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ABSTRACT: Incubation temperature has a direct effect on sex determination of the desert tortoise. Low temperatures (26.0–30.6 C) produce males and high temperatures (32.8–35.3 C) produce females. Pivotal temperature is approximately 31.8 C. Macroscopic and microscopic anatomy of the gonads is similar to that of other turtles. Hatching success and survival is very good between 28.1 and 32.8 C in dry sand (−5000 kPa). Incubation at 35.3 C is lethal for 72% of the eggs and produces weak hatchlings that die within 45 days. Wet sand (−5 kPa) is lethal for desert tortoise eggs. Hatchling size was dependent upon egg size and incubation condition. Hatchlings from eggs incubated at 32.8 and 35.3 C were significantly smaller than hatchlings from eggs incubated at 28.1 and 30.6 C. Hatchling mass had no effect on growth rate of hatchlings. Thus, large eggs produced large hatchlings that were larger than their siblings at 120 days of age. Hatchlings from eggs incubated at 30.6 C grew significantly more than hatchlings incubated at 28.1 and 32.8 C. Hatchlings incubated at 35.3 C lost mass. Incubation condition did not affect temperature selected in a substrate thermal gradient when hatchlings were tested within one week (x̄ = 29.2 C) or 40 days of hatching (x̄ = 26.6 C).

Because of temperature-dependent sex determination and the effect of incubation conditions on hatching success and later growth, management strategies for the desert tortoise should be very conservative. To ensure normal sex ratios of desert tortoises, natural vegetation communities and native soil composition and structure must be preserved or restored. Long term recovery and survival of desert tortoises can only be assured when we have information on pivotal temperatures and nesting ecology for its various populations.
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CURRENT management decisions about the desert tortoise (Gopherus agassizii) are being made without the benefit of a basic understanding of the factors controlling reproduction in this animal (Spotila and Standora, 1986). It is now well known that, whereas most vertebrates have genetically fixed sex determination (GSD), some lizards, most crocodilians and most turtles exhibit temperature-dependent sex determination (TSD), in which the incubation temperature of the eggs determines their sex. Because other environmental factors, such as osmotic stress (Gutzke and Paukstis, 1983) and O2 and CO2 levels (Ackerman, 1981a,b), may also affect sex determination, this phenomenon is also termed environmental sex determination (ESD). TSD/ESD presents particular problems for biologists and administrators who are involved in protecting, managing or mitigating adverse environmental impacts on these species (Spotila and Standora, 1986). For example, artificial incubation of green turtle (Chelonia mydas) eggs produced predominately male hatchlings because of low temperatures and this conservation strategy threatened the survival of sea turtles (Morreale et al., 1982; Spotila et al., 1987). Artificial incubation of Kemp's Ridley turtles (Lepidochelys kempi) also resulted in male biased sex ratios (Wibbels
et al., 1989). Incubation of leatherback eggs (*Dermochelys coriacea*) in Malaysia as a management strategy was a failure at least in part because TSD was not considered (Mortimer, 1992). The population there is now essentially extirpated. Additionally, TSD also has conservation ramifications for Cagle’s map turtles (*Graptemys caglei*) because of its restricted distribution (Wibbels et al., 1991b). If TSD occurs in the desert tortoise, this would have important implications for maintaining balanced sex ratios, managing habitat requirements, and ensuring reproductive success of populations of this species.

In freshwater and marine turtles, high temperatures (31.0 C and above) produce females and low temperatures (24.0–26.0 C) produce males. In addition, several species also show a lower threshold temperature, such that females are produced at temperatures below 20.0–24.0 C (see Bull, 1980, 1983; Ewert et al., 1994; Spotila et al., 1994; Standora and Spotila, 1985; and Vogt and Bull, 1982 for reviews). In general, there is a relatively sharp distinction between those incubation temperatures that produce male turtles and those that produce females. The pivotal or threshold temperature is the temperature producing a one to one sex ratio. There may be a genetic influence on sex determination because both males and females are produced over a broad range of temperatures in some species of turtles (Ewert et al., 1994). In addition, it has been suggested that incubation temperature can affect growth rate, behavior, and temperature selection in alligators (*Alligator mississippiensis*, Deeming and Ferguson, 1989), snapping turtles (*Chelydra serpentina*, Miller et al., 1987; Ryan, 1990), and leopard geckos (*Eublepharis macularius*, Gutzke and Crews, 1988).

