sup>. Thus deviation towards a T2-low endotype in smokers and smokers with asthma only may be permissive for embryo implantation in the early and prime reproductive years underlying the significantly positive interaction observed between smoking and asthma only (see Results) that predicts an even greater increase in fertility for asthma only smokers compared to other smokers (Fig. 1) and significantly increased fertility in smokers compared to nonsmokers. In healthy women, there is a balance of T2-high and T2-low endotypes during embryo implantation<sup>74</sup>.

Predictors of fertility are consistent with previous studies. Proximate behavioral determinants of fertility include sexual exposure e.g., number of partners, marital/cohabiting status, and contraception<sup>75</sup>. Increased fertility of married women, of non-Hispanic blacks, of Hispanics compared to non-Hispanic whites, lower income, and educational attainment (Tables 2–4) have been reported<sup>26,76</sup>. In this respect, sexually active Hispanic, non-Hispanic black women, and women with lower education or lower income report lower use of contraceptives<sup>77</sup>. Differences in fertility associated with overweight/obesity may be due to behavioral factors as obese women utilize sterilization as a method of contraception more often<sup>78</sup>, have decreased marriage rates<sup>79</sup>, and a significantly higher risk of lifetime childlessness than overweight women<sup>80</sup>. Smoking is associated with risky sexual/health behaviors<sup>81</sup>, sexually transmitted diseases<sup>82–85</sup>, number of sexual partners<sup>85,86</sup>, and failure to use contraception<sup>86</sup>.

The covariates/confounders significantly associated with spontaneous pregnancy losses in the current study (Table 5) are consistent with those in previous reports. The percent of women (28.4%) experiencing a spontaneous pregnancy loss (see Results: Fecundity) is similar to the 28% recently reported<sup>87</sup>. Predictors of spontaneous pregnancy losses previously reported include increased age<sup>15,88</sup>, history of PID<sup>15,89,90</sup>, high school graduate<sup>15</sup> (or equivalent)<sup>16</sup>/higher education<sup>88</sup>, never having married<sup>15,88</sup>, higher income<sup>16,88</sup>, prior pregnancies<sup>88</sup>, smoking<sup>22</sup>, and number of male partners<sup>91</sup>.

The number of pregnancies is a significant independent predictor of pregnancy losses (Table 5). Women with pregnancy losses i.e., impaired fecundity are less likely to use contraceptives and more likely to have unintended pregnancies<sup>92</sup>. In interviews of women with impaired fecundity who had unintended pregnancies, over 60% of those who became pregnant believe they could not become pregnant or didn't mind becoming pregnant<sup>92</sup>. As ovulation resumes 20 days (median) after a spontaneous pregnancy loss and before the next menses, women may not have the necessary reproductive health information to be aware they may be able to conceive shortly after miscarriage<sup>93,94</sup>. Thus women with impaired fecundity may not be prepared to use contraception sufficiently early after a miscarriage<sup>95</sup> or have information pertinent to the most effective contraceptive methods<sup>96</sup>.

This study which analyzes history of previous pregnancy losses contrasts with our previous analyses of pregnancy loss based on the most recent singleton pregnancy. In that study, women (including smokers) with asthma only, but not women with asthma and hay fever, experienced an 80% increased odds of spontaneous pregnancy loss compared to those who had neither asthma nor hay fever<sup>15</sup>. Nonsmokers with either asthma only or asthma and hay fever, in that study, also did not have increased pregnancy loss<sup>15</sup>. Similarly, in this study, when individual phenotypes are analyzed, only women with asthma only at the lower number of previous pregnancies (NP25) have significantly increased rates of pregnancy losses (see Results: Rates of pregnancy losses in asthma/hay fever phenotypes). Common to both studies, smoking is an independent predictor of increased fertility (Table 2) in this study and a mediator with asthma of spontaneous pregnancy loss based on the most recent singleton pregnancy<sup>15</sup>. This pattern of pregnancy loss in our prior study in which the significant increase in pregnancy loss is observed only in women with asthma only that included smokers is similar to the pattern of fertility in this study in which the significant increase in fertility is observed only in asthma only smokers (Fig. 1) suggesting that the population of women selected based on their most recent singleton pregnancy may be representative of women with the highest fertility.

Increasing age and the moderation of smoking by number of pregnancies predict impaired fecundity (Table 5). This finding may be related to age and smoking associated endotypic changes in asthma/hay fever phenotypes. During the later reproductive years (>24 years old), the association of allergen skin test positivity with the prevalence of hay fever only increases, regardless of smoking<sup>19</sup>. In contrast, there is decreased association of allergen skin test positivity with the prevalence of asthma only as well as asthma and hay fever in nonsmokers after age 24 years compared to earlier reproductive years<sup>19</sup>. These findings suggest greater importance of nonallergic asthma etiologies as contributors to impaired fecundity in women with asthma and hay fever as they age as well as with asthma only.

