Cultivating Portlandia A Mixed-Method Study of Residential Urban Agriculture in Portland, Oregon

Nathan McClintock, PhD 1 • Mike Simpson 1 • Dillon Mahmoudi 1 • Jacinto Pereira Santos, PhD 2

- 1. Toulan School of Urban Studies & Planning, Portland State University, Portland, Oregon, USA
- 2. Colegiado de Agronomia, Universidade Federal do Tocantins, Gurupi, Tocantins, Brazil

1. Research Questions

- What is the scale and scope of residential urban agriculture (UA) in metro Portland?
- How does the practice of UA vary spatially?
- How do gardeners' motivations and practices vary along socioeconomic lines?



Figure 1. Examples of residential UA in Portland

2. Mapping

- Used Google Earth to visually identify residential gardens in Portland and Vancouver, WA (Figure 2).
- Identified 3,022 residential gardens totaling 7.6 ha in Portland and 503 gardens totaling 2.0 ha in Vancouver (Figure 3).




Figure 2. Examples of gardens Identified using Google Earth

- To determine undercount and false positives, the results were "ground-truthed" using Google Streetview and via site visits to all streets in 7 census block groups.
- Analysis of canopy cover using SPRING remote sensing imagery processing software (see Figure 4) indicated a low correlation between canopy cover and undercount (R²=0.16).




Figure 3. Identified gardens in Portland



Figure 4. Example of canopy cover analysis using NIR imagery

3. Spatial Analysis

- Used GeoDa and ArcGIS to conduct spatial analysis of garden clusters by block group.
- Results show highest concentrations of gardens in parts of Inner-East Portland and North Portland (Figure 5).





Figure 5. Location quotient showing concentration of gardens by block group

- Similarly, the percentage of front yard gardens is greatest in areas of Inner-East Portland and North Portland; several clusters suggest "spatial contagion" (Figure 6).
- Results reveal how both the frequency and the form of home gardening vary across parts of the city, and suggest socioeconomic variation in aesthetic preferences.



4. Validation & Household Mail Survey

- A mail survey was sent to 1600 households in Portland and Vancouver, WA.
- Survey results confirm that gardens identified using Google Earth were 95% accurate.
- However, undercount was considerable. Percent of respondents who confirmed having a household garden by area:

Inner Portland: 46% East Portland: 40% Vancouver, WA: 57%




Figure 7. Survey recipients and respondents

5. Preliminary Survey Results

- Preliminary analysis suggest that individual motivations to garden differ across space, income, and level of education.
- Home gardeners in East Portland are more likely to be motivated by ensuring that they have enough to eat (Figure 8).
- Respondents with lower annual income were more likely to garden in order to save money (Figure 9).
- At all income levels, those with higher levels of educational attainment were more likely to be motivated by sustainability concerns, while healthy eating motivated all groups equally (Figure 10).
- Implications for policy and planning? Framing matters; some language (eg, "sustainability" may not resonate with all socioeconomic groups, while other language (eg, "healthy eating") may transcend class differences.




Figure 8. Motivation by area





Figure 9. Motivation by income



5. Future Directions

- Expand study to Gresham, as well as to Seattle, WA and Vancouver, BC metropolitan regions.
- Conduct interviews with UA practitioners and policy makers to better understand motivations and practice.
- Integrate study data with historical geographic analysis of municipal and regional political economies.
- Examine UA's relationship to patterns and processes of ecogentrification in Cascadia's three metropolises.
- Develop white paper of policy recommendations for municipal stakeholders.

Acknowledgements: Many thanks to Anthony Levenda and Taren Evans for additional research assistance. This project was made possible by funding from PSU Office of Academic Affairs, the Institute for Sustainable Solutions, the Toulan School of Urban Studies & Planning, and the Federal Government of Brazil.







