DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/plphys/kiad021

Access to this work was provided by the University of Maryland, Baltimore County (UMBC) ScholarWorks@UMBC digital repository on the Maryland Shared Open Access (MD-SOAR) platform.

#### Please provide feedback

Please support the ScholarWorks@UMBC repository by emailing <u>scholarworks-</u> <u>group@umbc.edu</u> and telling us what having access to this work means to you and why it's important to you. Thank you.

1	The Flowering Time Regulator FLK Controls Pathogen Defense in Arabidopsis thaliana
2 3 4 5	Matthew Fabian <sup>1, #</sup> , Min Gao <sup>1, #, \$</sup> , Xiao-Ning Zhang <sup>2</sup> , Jiangli Shi <sup>1, &amp;</sup> , Sung-Ha Kim <sup>3</sup> , Priyank Patel <sup>1</sup> , Anna R. Hu <sup>2</sup> , and Hua Lu <sup>1*</sup>
5 6 7 8	<sup>1</sup> Department of Biological Sciences, University of Maryland Baltimore County, 1000 Hilltop Circle, Baltimore, MD 21250, USA.
9 10	<sup>2</sup> Biochemistry Program, Department of Biology, St Bonaventure University, St Bonaventure, NY 14778, USA
11 12 13	<sup>3</sup> Department of Biology Education, Korea National Univ. of Education, Chungbuk, KOREA
14 15	<sup>#</sup> These authors contributed equally to this research.
16 17 18 19 20	<sup>\$</sup> Current address: State Key Laboratory of Crop Stress Biology in Arid Areas, College of Horticulture, Key Laboratory of Horticultural Plant Biology and Germplasm Innovation in Northwest China, Ministry of Agriculture, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, Shaanxi 712100, China
20 21 22 22	<sup>&amp;</sup> Current address: College of Horticulture, Henan Agricultural University, Zhengzhou, P. R. China, 450002
23 24 25 26 27	* <b>Correspondence author:</b> Hua Lu, Department of Biological Sciences, University of Maryland Baltimore County, 1000 Hilltop Circle, Baltimore, MD 21250. Tel: 410-455-5972 (O), 410-455-2263 (L), Fax: 410-455-3875. Email: hualu@umbc.edu.
28 29 30	Running title: FLK regulates pathogen defense.

#### 31 Abstract

#### 32

33 Plant disease resistance is a complex process that is maintained in an intricate balance with 34 development. Increasing evidence indicates the importance of post-transcriptional regulation of plant defense by RNA binding proteins. The K homology (KH) repeat is an ancient RNA binding 35 36 motif found in proteins from diverse organisms. The role of KH domain proteins in pathogen 37 resistance is not well known. From a genetic screen aimed to uncover novel defense genes in 38 Arabidopsis, we identified a new allele of the canonical flowering regulatory gene, 39 FLOWERING LOCUS KH Domain (FLK), encoding a putative triple KH-repeat protein. In 40 addition to late flowering, the *flk* mutants exhibited decreased resistance to the bacterial pathogen 41 Pseudomonas syringae and increased resistance to the necrotrophic fungal pathogen Botrytis 42 cinerea. We found that the *flk* mutations compromised basal defense and defense signaling 43 mediated by salicylic acid and led to increased reactive oxygen species (ROS) scavenging, likely 44 through FLK's regulation of the ROS scavenging enzyme catalases. RNA-seq data revealed that 45 major defense signaling genes are regulated by FLK, providing a molecular basis for FLK's 46 contribution to pathogen defense. Together our data support that FLK is a multifunctional protein 47 regulating pathogen defense and development of plants.