Examples of nonallergic etiologies of asthma/asthma exacerbations, in addition to smoking, that are also associated with spontaneous pregnancy loss include infectious agents<sup>97,98</sup>, outdoor air pollution<sup>99–101</sup>, and indoor air pollution<sup>102,103</sup>. Indoor air contaminated by phthalates, ubiquitous semi-volatile endocrine-disrupting chemicals e.g., di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate used as plasticizers in polyvinyl chloride plastics and ingredients in personal care products/cosmetics<sup>104,105</sup>, result in higher phthalate exposure in women<sup>106</sup> and women of color<sup>107</sup>. Phthalate exposure is associated with an increased risk of endometriosis<sup>108</sup>. Endometriosis also increases the risk of spontaneous pregnancy loss<sup>109</sup> and women with asthma also have an increased risk of endometriosis<sup>110</sup>. The sexually dimorphic increased incidence of nonallergic asthma in young women following puberty<sup>111</sup> has been attributed to fluctuations in endogenous sex hormones as menarche, menstrual irregularity, pregnancy, and menopause as well as exogenous sex hormones (oral contraceptives and hormone replacement therapy) influence asthma exacerbations/remissions<sup>112</sup>. Phthalates in addition to being studied in association with spontaneous pregnancy loss<sup>103</sup> have also been detected in intrafollicular fluid during oocyte retrieval for fertility treatment<sup>113</sup>. Intrafollicular and serum phthalate levels are associated with alterations in levels of serum reproductive hormones e.g., decreased anti-Mullerian hormone <sup>114</sup> as well as follicular ovarian reserve hormones<sup>115</sup>.

The incidence of nonallergic asthma remains significantly higher in women than men throughout their reproductive years (>20 years old), with both higher incidence and prevalence of nonallergic asthma observed in the later reproductive years (>35 years old)<sup>116</sup>. Nonallergic asthma in young adults is also significantly increased in women and is associated with decreased allergen sensitization and decreased T2 markers consistent with T2-low asthma<sup>117</sup>. Older age, decreased allergen sensitization, and absence of allergic rhinitis are also associated with more severe asthma<sup>118</sup>. Nonallergic asthmatics have increased asthma exacerbations during pregnancy<sup>119,120</sup>. Airway reactivity associated with sputum neutrophilia is increased in asthmatic women during pregnancy<sup>119</sup>.

Although asthma severity is not associated with increased risk of spontaneous pregnancy losses, uncontrolled asthma (emergency room visits/hospitalization/systemic corticosteroids), especially in women >34 years old is<sup>121</sup>. IL-8 is a biomarker of uncontrolled asthma and is associated with increased blood<sup>122</sup> and bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) neutrophils<sup>123</sup>, oral/parenteral glucocorticoid unresponsiveness<sup>122</sup>, and reduced pulmonary function<sup>122,123</sup>. Endotypes of treatment resistant severe asthma include neutrophilic T2-low asthma<sup>43,49,124</sup> e.g., T2-low/Th17-low<sup>43</sup>, T1/Th17<sup>125,126</sup> which are associated with subclinical bronchial infection, increased IL-8<sup>43</sup>, lower airway dysbiosis<sup>127</sup>, and systemic inflammation<sup>128</sup>.

Immune deviation towards a T2-low asthma endotype in women as they age with asthma and hay fever and with asthma only provides an immunologic rationale for these asthma/hay fever phenotypes being associated with impaired fecundity. Immune deviation away from a T2 endotype<sup>129</sup> at the fetal-maternal interface after implantation contributes to spontaneous abortion and recurrent miscarriage<sup>130–132</sup>. Thus the nonallergic asthma phenotype, asthma only, which includes T2-low endotypes, might be permissive for embryo implantation facilitating fertility in younger women (Fig. 1), but not support gestation (Fig. 3). As there is decreased allergen skin test positivity associated with the prevalence of asthma and hay fever during the later (>24 years old) reproductive years<sup>19</sup> and as older age is a significant predictor of impaired fecundity (Table 5), the increased prevalence of nonallergic etiologies, T2-low endotypes, of asthma and hay fever in adult women may account for the increased rate of pregnancy losses in women with asthma and hay fever that is similar to asthma only (Fig. 3).

Severe neutrophilic asthma that skew towards a Th17 mediated immune response is also observed in a subset of asthma patients<sup>133,134</sup>. An imbalance in the ratio/function of Th17 (increased) with respect to Treg (decreased) has been observed in asthma<sup>135,136</sup> including neutrophilic asthma<sup>137</sup> as well as in recurrent spontaneous pregnancy loss<sup>138</sup>.

Immune deviation towards a T2-high endotype at the fetal-maternal interface after implantation contributes to maintaining immune tolerance of the semiallogeneic fetus during normal pregnancy<sup>139–141</sup>. Thus hay fever only, a T2-high endotype, is consistent with preserved fecundity in women with hay fever only (Fig. 3).

This study demonstrates the epidemiologic differences associated with fertility and impaired fecundity. Limitations of the 1995 NSFG include self-reports of pregnancies and diagnosed medical conditions, both subject to recall bias. The NSFG data do not include contraceptive use prior to each prior pregnancy, medications used, severity of asthma symptoms, adequacy of asthma treatment, tests of pulmonary function, menstrual irregularity, or polycystic ovary syndrome. Influence of age of menarche and endometriosis are not included in this analysis. The 1995 NSFG did not obtain laboratory samples/biomarkers precluding hormonal or endotype assessment.

Within the broad phenotype/endotype categories discussed, there are complex heterogenous subtypes of asthma<sup>142</sup> including asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease overlap<sup>143</sup> as well as subtypes of allergic rhinitis<sup>144</sup> that will need to be studied in relation to fertility/fecundity. Although subsequent NSFGs have not included questions pertinent to asthma and hay fever, the estimated prevalence of asthma and hay fever from the 1995 NSFG and the other risk factors associated with fertility and/or fecundity observed in this study are consistent with literature cited subsequent to the 1995 NSFG.