The only data available for tortoises are those of Pieau (1971, 1972, 1978) for *Testudo graeca* in Europe. The threshold temperature range for this species is 30.0–31.0 C. Thus, we predicted that the upper threshold temperature for the desert tortoise, if TSD occurred in this species, would be above 30.0 C (Spotila and Standora, 1986).

Knowledge about the effects of incubation conditions on sex determination, hatching success, temperature selection, and post-hatching growth of hatchling desert tortoises will be useful in planning conservation efforts such as habitat protection, transplanting of populations, and captive breeding programs. Attempts to manage this species without such information may be counterproductive. The effect of human activities on the suitability of soils for nesting and successful hatching remains unknown. Does grazing affect the temperature profile or water content of the soil and thus of the desert tortoise nest? Does compaction of the soil and removal of vegetation by off-road vehicles alter thermal conductivity and thermal diffusivity of the soil? This might affect heat transfer within soil horizons, soil temperatures, and nest temperatures. If the desert tortoise has genetically fixed sex determination (GSD), there would be less immediate concern about the thermal conditions within tortoise nests as long as they allow normal embryonic development. All of these questions and issues await the answer to the question: What is the mechanism of sex determination in the desert tortoise?

Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine if incubation conditions such as temperature and soil moisture affect sex and hatching success of desert tortoise eggs, and if incubation conditions affect the post-hatching growth and temperature selection of hatchling desert tortoises.

**METHODS**

We conducted two incubation experiments. In 1991, we incubated desert tortoise eggs at 26.0 and 33.0 C and 4.0 and 0.4% soil moisture content (−5 kPa and −5000 kPa) in 500 g of sand. In 1992, we incubated eggs at 28.1, 30.6, 32.8, and 35.3 C and 0.4% soil moisture content in sand. In all of these experiments, temperatures were measured with Cu-Cn thermocouples and a BAT-12 or Wescor T-65 thermocouple meter. Thermocouples were accurate to 0.1 C. Soil moisture tension was measured using a pressure plate apparatus and by equilibrating sand over saturated...
about the effects of incubation conditions on sex determination, temperature selection, air pressure, and growth of hatchling tortoises. The effects of these factors will be useful in planning efforts to protect species such as the desert tortoise and other populations, and in designing artificial incubation programs. The objectives of this study were to determine the suitability of a given environment for hatching and the effects of different environmental conditions on hatchling growth and success. We also examined the effects of different environmental conditions on sexual maturity and the development of hatchling tortoises.

**Methods**

We conducted two incubation experiments. In the first experiment, we incubated desert tortoises at 26.0 and 33.0°C with 0% and 35% soil moisture content, respectively. In the second experiment, we used the same conditions as in the first experiment but increased the soil moisture content to 60%. We monitored the temperature at 15 cm intervals along the length of the containers using a substrate temperature gradient. We measured the temperature at the beginning and end of an experiment as a "hot" and "cold" temperature, respectively.

### Results

- **Temperature Selection of Hatchlings**
  - After hatching, tortoises were raised in plastic containers (35 x 40 x 15 cm) and fed three times a week. They ate a mixture of carrots, broccoli, spinach, and lettuce that was blended with a pelleted version of an iguana diet developed at the National Zoological Park (Allen et al., 1991). The mix included 2% of each component.
  - Hatchlings were sexed after 1 year (1991 animals) or after 2–4 months (1992 animals) by visual inspection of the gonads using laparoscopy (Lumina SL-telescope, O+ vision angle, 1.9 mm O.D. x 10 cm, with a 150 Watt light source, Karl Storz Endoscopy-America). We made a small incision in the body wall in front of the right rear leg and examined the gonads through the scope. Details of methodology for sexing hatchlings are given in Rostal et al. (1994). Twenty hatchlings were euthanized (2 cc of sodium pentobarbital into the thoracic cavity). Their sex was verified by macroscopic examination after dissection and staining with Bouin’s solution. Then gonads were fixed in Bouin’s solution, embedded in paraffin, sectioned at 10 μm, stained with hematoxylin/eosin, and sex was confirmed by histology (Spotila et al., 1983). Sexing criteria followed Yntema (1976), Morealle et al. (1982), and Wibbels et al. (1991a,b).