#### 50 Introduction

51

52 Plants are constantly challenged by pathogens with different lifestyles. Plants can recognize 53 pathogen-derived molecules, such as pathogen associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) and 54 effector proteins, and subsequently activate layers of defense responses, including PAMP-55 triggered immunity (PTI), effector-triggered immunity, and systemic acquired resistance (Boller 56 and Felix, 2009; Dodds and Rathjen, 2010; Fu and Dong, 2013; Toruno et al., 2016). Depending 57 on the type of invading pathogen, plants deploy different and sometimes conflicting downstream 58 signaling to combat the invader. For instance, salicylic acid (SA) is generally considered to be 59 important for defense against biotrophic pathogens, e.g., Pseudomonas syringae, whereas 60 jasmonic acid (JA) promotes resistance to necrotrophic pathogens, e.g., Botrytis cinerea, as well 61 as insects (Glazebrook, 2005; Campos et al., 2014). SA and JA act antagonistically in the 62 defense response against some pathogens, but they also work synergistically under other stress 63 conditions. SA is also known to crosstalk with reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Herrera-Vásquez 64 et al., 2015). However, the role of ROS in pathogen defense is less well understood. Some 65 studies suggest that reduced ROS is associated with P. syringae susceptibility and Botrytis 66 resistance in plants (Chamnongpol et al., 1998; Govrin and Levine, 2000; Polidoros et al., 2001; 67 Rossi et al., 2017; Yuan et al., 2017); but these observations are challenged by some other 68 studies (Macho et al., 2012; Li et al., 2014; Survila et al., 2016). Proper interplays among 69 signaling pathways are important to ensure robust plant defense. Without a thorough 70 understanding of how different signaling molecules activate defense against pathogens, our 71 design of strategies in improving disease resistance of crop plants against their natural pathogens 72 will be limited.

73

74 Defense is an energetically costly process and defense activation against some pathogens can 75 come at the expense of plant development (Karasov et al., 2017; Ning et al., 2017). Flowering is 76 one of the most critical developmental landmarks in the lifecycle of plants. Arabidopsis 77 flowering is tightly controlled by at least five pathways: the autonomous pathway, photoperiod 78 (light), vernalization, hormones, and the circadian clock (Amasino, 2010). These pathways 79 ultimately converge upon a few downstream genes, e.g., the flowering repressor gene 80 FLOWERING LOCUS C (FLC) and activator genes FT and SUPPRESSOR OF 81 **OVEREXPRESSION OF CONSTANS1**, to determine flowering time. Growing evidence supports 82 the involvement of flowering pathways in defense, including the autonomous pathway genes 83 FPA and FLD (Lyons et al., 2013; Singh et al., 2013); light and certain light receptors (Genoud 84 et al., 2002; Griebel and Zeier, 2008; Roden and Ingle, 2009); flowering regulatory hormones 85 (Spoel and Dong, 2008; Bari and Jones, 2009); and the circadian clock (Lu et al., 2017). On the 86 other hand, defense activation reciprocally affects flowering. For instance, pathogen infection 87 (Korves and Bergelson, 2003) and changes in SA levels via exogenous SA application or genetic 88 manipulation are associated with altered flowering time (Martinez et al., 2004; Jin et al., 2008; 89 March-Diaz et al., 2008; Endo et al., 2009; Wada et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2012). These 90 observations suggest crosstalk between plant defense and flowering control. Mechanisms 91 underlying this crosstalk remain to be fully elucidated.

92

*FLOWERING LOCUS K Homology Domain (FLK)* is an autonomous pathway flowering gene encoding a protein with three K homology (KH) repeats (Lim et al., 2004; Mockler et al., 2004).

95 The KH domain is an ancient RNA binding motif found in proteins from diverse organisms

(Nicastro et al., 2015). KH domain proteins have up to 15 KH repeats and some are known to 96 97 function in RNA metabolism, such as pre-mRNA processing and mRNA stability. Disruption of 98 KH domain proteins is associated with multiple diseases in humans (Geuens et al., 2016). There 99 are 26 KH domain proteins in Arabidopsis (Lorkovic and Barta, 2002) but only a few of them 100 have been characterized. Like FLK, several KH domain proteins were shown to play roles in flowering control (Cheng et al., 2003; Ripoll et al., 2009; Yan et al., 2017; Ortuno-Miquel et al., 101 102 2019; Woloszynska et al., 2019). Some KH domain proteins were shown to be important for 103 stress response, including resistance against a fungal pathogen (Thatcher et al., 2015) and abiotic 104 stress (Chen et al., 2013; Guan et al., 2013). Mechanisms underlying the functions of these KH 105 proteins remain largely unknown. To date, none of the KH domain proteins are shown to 106 influence both defense and development in Arabidopsis.