#### Conclusions

Asthma only is associated with the increased risks of both becoming pregnant and failing to maintain a viable pregnancy. These effects are confounded by increased fertility and pregnancy losses associated with smoking. The 1995 NSFG sharpens the focus on women smokers with asthma only who have both significantly increased fertility and impaired fecundity compared to other smokers. Women who have asthma or smoke require special attention to reproductive health education, family planning, and smoking cessation as well as optimal asthma/ medical management<sup>145,146</sup> of their high risk pregnancies prenatally and throughout gestation.

#### Data availability

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available in the NSFG Cycle 5 (1995): Public Use Data Files, Codebooks, and Documentation, [https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nsfg/nsfg\_cycle5.htm].

Received: 17 June 2019; Accepted: 26 November 2019; Published online: 10 December 2019

#### References

- 1. Backman, H. et al. Increased prevalence of allergic asthma from 1996 to 2006 and further to 2016 results from three population surveys. Clin. Exp. Allergy 47, 1426–1435 (2017).
- GBD 2015 Chronic Respiratory Disease Collaborators. Global, regional, and national deaths, prevalence, disability-adjusted life years, and years lived with disability for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and asthma, 1990–2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study. *Lancet Respir Med* 5, 691–706 (2017).
- Akinbami, L. J., Rossen, L. M., Fakhouri, T. H. I., Simon, A. E. & Kit, B. K. Contribution of weight status to asthma prevalence racial disparities, 2–19 year olds, 1988–2014. Ann. Epidemiol. 27, 472–478 (2017).
- Wegmann, T. G., Lin, H., Guilbert, L. & Mosmann, T. R. Bidirectional cytokine interactions in the maternal-fetal relationship: is successful pregnancy a TH2 phenomenon? *Immunol. Today* 14, 353–356 (1993).
- 5. Polese, B. *et al.* The endocrine milieu and CD4 T-lymphocyte polarization during pregnancy. *Front. Endocrinol. (Lausanne).* 5, 1–11 (2014).
- Martínez-Varea, A. et al. The Maternal Cytokine and Chemokine Profile of Naturally Conceived Gestations Is Mainly Preserved during In Vitro Fertilization and Egg Donation Pregnancies. J Immunol Res 128616, 1–8 (2015).
- 7. Walker, J. A. & Mckenzie, A. N. J. TH2 cell development and function. Nat. Rev Immunol 18, 121-133 (2018).
- Foster, P. S. et al. Modeling TH2 responses and airway inflammation to understand fundamental mechanisms regulating the pathogenesis of asthma. *Immunol Rev* 278, 20–40 (2017).
- Muraro, A., Lemanske, R. F., Hellings, P. W., Akdis, C. A. & Bieber, T. Precision medicine in patients with allergic diseases: Airway diseases and atopic dermatitis — PRACTALL document of the European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology and the American Academy of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 137, 1347–1358 (2016).
- Cavagnero, K. & Doherty, T. A. Cytokine and Lipid Mediator Regulation of Group 2 Innate Lymphoid Cells (ILC2s) in Human Allergic Airways Disease. J Cytokine Biol 2, 1–17 (2017).
- Kelly, J. E., Mosher, W. D., Duffer, A. P. J. & Kinsey, S. H. Plan and operation of the 1995 National Survey of Family Growth. Vital Health Stat. 1, 1–89 (1997).
- 12. Zegers-Hochschild, F. et al. The International Glossary on Infertility and Fertility Care. Fertil. Steril. 108, 393-406 (2017).
- Tata, L. J. et al. Fertility Rates in Women with Asthma, Eczema, and Hay Fever: A General Population-based Cohort Study. Am J Epidemiol 165, 1023–1030 (2007).
- 14. Gade, E. J. et al. Asthma affects time to pregnancy and fertility: a register-based twin study. Eur. Respir. J. 43, 1077–1085 (2014).
- Turkeltaub, P. C., Cheon, J., Friedmann, E. & Lockey, R. F. The Influence of Asthma and/or Hay Fever on Pregnancy: Data from the 1995 National Survey of Family Growth. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. Pract. 5, 1679–1690 (2017).
- Hemminki, E. & Forssas, E. Epidemiology of miscarriage and its relation to other reproductive events in Finland. Am J Obs. Gynecol 181, 396–401 (1999).
- Blackwell, D., Collins, J. & Coles, R. Summary health statistics for U.S. adults: National Health Interview Survey, 1997. Vital Heal. Stat Series 10, 1–117 (2002).
- Siroux, V., Ballardini, N., Soler, M. & Lupinek, C. The asthma-rhinitis multimorbidity is associated with IgE polysensitization in adolescents and adults. *Allergy* 1–12, https://doi.org/10.1111/all.13410 (2018).
- Gergen, P. J. & Turkeltaub, P. C. The association of allergen skin test reactivity and respiratory disease among whites in the US population. Data from the Second National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1976 to 1980. Arch. Intern. Med. 151, 487–492 (1991).