- **Temperature Selection of Hatchlings**
  - Temperature selection of hatchlings was measured in a substrate thermal gradient as described in Spotila (1972) and Williamson et al. (1989). The gradient contained an aluminum bar at the bottom of an insulated wooden box which was coated with fiberglass resin. The selection chamber measured 153 x 15 x 8 cm. We placed 31 thermocouples at 5 cm intervals along the bar to measure substrate temperature. Except for the extreme ends of the gradient, we maintained a 0.5–1.0°C difference between the warmest and coolest thermocouples.
TABLE 1.—Hatchling success, incubation time, and percentage females of desert tortoise eggs incubated under controlled conditions at the DTCC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Soil moisture (%)</th>
<th>Incubation time (days)</th>
<th>Survival (%)</th>
<th>% female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ence between stations with a range of 5.0-45.0°C. We placed a 0.6 cm layer of alfalfa pellets on the bottom of the gradient as substrate for the tortoises. Alfalfa was used so that tortoises would not be harmed if they ate the material. We mixed substrate and added new substrate after each trial to prevent bias in temperature selection due to olfactory cues. Substrate was removed if it became wet or dirty. The temperature gradient was established by cooling one end with ice and heating the other end with a heating element attached to a variable transformer. Both the ice and heater were separated from the operational portion of the gradient by wooden partitions.

Experiments were conducted between 0900 and 1900 h using hatchlings that were eating normally. In 1991, individual hatchlings were placed into the gradient alone after 40 days of growth. In 1992, hatchlings were placed individually into the gradient within a week of hatching. We placed a 36 gauge thermocouple into the cloaca of each hatchling and took the body temperature after a 6 h equilibration period at the selected temperature. Data were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA), analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) and regression models. We used the Tukey-Kramer post hoc test to clarify differences in means when there was a significant difference by ANOVA or ANCOVA. We followed Sokal and Rohlf (1981) for statistical designs, performed computations with super ANOVA and assumed $P = 0.05$ as the level of statistical significance.

RESULTS

Incubation temperature had a direct effect on sex determination of desert tortoises. Low temperature incubation (26.0, 28.1, 30.6°C) produced male tortoises and high temperature incubation (32.8, 33.0, and 35.3°C) produced female tortoises (Table 1). In dry sand (0.4% soil moisture), survival was very good from 28.1-33.0°C, but was only 50% at 26.0 and 29% at 35.3°C. Differential mortality during incubation did not appear to affect sex ratios. There was little mortality at intermediate temperatures. At 35.3°C, of the eight dead embryos that were mature enough for us to sex histologically, all were females. The embryos that died at 26.0°C were not old enough to be sexed. From these data, we determined that the pivotal temperature (50% female) was approximately 31.8°C (Fig. 1). Additional experiments are needed to refine this estimate (see Discussion).

The macroscopic anatomy of the gonads in hatching desert tortoises was similar to that described for other turtles and was readily recognizable using the miniature laparoscope. The view through the laparoscope is described in Rostal et al. (1994).
of incubation temperature on sex determination of the desert tortoise. Pivotal temperature was approximately 31.8°C. Hatching success was very good from 28.1–33.0°C, 50% at 26.0 and 29% at 35.3°C. No additional experiments are needed to confirm this estimate (see Discussion). Histologically, there was a clear difference between the sexes. The ovary was attached to the kidney by a narrow stalk of connective tissue and the oviduct had a thick cortex of cuboidal epithelial cells with a large lumen lined with dark staining cells (Fig. 2A). The ovary had a thick outer cortical zone that was composed of cuboidal epithelial cells with primordial germ cells present (Fig. 2B). The internal medullary region was regressed and vacuolated. The testis was a smooth, oval-shaped structure enclosed in a layer of simple squamous epithelium. Inside this layer was the magnification used to visualize the oviduct. The view through the lapa...
FIG. 4.—Linear relationship of hatchling mass to initial egg mass in the desert tortoise. A) In 1991, the regression equation for the line was \( M_h = 0.624 E + 3.383 \) (\( r^2 = 0.851 \)). B) In 1992, the regression equation for the line was \( M_h = 0.67 E + 2.146 \) (\( r^2 = 0.899 \)). \( M_h \) is mass at hatching. \( E \) is egg mass.