107

108 The Arabidopsis mutant acd6-1 exhibits a constitutively high level of defense that is inversely correlated with the size of the plant (Rate et al., 1999; Lu et al., 2003). This feature of acd6-1 109 110 makes it a powerful genetic tool in uncovering novel defense genes in a large-scale genetic 111 screen for acd6-1 suppressors (Lu et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2014). acd6-1 has also been 112 conveniently used to gauge interactions among defense genes in genetic analyses (Song et al., 113 2004; Ng et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011a; Wang et al., 2014; Hamdoun et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 114 2019). From the genetic screen, we isolated a new allele of the FLK gene, flk-5, that suppressed 115 acd6-1-conferred phenotypes, including SA accumulation, cell death, and dwarfism. In addition 116 to late flowering, *flk* mutants showed enhanced susceptibility to the biotrophic pathogen P. 117 syringae but enhanced resistance to the necrotrophic pathogen Botrytis. In addition, the flk 118 mutants exhibited compromised SA accumulation and basal defense upon P. syringae infection 119 and/or elicitation by P. syringae derived molecules. The flk mutants further displayed reduced 120 ROS accumulation, likely due to an increased ROS scavenging. RNA-seq analysis supported the 121 roles of FLK in regulating pathogen defense and development. Together our data establish that 122 FLK is a multifunctional gene important for plant defense and development. 123

#### 125 **Results**

126

#### 127 128

#### 27 Identification of a new *FLK* allele from *acd6-1* suppressor screen

129 From a large-scale mutant screen for *acd6-1* suppressors generated by T-DNA insertional 130 mutagenesis, we isolated a new allele of the FLK gene that has a T-DNA insertion in the second 131 intron of the gene (Figure 1A). FLK encodes a protein with three KH repeats and is known as an 132 autonomous pathway gene regulating flowering (Lim et al., 2004; Mockler et al., 2004). Because 133 four other *flk* mutants were previously described (Lim et al., 2004; Mockler et al., 2004), we 134 designated this mutant as *flk-5*. Compared with *acd6-1*, *acd6-1flk-5* was larger, had reduced cell 135 death and SA accumulation, and flowered later (Figure 1B-1D). These phenotypes of acd6-1flk-5 136 were confirmed by introducing another FLK allele, flk-1 (SALK 007750), into acd6-1. In 137 addition, a construct carrying the 2.3 kb FLK promoter and the full length FLK genomic 138 fragment that was translationally fused with the reporter gene GFP (FLK-GFP) rescued delayed 139 flowering in *flk-1* (Supplemental Figure 1A and 1B). The FLK-GFP protein was localized to the 140 nucleus (Supplemental Figure 1C).

141

# *FLK* is a positive regulator of *P. syringae* resistance and a negative regulator of *Botrytis* resistance

144

145 In response to invading pathogens of varying lifestyles, plants activate downstream defense 146 signaling that can sometimes act antagonistically. SA and JA are two important defense signaling 147 molecules that are known to play opposing roles in plant defense against some biotrophic and 148 necrotrophic pathogens (Glazebrook, 2005; Campos et al., 2014). The suppression of acd6-1-149 conferred phenotypes by *flk* mutants supports an SA regulatory role for *FLK* and suggests that 150 FLK is important for defense against P. syringae. To test this, we infected Col-0, flk-1, and flk-5 151 plants with the virulent P. syringae pv. maculicola ES4326 strain DG3 (PmaDG3). We found 152 that both *flk* mutants were more susceptible than Col-0 and this susceptibility was rescued by 153 *FLK-GFP*, as demonstrated in two representative rescued lines #7 and #20 (Figure 2A). The *flk* 154 mutants also had lower SA accumulation and lower expression of the SA marker gene PR1 than 155 Col-0 with *Pma*DG3 infection (Figure 2B-2C), further supporting the SA regulatory role of *FLK*.

156

Plant perception of biotrophic pathogens is often associated with activation of PTI, a basal level 157 158 of defense (Zipfel et al., 2004). Flg22, a 22-aa peptide from the conserved region of the flagellin 159 protein of *P. syringae*, is widely used to elicit PTI. Within minutes of recognition of flg22, plants 160 activate an oxidative burst, producing a high level of ROS. We found that the *flk* mutations 161 compromised the ROS burst, compared with Col-0 and the two rescued lines (Figure 2D, left). 162 This phenotype was further corroborated with another PAMP molecule, elf26, a 26-aa peptide 163 from the elongation factor Tu protein (Kunze et al., 2004) (Figure 2D, right). PTI responses also 164 include cell wall strengthening via callose deposition and reduced plant growth. We found that 165 the *flk* mutants displayed fewer callose depositions and less seedling growth inhibition upon 166 flg22 treatment (Figures 2E and 2F). These *flk*-conferred PTI phenotypes were rescued by *FLK*-167 GFP (Figures 2D-2F). Together our data suggest that FLK positively regulates P. syringae 168 resistance through affecting SA signaling and PTI.