- Gartrell, N. K., Bos, H. M. W. & Goldberg, N. G. Adolescents of the U. S. National Longitudinal Lesbian Family Study: Sexual Orientation, Sexual Behavior, and Sexual Risk Exposure. Arch Sex Behav 40, 1199–1209 (2011).
- Turkeltaub, P. C. & Gergen, P. J. Prevalence of upper and lower respiratory conditions in the US population by social and environmental factors: data from the second National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1976 to 1980 (NHANES II). Ann. Allergy 67, 147–154 (1991).
- Pineles, B. L., Park, E. & Samet, J. M. Systematic review and meta-analysis of miscarriage and maternal exposure to tobacco smoke during pregnancy. Am. J. Epidemiol. 179, 807–823 (2014).
- Pignataro, F., Bonini, M., Forgione, A., Melandri, S. & Usmani, O. Asthma and gender: the female lung. *Pharmacol Res* 119, 384–90 (2017).
- Cavalcante, M. B., Sarno, M., Peixoto, A. B., Júnior, E. A. & Barini, R. Obesity and recurrent miscarriage: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J. Obstet. Gynaecol. Res. 45, 30–38 (2019).
- Ventura, S., Mosher, W., Curtin, S., Abma, J. & Henshaw, S. Trends in Pregnancies and Pregnancy Rates by Outcome: Estimates for the United States, 1976–1996. Vital Heal. Stat. Series 21, 1–47 (2000).
- Abma, J., Chandra, A., Mosher, W., Peterson, L. & Piccinino, L. Fertility, Family Planning, and Women's Health: New Data From the 1995 National Survey of Family Growth. Vital Heal. Stat Series 23, 1–114 (1997).
- Sahni, S., Talwar, A., Khanijo, S. & Talwar, A. Socioeconomic status and its relationship to chronic respiratory disease. Adv Resp Med 85, 97–108 (2017).
- 28. Martin, J. A. et al. Births: Final Data for 2006. Natl. Vital Stat. Reports 57, 1-104 (2009).
- Panaitescu, A. M., Syngelaki, A., Prodan, N., Akolekar, R. & Nicolaides, K. H. Chronic hypertension and adverse pregnancy outcome: a cohort study. Ultrasound Obs. Gynecol 50, 228–235 (2017).
- 30. Berger, H. et al. Diabetes in Pregnancy. J Obs. Gynaecol Can 38, 667-679 (2016).
- Ventura, S. J., Abma, J. C., Mosher, W. D. & Henshaw, S. Estimated Pregnancy Rates for the United States, 1990–2000: An Update. Natl. Vital Stat. Reports 52, 1–12 (2004).
- Gergen, P. J. & Turkeltaub, P. C. The association of individual allergen reactivity with respiratory disease: Data from the second National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 90, 579–588 (1992).
- Cayrol, C. *et al.* Environmental allergens induce allergic inflammation through proteolytic maturation of IL-33. *Nat. Immunol.* 19, 375–385 (2018).
- Wenzel, S. E. Emergence of Biomolecular Pathways to Define Novel Asthma Phenotypes Type-2 Immunity and Beyond. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 55, 1–4 (2016).
- Muehling, L. M., Lawrence, M. G. & Woodfolk, J. A. Pathogenic CD4+ T cells in patients with asthma. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 140, 1523–1540 (2017).
- Chung, K. F. Asthma phenotyping: a necessity for improved therapeutic precision and new targeted therapies. J Intern. Med. 279, 192–204 (2016).
- Woodruff, P. G. et al. T-helper Type 2 driven Inflammation Defines Major Subphenotypes of Asthma. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 180, 388–395 (2009).
- 38. Fahy, J. V. Type 2 inflammation in asthma present in most, absent in many. Nat. Rev Immunol 15, 57-65 (2015).
- Guibas, G. V., Mathioudakis, A. G., Tsoumani, M. & Tsabouri, S. Relationship of Allergy with Asthma: There Are More Than the Allergy 'Eggs' in the Asthma 'Basket'. Front Pediatr 5, 1–7 (2017).
- Oriss, T. D. et al. IRF5 distinguishes severe asthma in humans and drives Th1 phenotype and airway hyperreactivity in mice. JCI Insight 2, 1–16 (2017).
- Galeone, C. et al. Precision Medicine in Targeted Therapies for Severe Asthma: Is There Any Place for (Omics) Technology? Biomed Res. Int. 23(July 20), 1–15 (2018).
- 42. Semprini, R. et al. Type 2 biomarkers and prediction of future exacerbations and lung function decline in adult asthma. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. Pract. 6, 1982–1988.e1 (2018).
- Liu, W. et al. Mechanism of T H 2/T H 17-predominant and neutrophilic T H 2/T H 17-low subtypes of asthma. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 139, 1548–1558.e4 (2016).
- 44. Samitas, K., Zervas, E. & Gaga, M. T2-low asthma: current approach to diagnosis and therapy. *Curr Opin Pulm Med* 23, 48–55 (2017).
- 45. Svenningsen, S. & Nair, P. Asthma endotypes and an Overview of Targeted Therapy for Asthma. Front Med 4, 1-10 (2017).
- Agache, I. & Akdis, C. A. Endotypes of allergic diseases and asthma: An important step in building blocks for the future of precision medicine. *Allergol. Int.* 65, 243–252 (2016).
- Robinson, D. Revisiting Type 2-high and Type 2-low airway inflammation in asthma: current knowledge and therapeutic implications. Clin Exp Allergy 47, 161–175 (2017).
- Bhakta, N. R. et al. Interferon-Stimulated Gene Expression, Type-2 Inflammation and Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress in Asthma. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 197, 313–324 (2018).
- 49. Chung, K. Diagnosis and Management of Severe Asthma. Semin Respir Crit Care Med 39, 91-99 (2018).
- Dima, E., Rovina, N., Gerassimou, C., Roussos, C. & Gratziou, C. Pulmonary function tests, sputum induction, and bronchial provocation tests: diagnostic tools in the challenge of distinguishing asthma and COPD phenotypes in clinical practice. *Int J COPD* 5, 287–296 (2010).
- Westerhof, G. A. et al. Predictors of frequent exacerbations in (ex) smoking and never smoking adults with severe asthma. Respir Med 118, 122–127 (2016).
- 52. Liu, J. Implantation in eutherians: Which came first, the inflammatory reaction or attachment? PNAS 115, E1-E2 (2018).
- 53. Griffith, O. W., Chavan, A. R., Protopapas, S., Maziarz, J. & Romero, R. REPLY TO LIU: Inflammation before implantation both in evolution and development. *PNAS* **115**, E3–E4 (2018).
- 54. Mor, G., Cardenas, I., Abrahams, V. & Guller, S. Inflammation and pregnancy: the role of the immune system at the implantation site. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* **1221**, 80–87 (2011).
- Griffith, O. W., Chavan, A. R., Protopapas, S., Maziarz, J. & Romero, R. Embryo implantation evolved from an ancestral inflammatory attachment reaction. PNAS 114, E6566–E6575 (2017).
- Brighton, P. J. et al. Clearance of senescent decidual cells by uterine natural killer cells in cycling human endometrium. Elife 11, 1–23 (2017).
- 57. Chavan, A. R., Griffith, O. W. & Wagner, P. The inflammation paradox in the evolution of mammalian pregnancy: turning a foe into a friend. *Curr Opin Gen Devel* 47, 24–32 (2017).
- Sharkey, D. J., Tremellen, K. P., Jasper, M. J., Gemzell-danielsson, K. & Robertson, S. A. Seminal Fluid Induces Leukocyte Recruitment and Cytokine and Chemokine mRNA Expression in the Human Cervix after Coitus. *J Immunol* 188, 2445–2454 (2012).
- Song, Z., Li, Z., Li, D., Fang, W. & Liu, H. Seminal plasma induces inflammation in the uterus through the γδ T/IL-17 pathway. Sci. Rep. 6, 1–8 (2016).
- Polese, B. et al. Accumulation of IL-17+ Vgamma6+ gammadelta T cells in pregnant mice is not associated with spontaneous abortion. Clin Transl Immunol 7, e1008 (2018).
- Gadsby, R., Barnie-Adshead, A., Grammatoppouos, D. & Gadsby, P. Nausea and Vomiting in Pregnancy: An Association between Symptoms and Maternal Prostaglandin E2. *Gynecol Obs. Invest* 50, 149–152 (2000).