eggs. None of the eggs incubated under cool (26.0 C), wet (4.0% soil moisture) conditions in 1991 hatched. In 1992, incubation time was inversely related to incubation temperature from 28.1 C (89 days) to 32.8 C (68 days)(Table 1). Incubation time increased at 35.3 C and survival decreased from 93% at 32.8 C to 29%. Apparently 35.3 C is too high a temperature for normal development of desert tortoise eggs. Incubation times at 33.0 C in 1991 were extended because oviposition was induced early using oxytocin. Incubation time was extended at both 26.0 C (125 days) and 35.3 C (85 days). Desert tortoise eggs had difficulty incubating at 26.0 C and died in wet sand. At 32.8–33.0 C, hatching success was 90–93% in dry sand but only 33% in wet sand. Of the three eggs that survived at 33.0 C in wet sand, two suffered cracks in their shells. We paired the shells with epoxy glue and reduced the portion of the shell in contact with the sand so that they hatched, but without our intervention these eggs probably would not have survived because their contents would have been exposed to microorganisms.

Hatchling size was dependent on egg size and incubation condition. In both the 1991 and 1992 experiments, a linear regression indicated that hatchling mass was dependent upon initial egg mass (Fig. 4A,B). We then completed an ANCOVA for hatchling mass as a function of incubation condition with egg mass as the covariate. In the 1991 experiment, there was no statistically significant effect of incu-
In 1991 and 1992, there was a significant effect of incubation condition on hatchling mass (Fig. 5A). Sample sizes were too small given the variation due to egg mass to discern any differences due to temperature or moisture conditions. In the 1992 experiment, both incubation temperature \( P = 0.0001, F = 13.52, \text{df} = 3, 80 \) and egg mass \( P = 0.0001, F = 1024.2, \text{df} = 1, 80 \) had a significant effect on hatching mass. A Tukey-Kramer post hoc test indicated that hatchlings incubated at 32.8 and 35.3 C were significantly smaller \( P = 0.05 \) than hatchlings as much as those incubated at 32.8 C, but more than those incubated at 35.3 C. The 35.3 C hatchlings actually lost mass.

Incubation condition did not affect the temperature selected by hatchling desert tortoises. There was no significant difference (one way ANOVA) in the selected temperature between hatchlings incubated under different conditions in 1991 when tested after 40 days of growth (Fig. 8). Mean selected temperature was 26.6 C. There was no significant difference (one way ANOVA) in selected temperature between hatchlings incubated at different temperatures in 1992 when tested within one week of hatching (Fig. 8B). Mean selected temperature was 29.2 C.

**Discussion**

The desert tortoise has temperature-dependent sex determination, expressing...
Fig. 7.—Maximum carapace length of hatching desert tortoises vs. age for eggs incubated at the DTCC in 1992. A) 28.1°C; B) 30.6°C; C) 32.8°C; D) 35.3°C. Moisture potential at all temperatures was −5000 kPa (0.4% soil moisture).
Pattern 1a of Ewert and Nelson (1991) in which males are produced at low temperatures and females are produced at high temperatures. There is a fairly sharp transition from male to female temperatures with an approximate pivotal temperature of 31.8°C. This is higher than the pivotal temperature for the European tortoise T. graeca (Pieau, 1971, 1972, 1978), higher than the pivotal temperature for most North American turtles including the box turtles Terrapene carolina (<30°C) and Terrapene ornata (<29°C) (Ewert and Nelson, 1991), and confirms the hypothesis of Spotila and Standora (1986) that the pivotal temperature would be above 30°C. Additional research is needed to refine the pivotal temperature because temperatures between 30.6 and 32.8 are untested. Other turtles from the arid western portions of North America also have high pivotal temperatures. The value for Kinosternon flavescens arizonense from the low desert in Sonora is >31°C, and for Clemmys marmorata from seasonally arid south central Oregon is 30°C (Ewert et al., 1994). The three members of the family Pelomedusidae that have been tested also have high pivotal temperatures (Podocnemis expansa—the highest known pivotal temperature, >32.5°C but <34.0°C (Alho et al., 1985); Pelomedusa subrufa—32.5°C (Ewert and Nelson, 1991); and Pelusios castaneus—>30°C but <33°C (Ewert and Nelson, 1991). The first of these species nests in riverine sandbars with extremely high temperatures (X = 34.5, max = 38.5°C, Alho et al., 1985). The natural geographic origin and ecology of the individuals of the other two species tested is unknown. Pivotal temperature appears to vary according to the local thermal and hydric environment to which a turtle population is exposed.