170 Interestingly, we found that the *flk* mutants were more resistant to the necrotrophic fungal 171 pathogen *Botrytis cinerea*, compared with Col-0 and the two rescued lines (Figure 3A and 3B). 172 Although JA signaling is known to be involved in *Botrytis* resistance, the *flk* mutants showed a 173 similar response to JA-induced root inhibition (Figure 3C). Therefore, we conclude that *FLK* 174 negatively regulates *Botrytis* resistance likely independently of JA signaling.

175

## FLK interacts with multiple SA regulators in affecting *acd6-1*-conferred phenotypes 177

178 A number of genes are known to contribute to SA-mediated defense. These genes can be grossly 179 grouped into three types based on their functions (Lu, 2009). The type I genes, e.g., ICS1 and 180 WIN3 (Wildermuth et al., 2001; Rekhter et al., 2019; Torrens-Spence et al., 2019), encode 181 enzymes directly involved in SA biosynthesis. The type II genes, e.g., PAD4 (Jirage et al., 1999), 182 affect SA accumulation yet they do not have distinct enzymatic signatures. The biochemical 183 function of the type II genes are largely unknown. The type III genes, e.g., NPR1 (Wu et al., 184 2012; Ding et al., 2018), serve as signaling components to perceive and transduce the SA signal. 185 The lack of a recognizable enzymatic feature in the predicted FLK protein suggests that FLK is 186 either a type II or type III SA gene. To better understand how the FLK gene interacts genetically 187 with some known SA pathway genes, we conducted genetic analyses, using the defense-188 sensitized mutant acd6-1. We crossed the *flk-1* mutant with several SA pathway mutants, 189 including two type I SA mutants (ics1-1 and win3-1), a type II SA mutant (pad4-1), and a type 190 III mutant (npr1-1), in the acd6-1 background. We made inferences on the interactions of two 191 genes on the basis of the phenotypes of the triple mutant. A nonadditive suppression of acd6-1-192 conferred phenotypes would indicate that FLK and an SA gene act in the same pathway, whereas 193 an additive suppression would suggest that the two genes function in separate pathways, or one is 194 partially dependent on the other. This analysis has been successfully used to interrogate the 195 genetic interactions among several defense genes (Song et al., 2004; Ng et al., 2011; Wang et al., 196 2011a; Wang et al., 2014; Hamdoun et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2019). We found that all triple 197 mutants containing acd6-1flk-1 showed much larger plant stature than the corresponding double 198 mutants (Figure 4A), suggesting that flk-1 acts partially through or independently of these SA 199 regulators in affecting acd6-1-conferred dwarfism. For cell death severity, all double mutants 200 showed reduced cell death relative to acd6-1 (Figure 4B and (Ng et al., 2011; Wang et al., 201 2011a)). We observed that all triple mutants had reduced cell death, compared with the 202 corresponding double mutants, suggesting that FLK acts partially through or independently of 203 the SA pathway genes, ICS1, PAD4, WIN3, and NPR1, in cell death regulation.

204

205 We further measured the total SA level in these plants (Figure 4C). Consistent with the roles of 206 ICS1 and WIN3 as major SA synthesis genes, there was residual SA levels in acd6-lics1-1 and 207 acd6-1win3-1 with or without the presence of *flk-1*, suggesting that *FLK* acts fully through these 208 SA synthesis genes in regulating SA accumulation. Further reduced SA levels were found in 209 acd6-1flk-1pad4-1 and acd6-1flk-1npr1-1, compared with the corresponding double mutants, 210 suggesting that FLK acts partially through or independently of PAD4 and NPR1 in SA regulation. 211 It is worth noting that NPR1 is an SA receptor that is known to both negatively and positively 212 regulate SA levels, depending on the genetic composition of a plant (Ng et al., 2011; Wu et al., 213 2012). Consistent with this notion, acd6-1npr1-1 accumulated a very high SA level, which was 214 suppressed by *flk-1*. Because most triple mutants had a basal level of SA yet they did not show a

215 complete suppression of plant size and cell death, additional SA-independent pathway(s) could 216 contribute to these phenotypes.