- 62. Bustos, M. et al. Nausea and Vomiting of Pregnancy-What's New? Aut. Neurosci 202, 62-72 (2017).
- Zhou, Y., Wang, W., Zhao, C., Wang, Y. & Wu, H. Prostaglandin E2 inhibits group 2 innate lymphoid cell activation and allergic airway inflammation Through E-Prostanoid 4-cyclic adenosine Monophosphate signaling. Front. Immunol. 9, 1–13 (2018).
  - Birring, S. S. et al. Induced Sputum Inflammatory Mediator Concentrations in Chronic Cough. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 169, 15–19 (2004).
  - Okazaki, A., Hara, J., Ohkura, N., Fujimura, M. & Sakai, T. Role of prostaglandin E 2 in bronchoconstriction-triggered cough response in guinea pigs. *Pulm. Pharmacol. Ther.* 48, 62–70 (2018).
  - Fujimura, M., Ogawa, H., Nishizawa, Y. & Nishi, K. Comparison of atopic cough with cough variant asthma: is atopic cough a precursor of asthma? *Thorax* 58, 14–18 (2003).
  - Cao, C. et al. Proteomic analysis of sputum reveals novel biomarkers for various presentations of asthma. J Transl Med 15, 171–9 (2017).
  - 68. White, S., Nicodemus-Johnson, J., Laxman, B. & Denner, D. Elevated levels of soluble humanleukocyte antigen-G in the airways are a marker for a low-inflammatory endotype of asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* **140**, 857–860 (2017).
  - Ferreira, L. M. R., Meissner, T. B., Tilburgs, T. & Strominger, J. L. HLA-G: At the Interface of Maternal Fetal Tolerance. *Trends Immunol.* 38, 272–286 (2017).
  - 70. Kim, R. Y. et al. In flammasomes in COPD and neutrophilic asthma. Thorax 70, 1199–1201 (2015).
  - Luetragoon, T., Rutqvist, L. E., Tangvarasittichai, O., Usuwanthim, K. & Lewin, N. Interaction among smoking status, single nucleotide polymorphisms, and markers of systemic inflammation in healthy individuals. *Immunology* 154, 98–103 (2017).
  - Selvarajah, S. et al. Multiple Circulating Cytokines Are Coelevated in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. Mediators Inflamm. 3604842, 1–9 (2016).
  - Raedler, D., Ballenberger, N., Klucker, E. & Bock, A. Identification of novel immune phenotypes for allergic and nonallergic childhood asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol 135, 81–91 (2015).
  - Liang, P., Diao, L., Huang, C. & Lian, R. The pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokine profile in peripheral blood of women with recurrent implantation failure. *Reprod. Biomed. Online* 31, 823–826 (2015).
  - 75. Bongaarts, J. A Framework for Analyzing the Proximate Determinants of Fertility. Popul. Dev. Rev. 4, 105–132 (1978).
  - Ventura, S. J., Mosher, W. D., Curtin, S. C. & Abma, J. C. Trends in Pregnancy Rates for the United States, 1976–97: An Update. Natl. Vital Stat. Reports 49, 1–10 (2001).
  - Chandra, A., Martinez, G., Mosher, W., Abma, J. & Jones, J. Fertility, Family Planning, and Reproductive Health of U. S. Women: Data From the 2002 National Survey of Family Growth. Vital Heal. Stat. Ser. 23, Programs Collect. Proced. 1–160 (2005).
  - Mosher, W. D., Lantos, H. & Burke, A. Obesity and contraceptive use among women 20-44 years of age in the United States: Results from the 2011–15 National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG). *Contraception* 5(Dec), 1–22 (2017).
  - Black, N., Kung, C. S. J. & Peeters, A. For richer, for poorer: the relationship between adolescent obesity and future household economic prosperity. *Prev. Med. (Baltim)*. 111, 142–150 (2018).
  - Frisco, M. & Weden, M. Early Adult Obesity and U.S. Women's Lifetime Childbearing Experiences. J Marriage Fam 75, 920–932 (2014).
  - Johnson, C. D., Jones, S. & Paranjothy, S. Reducing low birth weight: prioritizing action to address modifiable risk factors. J Public Heal. 39, 122–131 (2017).
  - Haar, K. et al. Risk factors for Chlamydia trachomatis infection in adolescents: results from a representative population- based survey in Germany, 2003–2006. Euro Surveill 18, 1–10 (2013).