There is considerable intraspecific geographic variation in pivotal temperatures for freshwater turtles (Bull et al., 1982b; Ewert et al., 1994; Vogt and Flores-Villela, 1992). Species in eastern North America nest in relatively cool areas while their populations in the midwestern region nest in more open areas and have higher pivotal temperatures (i.e., Chrysemys picta and Graptemys, Ewert et al., 1994). The same ecological trend can be observed in other species in a south to north direction. In both Chelydra serpentina and Trachemys scripta, pivotal temperature increases with latitude and longitude from tropical America to temperate U.S. and Canada (data summarized in Ewert et al., 1994). Nesting sites in the midwest and west tend...
to be warmer than those in the east and the tropics. Therefore, there appears to be selection for higher pivotal temperatures in these areas. Bull et al. (1982a), Janzen and Paukstis (1991) and Janzen (1992) indicated that there is heritable variation for sex ratio in some freshwater turtles. Thus, we would expect that there will be intra-specific variation in pivotal temperature of the desert tortoise. Pivotal temperature may be lower in Sonoran populations in Arizona and Mexico and higher in California populations. There should also be a gradation in pivotal temperature across the genus with *Gopherus polyphemus* in the east having the lowest pivotal and *Gopherus berlandieri* and *Gopherus flavomarginatus* having intermediate pivotal temperatures, assuming that they have TSD.

Both temperature and substrate moisture content affect hatching success of desert tortoise eggs. High moisture content in sand (4.0% soil moisture) is lethal at low temperature (26.0 C) and would probably have been lethal at 33.0 C if we had not intervened to repair cracked shells and reduce the area of shell in contact with the sand. The rigid shell of the desert tortoise egg appears to take up water from the sand faster than it evaporates water vapor through the portion of the shell exposed to the air. Dry sand (0.4%, soil moisture) allows very good hatching success except at 26.0 and 35.3 C. These temperatures are generally lethal for embryos of this population of desert tortoise. These results are not unexpected for a desert turtle. The softshell turtle, *Apalone (Trionyx) spiniferus*, also has a rigid egg shell. Hatching success of eggs of this species is unaffected by incubation at water potentials of −50 to −850 kPa on vermiculite at 29.0 C (Packard et al., 1981). Eggs of this aquatic species can tolerate much wetter substrates than those of the desert tortoise. Flexible-shelled eggs of turtles typically lose water more rapidly than rigid-shelled eggs and require substrates with higher water potentials (Ackerman, 1992). Female desert tortoises often urinate on their nests before or after nesting. This raises the water potential of the dry desert soil, but whether this is the selective advantage for this behavior is unknown. As incubation progresses, the soil dries out, but probably retains some moisture due to the presence of a drying front at the edge of the moister soil. It would be interesting to measure the soil moisture content and moisture tension curves for soils in desert tortoise nests to determine the water stress to which the eggs are exposed in nature.

Egg size and incubation condition affect hatching size of desert tortoises. Large eggs produce large hatchlings. Hatchlings incubated at high temperatures (32.8 and 35.3 C) are significantly smaller than hatchlings incubated at low temperatures (28.1 and 30.6 C). Incubation temperature also affects size of hatchlings in *Chelydra serpentina* (Brooks et al., 1991; Morris et al., 1983; Packard et al., 1980; Ryan, 1990) and *Chrysemys picta* (Gutzke et al., 1987; but see Packard et al., 1989). Turtle embryos incubate longer at cooler temperatures and have more time to absorb their yolk and grow. Therefore, they emerge from the egg as larger hatchlings conveying a size advantage (Miller et al., 1987) over hatchlings from warmer eggs that hatch sooner at a smaller size. Incubation temperature also affects the size of hatching alligators (*A. mississippiensis*, Deeming and Ferguson, 1989), and crocodiles (*Crocodylus niloticus*, Hutton, 1987; *C. johnstonii* and *C. porosus*, Webb et al., 1987). Thus, this phenomenon is widespread among reptiles.