  - 83. Unemo, M. et al. Sexually transmitted infections: challenges ahead. Lancet Infect. Dis. 17, e235-e279 (2017).
  - Rob, F. et al. Concordance of HPV-DNA in cervical dysplasia or genital warts in women and their monogamous long-term male partners. J Med Virol 89, 1662–1670 (2017).
  - 85. Daling, J. et al. Human Papillomavirus, Smoking, and Sexual Practices in the Etiology of Anal Cancer. Cancer 101, 270-280 (2004).
  - Edelman, N., Cassell, J., de Visser, R., Prah, P. & Mercer, C. Can psychosocial and socio-demographic questions help identify sexual risk among heterosexually-active women of reproductive age? Evidence from Britain's third National Survey of Sexual Attitudes and Lifestyles (Natsal-3). BMC Public Health 17, 1–10 (2017).
  - Buck Louis, G. M. et al. Lifestyle and pregnancy loss in a contemporary cohort of women recruited before conception: The LIFE Study. Fertil. Steril. 106, 180–188 (2016).
  - Rossen, L. M., Ahrens, K. & Branum, A. Trends in Risk of Pregnancy Loss Among US Women, 1990 2011. Paediatr. Perinat. Epidemiol. 32, 19–29 (2018).
  - Chayachinda, C. & Rekhawasin, T. Reproductive outcomes of patients being hospitalised with pelvic inflammatory disease. J Obs. Gynaecol 37, 228–232 (2017).
  - Trent, M., Bass, D., Ness, R. & Haggerty, C. Recurrent PID, Subsequent STI, and Reproductive Health Outcomes: Findings from the PID Evaluation and Clinical Health (PEACH) Study. Sex Transm Dis 38, 879–881 (2011).
  - Maconochie, N., Doyle, P., Prior, S. & Simmons, R. Risk factors for first trimester miscarriage results from a UK-populationbased case – control study. BJOG 114, 170–186 (2006).
- Mosher, W., Jones, J. & Abma, J. Nonuse of contraception among women at risk of unintended pregnancy in the United States. Contraception 92, 170–176 (2015).
- Donnet, M., Howie, P., Marnie, M., Cooper, W. & Lewis, M. Return of Ovarian Function Following Spontaneous Abortion. Obs. Gyne Surv. 46(1), 63–65 (1991).
- Stoddard, A. & Eisenberg, D. L. Controversies in Family Planning: Timing of Ovulation after Abortion and the Conundrum of Post-Abortion IUD Insertion. *Contraception* 84, 119–121 (2011).
- Flink-Bochacki, R. et al. Examining intendedness among pregnancies ending in spontaneous abortion. Contraception 96, 111–117 (2017).
- Dee, D. L. et al. Trends in Repeat Births and Use of Postpartum Contraception Among Teens United States, 2004–2015. MMWR 66, 422–426 (2017).
- Yoshii, Y. et al. Detection of pathogens by real-time PCR in adult patients with acute exacerbation of bronchial asthma. BMC Pulm. Med. 17, 1–8 (2017).
- He, J. et al. A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Influenza A Virus Infection During Pregnancy Associated with an Increased Risk for Stillbirth and Low Birth Weight. Kidney Blood Press Res 42, 232–243 (2017).
- Orellano, P., Quaranta, N., Reynoso, J., Balbi, B. & Vasquez, J. Effect of outdoor air pollution on asthma exacerbations in children and adults: Systematic review and multilevel meta- analysis. *PLoS One* 12, 1–15 (2017).
- 100. Williams, A. M., Phaneuf, D. J., Barrett, M. A. & Su, J. G. Short-term impact of PM2.5 on contemporaneous asthma medication use: Behavior and the value of pollution reductions. *PNAS* **116**, 5246–5253 (2019).
- 101. Grippo, A. *et al.* Air pollution exposure during pregnancy and spontaneous abortion and stillbirth. *Rev Env. Heal.* **33**, 247–264 (2018).
- 102. Bi, C. *et al.* Phthalates and organophosphates in settled dust and HVAC filter dust of U.S. low-income homes: Association with season, building characteristics, and childhood asthma. *Environ. Int.* 121, 916–930 (2018).
- 103. Radke, E. G. *et al.* Phthalate exposure and female reproductive and developmental outcomes: a systematic review of the human epidemiological evidence. *Environ. Int.* **130**, 1–19 (2019).

- Dodson, R. E., Nishioka, M., Standley, L. J., Perovich, L. J. & Brody, J. G. Endocrine Disruptors and Asthma-Associated Chemicals in Consumer Products. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 120, 935–943 (2012).
- Young, A. S. *et al.* Phthalate and Organophosphate Plasticizers in Nail Polish: Evaluation of Labels and Ingredients. *Env. Sci Technol* 52, 12841–12850 (2018).
- Lim, M., Young Park, J., Lim, J., Moon, H. & Lee, K. Receptor-based aggregate exposure assessment of phthalates based on individual's simultaneous use of multiple cosmetic products. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 127, 163–172 (2019).
- 107. Helm, J. S., Nishioka, M., Green Brody, J., Rudel, R. A. & Dodson, R. E. Measurement of endocrine disrupting and asthmaassociated chemicals in hair products used by Black women. *Environ. Res.* 165, 448–458 (2018).
- Wen, X. et al. The risk of endometriosis after exposure to endocrine-disrupting chemicals: a meta-analysis of 30 epidemiology studies. Gynecol Endocrinol 35, 645–650 (2019).
- 109. Farland, L. V. et al. Endometriosis and Risk of Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes. Obs. Gynecol 00, 1-10 (2019).
- Peng, Y., Su, S., Liao, W., Huang, C. & Hsu, C. Y. Asthma is associated with endometriosis: A retrospective population-based cohort study. *Respir. Med.* 132, 112–116 (2017).
- 111. Nicolai, T., Peerszlenyiova-Biznakova, L., Illi, S., Reinhardt, D. & von Mutius, E. Longitudinal follow-up of the changing gender ratio in asthma from childhood to adulthood: role of delayed manifestation in girls. *Pediatirc Allergy Immunol.* **14**, 280–283 (2003).
- 112. McCleary, N., Nwaru, B. I., Critchley, U. B. N. H. & Sheikh, A. Endogenous and exogenous sex steroid hormones in asthma and allergy in females: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Allergy Clin Immunol 141, 1510–1513.e8 (2018).
- 113. Du, Y. et al. Follicular fluid concentrations of phthalate metabolites are associated with altered intrafollicular reproductive hormones in women undergoing *in vitro* fertilization. *Fertil. Steril.* **111**, 953–961 (2019).
- 114. Du, Y. *et al.* Urinary phthalate metabolites in relation to serum anti-Müllerian hormone and inhibin B levels among women from a fertility center: a retrospective analysis. *Reprod. Health* **15**, 1–12 (2018).
- Schumacher, B. M. L., Jukic, A. M. Z., Steiner, A. Z., Hill, C. & Haven, N. Anti-Müllerian hormone as a risk factor for miscarriage in naturally conceived pregnancies. *Fertil Steril* 109, 1065–1071 (2018).
- Leynaert, B., Sunyer, J., Garcia-Esteban, R. & Svanes, C. Gender differences in prevalence, diagnosis and incidence of allergic and non-allergic asthma: a population-based cohort. *Thorax* 67, 625–631 (2012).
- Tsolakis, N., Malinovschi, A., Nordvall, L. & Janson, C. The absence of serum IgE antibodies indicates non-type 2 disease in young asthmatics. *Clin Exp Allergy* 48, 722–730 (2018).
- Mincheva, R., Ekerljung, L., Bossios, A. & Lundback, B. High prevalence of severe asthma in a large random population study. J Allergy Clin Immunol 141, 2256–64 (2018).
- 119. Ali, Z., Nilas, L. & Ulrik, C. S. Postpartum airway responsiveness and exacerbation of asthma during pregnancy a pilot study. J Asthma Allergy 10, 261–267 (2017).
- Stenius-Aarniala, B., Piirila, P. & Teramo, K. Asthma and pregnancy: a prospective study of 198 pregnancies. *Thorax* 43, 12–18 (1988).
- Blais, L., Kettani, F. Z. & Forget, A. Relationship between maternal asthma, its severity and control and abortion. Hum. Reprod. 28, 908–915 (2013).
- 122. Zhang, J. & Bai, C. Elevated Serum Interleukin-8 Level as a Preferable Biomarker for Identifying Uncontrolled Asthma and Glucocorticosteroid Responsiveness. *Tanaffos* 16, 260–269 (2017).
- 123. Hosoki, K. et al. Analysis of a Panel of 48 Cytokines in BAL Fluids Specifically Identifies IL-8 Levels as the Only Cytokine that Distinguishes Controlled Asthma from Uncontrolled Asthma, and Correlates Inversely with FEV 1. PLoS One 10, 1–16 (2015).
- 124. Kuo, Č. S. et al. T-helper cell type 2 (Th2) and non-Th2 molecular phenotypes of asthma using sputum transcriptomics in U-BIOPRED. Eur Respir J 49, 1–14 (2017).
- Wisniewski, J. A., Muehling, L. M., Eccles, J. D., Capaldo, B. J. & Woodfolk, J. A. TH1 signatures are present in the lower airways of children with severe asthma, regardless of allergic status. J Allergy Clin Immunol 141, 2048–60 (2018).