Reptilian embryos in flexible-shelled eggs frequently hatch at a larger size when incubated in relatively moist environments than when they are incubated in relatively dry environments (for review see Packard and Packard, 1988). This is not true of embryos in rigid-shelled eggs. Their interaction with moist soil may be quite different, in that rigid-shelled eggs have a reduced conductance for water vapor (Ackerman, 1992). The complex nature of the interaction of soil moisture content and potential, soil structure, egg shell structure, and egg metabolism are reviewed by Packard and Packard (1988) and Ackerman (1992). Given the lethal nature of wet substrates for desert tortoise eggs, it is important that the egg shell conductance to liquid water and water vapor be determined.
...As incubation progresses, desert tortoise eggs may dry out, but probably remain moist due to the presence of transpiration at the edge of the moist soil. It is interesting to note that the amount of water in desert tortoise nests to the outside water stress to which they are exposed in nature.

The incubation condition affects the size of desert tortoise hatchlings. Large eggs produce larger hatchlings. Hatchings incubated at high temperatures (32.8°C) and low relative moisture (10%) in desert tortoise nests may emerge from warmer eggs that are smaller size. Incubation temperature affects the size of hatchlings in Chelydra serpentina (Brooks et al., 1991; Morris et al., 1992; Ryan, 1990; Hutton, 1987; Gutzke et al., 1987; L. L. Miller et al., 1989). Turtle embryos in rigid-shelled eggs have a lower mass of hatchlings and survival of hatchlings up to 120 days after hatching. Mass gain, however, is unaffected by hatching mass. Thus, large eggs produce large hatchlings whose size is also dependent upon incubation temperature, and large hatchlings are still larger 120 days later. All hatchling tortoises grow at similar rates when housed under constant conditions.

Incubation temperature has an effect on the mass of hatchlings and survival of hatchlings up to 120 days after hatching. Mass gain, however, is unaffected by hatching mass. Thus, large eggs produce large hatchlings whose size is also dependent upon incubation temperature, and large hatchlings are still larger 120 days later. All hatchling tortoises grow at similar rates when housed under constant conditions. Therefore, small hatchlings do not catch up in size to their larger siblings. Growth of hatchling snapping turtles, Chelydra serpentina, is affected by incubation temperature (Brooks et al., 1991; Ryan, 1990, as growth of hatching alligators (Joanen et al., 1987), and crocodiles (Gutzke and Crews, 1988), and may affect survival of hatchling Aldabra tortoises (Gutzke et al., 1987), and reptiles. However, snapping turtles acclimated to 15.0 and 25.0°C for several months after hatching had similar selected temperatures in aquatic and terrestrial environments (Williamson et al., 1989). Therefore, the rules governing this effect are not clear. Incubation temperature does affect post-hatching performance of turtles (Miller et al., 1987) and reproductive behavior and endocrine physiology of lizards (Gutzke and Crews, 1988), and may affect survival of hatchling Aldabra tortoises (Guetzkow and Coe, 1979). However, there is no extended effect of incubation condition on post-hatching performance and learning ability as measured by righting and feeding responses of snapping turtles (Ryan, 1990). Again this effect is quite complicated and probably depends upon the same factors that are important in studies of post-hatching growth cited above.

Management Implications

Temperature-dependent sex determination in the desert tortoise and the effects that incubation temperature and water potential of soil have on hatching success, clutch size, and post-hatching growth have important implications for the management of the desert tortoise. Management strategies should be very conserva-
tive (Spotila and Standora, 1986). Natural vegetation communities and native soil composition and structure should be preserved or restored. The presence of vegetation alters the quantity of solar radiation absorbed at the soil surface and the transpiration of water from the soil. Desert tortoises lay their eggs in nests under vegetation or in their burrows. Vegetation reduces the temperature range experienced in the soil because radiation absorption is distributed through the height of the vegetation rather than through a thin absorption layer when there is bare soil (Geiger, 1959; Rose, 1966). While we may think of perennial vegetation as being important in this regard, annual plants can have a tremendous effect by providing a blanket of plants that, especially in spring and early in the summer, can change the albedo of the desert. Soil compaction and mixing affect thermal conductivity, heat capacity, thermal diffusivity, and soil structure, thereby affecting heat transfer, soil temperatures, nest temperatures, water infiltration, evaporation rates, and soil moisture potential around desert tortoise eggs.