- 126. Chambers, E. S., Nanzer, A. M., Pfeffer, P. E. & Richards, D. F. Distinct endotypes of steroid-resistant asthma characterized by IL-17A high and IFN- g high immunophenotypes: Potential benefits of calcitriol. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 136, 628–637.e4 (2015).
- 127. Lu, J. et al. The Role of Lower Airway Dysbiosis in Asthma: Dysbiosis and Asthma. Mediators Inflamm. 3890601, 1–10 (2017).
- Wood, L. G., Baines, K. J., Fu, J., Scott, H. A. & Gibson, P. G. The Neutrophilic Infl ammatory Phenotype Is Associated With Systemic Inflammation in Asthma. *Chest* 142, 86–93 (2012).
- 129. Lombardelli, L. *et al.* Interleukin-17-producing decidual CD4+ T cells are not deleterious for human pregnancy when they also produce interleukin-4. *Clin. Mol. Allergy* 14, 1 (2016).
- Cai, J. & Li, M. Interleukin 23 regulates the functions of human decidual immune cells during early pregnancy. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 469, 340–344 (2016).
- Li, F. et al. Upregulation of Tim-3 expression at feto- maternal interface may explain embryo survival in the CBAxDBA/2 model of abortion. Am J Reprod Immunol 79, 1–9 (2018).
- Zhang, M. et al. Association between Genetic Polymorphisms in Interleukin Genes and Recurrent Pregnancy Loss A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. PLoS One e0169891, 1–17 (2017).
- 133. Krishnamoorthy, N. *et al.* Neutrophil cytoplasts induce TH17 differentiation and skew inflammation toward neutrophilia in severe asthma. *Sci Immunol* **3**, eaao4747 (2018).
- Evans, M. D., Esnault, S., Denlinger, L. C. & Jarjour, N. N. Sputum cell IL-1 receptor expression level is a marker of airway neutrophilia and airflow obstruction in asthmatic patients. J Allergy Clin Immunol 142, 415–423 (2018).
- Shi, Y., Shi, G., Wan, H. & Jiang, L. Coexistence of Th1/Th2 and Th17/Treg imbalances in patients with allergic asthma. *Chin. Med. J. (Engl).* 124, 1951–1956 (2011).
- Wang, L., Wan, H., Tang, W., Ni, Y. & Hou, X. Critical roles of adenosine A2A receptor in regulating the balance of Treg/Th17 cells in allergic asthma. *Clin Respir J* 12, 149–157 (2018).
- Jang, E. et al. Lung-Infiltrating Foxp3+ Regulatory T Cells are Quantitatively and Qualitatively Different during Eosinophilic and Neutrophilic Allergic Airway Inflammation but Essential to Control Inflammation. J Immunol 199, 3943–3951 (2017).
- Muyayalo, K. P., Li, Z., Mor, G. & Liao, A.-H. Modulatory effect of intravenous immunoglobulin on Th17/Treg cell balance in women with unexplained recurrent spontaneous abortion. Am J Reprod Immunol 80, e13018 (2018).
- Svensson-Arvelund, J. et al. The Human Fetal Placenta Promotes Tolerance against the Semiallogeneic Fetus by Inducing Regulatory T Cells and Homeostatic M2 Macrophages. J Immunol 194, 1534–1544 (2015).
- 140. Piccinni, M. P. et al. How pregnancy can affect autoimmune diseases progression? Clin. Mol. Allergy 14, 1-9 (2016).
- 141. Köstlin, N. *et al.* Granulocytic Myeloid-Derived Suppressor Cells Accumulate in Human Placenta and Polarize toward a Th2 Phenotype. *J Immunol* **196**, 1132–1145 (2016).
- 142. Kaur, R. & Chupp, G. Phenotypes and endotypes of adult asthma: Moving toward precision medicine. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 144, 1–12 (2019).
- 143. Tashkin, D. P. & Peebles, R. S. Controversies in Allergy: Is Asthma Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Overlap a Distinct Syndrome That Changes Treatment and Patient Outcomes? J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. Pract. 7, 1142–1147 (2018).
- 144. Giovannini-Chami, L. et al. The 'one airway, one disease' concept in light of Th2 inflammation. Eur Resp J 52, 1–12 (2018).
- Bonham, C. A., Patterson, K. C. & Strek, M. E. Asthma Outcomes and Management During Pregnancy. *Chest* 153, 515–527 (2017).
  Mclaughlin, K., Foureur, M., Jensen, M. E. & Murphy, V. E. Review and appraisal of guidelines for the management of asthma during pregnancy. *Women Birth* 31, e349–e357 (2018).

#### **Author contributions**

P.C.T. conceived the idea, interpreted the data, drafted, and revised the manuscript. E.F. analyzed, interpreted the data, prepared figures and tabes, and drafted the manuscript. R.F.L. interpreted the data, revised and edited the manuscript. K.H. participated in the data analysis. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to P.C.T.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2019