Additional information is needed on pivotal temperatures for desert tortoise populations in other parts of its range and to determine normal conditions in desert tortoise nests under natural conditions. A very conservative approach to development is warranted until more information is obtained about the natural sex ratio of populations of the desert tortoise in affected areas, the location of successful nesting areas, the thermal and hydric conditions in natural nests, and the effect of these factors on the survival of hatchlings. The chances for long term recovery and survival of desert tortoise populations will be improved by more information on the pivotal temperatures and nesting ecology of these populations and by restoration of the natural vegetation and soil conditions of the desert.

Acknowledgments.—We thank C. Salice, A. Donaldson, and all of the students from Drexel University, San Diego Zoo, University of Wisconsin, and University of Nevada at Las Vegas who helped with this study. J. Moore of the Nature Conservancy provided invaluable support throughout the study. M. Berko-witz, S. Slone, and D. Duncan of the Bureau of Land Management and B. Hardenbrook and C. Tomlinson of the Nevada Department of Wildlife provided technical advice, logistical support, and helping hands. Their dedication and professionalism made this study possible. M. Ewert provided helpful suggestions on experimental design and methodology. B. Ackerman kindly determined soil moisture tensions of our experimental sand. This research was funded by a grant from the Nature Conservancy (contract number GBFO-051919DRX) to Drexel University.

**Literature Cited**


ERATURE CITED

1981a. Growth and gas exchange in turtles (Chelonia, Caretta). Co-

Oxygen consumption by sea turtle (Chelonia, Caretta) eggs during development. 4:316–324.

physical factors affecting the water ried reptile eggs. Pp. 193–211. In J. N. and M. Ferguson (Eds.), Egg In-


Nutritional studies with the green turtle (Chelonia mydas). In R. T. Ingle and M. A. Galbraith, A. J. D. and E. A. Nancievell. 1991. Ma-


STUDIES ON THE CHEMISTRY AND SOCIAL SIGNIFICANCE OF CHIN GLAND SECRETIONS IN THE DESERT TORTOISE, GOPHERUS AGASSIZII

ALLISON C. ALBERTS, DAVID C. ROSTAL, AND VALENTINE A. LANCE

Center for Reproduction of Endangered Species, Zoological Society of San Diego,
F.O. Box 551, San Diego, CA 92112, USA

ABSTRACT: The chemical structure and biological functions of chin gland secretions were examined in a group of captive desert tortoises, Gopherus agassizii, housed at the Desert Tortoise Conservation Center near Las Vegas, Nevada. Monthly measurements of the chin glands of 20 adult males were made over one year. Gland volume varied seasonally, reaching a maximum in late summer. The chin glands of socially dominant males tended to be larger than those of socially subordinate males. Among all males, there was a positive correlation between plasma testosterone levels and size of the chin glands. Behavioral experiments demonstrated that tortoises of both sexes discriminate the chin gland secretions of familiar males from those of unfamiliar males, suggesting that chin gland secretions may function in conspecific recognition. Electrophoretic studies of chin gland secretions revealed the presence of 12–17 protein components ranging in size from 25,000–115,000 Daltons. Although banding patterns among males were very similar, slight individual differences existed in the number and size of high molecular weight protein components.
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Tortoises in the genus Gopherus are characterized by two paired integumentary chin, or mental, glands that occur below the mandibles (Smith and Brown, 1948). Histochemical analyses of the chin glands of G. agassizii and G. berlandieri indicate that they are multilobed holocrine structures which open to the exterior through short ducts (Winokur and Legler, 1975). Gas and thin layer chromatographic analyses of chin gland secretions reveal the presence of saturated and unsaturated free fatty acids, phospholipids, triglycerides, and steroids (Rose, 1970; Rose et al., 1969).