217

## *FLK* regulation of flowering is independent of SA

220 For flowering time, we observed that acd6-1, ics1-1, and pad4-1 did not affect delayed flowering 221 in *flk-1* although these mutations drastically affected the SA level (Figure 4D). Thus, these 222 results suggest that FLK-mediated flowering control is SA-independent. However, two other SA 223 mutations, npr1-1 and win3-1, suppressed late flowering in *flk-1*. We showed previously that 224 npr1-1 and win3-1 work additively to stimulate early flowering and suppress acd6-1-conferred 225 phenotypes (Wang et al., 2011a). Consistent with this observation, we found here that npr1-1 and 226 win3-1 together completely suppressed flk-1-conferred late flowering, cell death, and reverted 227 the plant stature to the wild type level in the quadruple mutant acd6-1flk-1npr1-1win3-1, 228 compared with acd6-1flk-1npr1-1 and acd6-1flk-1win3-1 (Figure 4). The early flowering 229 phenotype observed in *npr1-1* is likely due to a second site mutation in the background (Dong 230 XN, personal communication). Additional loss-of-function alleles of WIN3 were also associated 231 with early flowering (Kenichi Tsuda and Yuelin Zhang, personal communications). However, 232 whether this flowering regulatory function of WIN3 is coupled with its role in SA biosynthesis 233 remains to be determined. Together, these results suggest that WIN3, NPR1, and an unknown 234 gene(s) act downstream of FLK to contribute to the regulation of flowering and acd6-1-conferred 235 phenotypes, including SA accumulation, cell death, and plant size.

236 237

#### RNA-seq analysis supports the role of FLK in defense regulation

238

239 To further elucidate how FLK is mechanistically linked to plant defense, we performed RNA-seq 240 analysis. We generated transcriptome profiles of Col-0, flk-1, flk-5, acd6-1, acd6-1flk-1, and 241 acd6-1flk-5, using the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 platform. Principal component analysis of the 242 sequencing data after removing low-quality reads showed a correlation of gene expression 243 profiles of the replicates in each genotype (Figure 5A). To identify FLK-affected genes, we 244 compared four groups: (a) Col-0 vs. *flk-1*; (b) Col-0 vs. *flk-5*; (c) acd6-1 vs. acd6-1flk-1; and (d) 245 acd6-1 vs. acd6-1flk-5. We found that there were a total of 8,083 genes differentially expressed 246 (DE) in at least one pairwise comparison (adjusted p-value < 0.05) (Supplemental Data 1). 247 Consistent with the known role of FLK in regulating development, Gene Ontology (GO) 248 analyses showed that the DE genes were significantly enriched in those involved in primary 249 metabolism (GO:0009415 and GO:0010243) and development-related processes (GO:0009639 250 and GO:0048511) (Figure 5B). The flowering regulator FLC, a known FLK target gene (Ripoll 251 et al., 2009), is among the development-related DE genes affected by the *flk* mutations. In 252 addition, a large number of defense genes and genes responding to abiotic stress were also found 253 among the FLK-affected DE genes. A total of 955 defense genes showed differential expression 254 in at least one comparison group (Figure 5C and Supplemental Data 1). Defense genes affected 255 by *flk* mutants are from multiple signaling pathways involving SA, JA, ethylene (ET), 256 programmed cell death (PCD), and/or PTI (Figures 5C and 5D). Expression of most of these 257 defense genes was suppressed by the *flk* mutations in the *acd6-1* background, consistent with the 258 suppression of acd6-1-conferred phenotypes by flk. For instance, in the SA pathway, SA 259 biosynthesis genes (ICS1/EDS16 and PBS3/WIN3), SA regulatory genes (EDS1, PAD4, SAG101, 260 CBP 60G, and SARD1) (Feys et al., 2001; Feys et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2009; Zhang et al.,

261 2010c; Wang et al., 2011b), and SA receptors (NPR1 and NPR3) (Fu et al., 2012) showed lower 262 expression in the *acd6-1flk* mutants than in *acd6-1* (Figure 5D and Supplemental Data 1). These 263 data strongly support the role of FLK in SA regulation. In the JA pathway, we found that a 264 number of genes involved in JA biosynthesis showed reduced expression in acd6-1flk-1 and 265 acd6-1flk-5, compared with acd6-1. These JA genes include the LOX genes (Vellosillo et al., 266 2007; Seltmann et al., 2010), AOC3 (Hofmann and Pollmann, 2008), the OPR genes (Schaller et 267 al., 2000; Stintzi and Browse, 2000), and several JAZ genes (Vanholme et al., 2007). However, 268 we did not detect an expression change for the JA receptor gene COII, the key downstream 269 signal transducer MYC2, and several MYC2 target genes, including ANAC019, ANAC055, and 270 RD26 (Bu et al., 2008; Zheng et al., 2012). It is possible that only some branches of JA signaling 271 are affected by the *flk* mutations. Additionally, we observed a downregulation of PTI-related 272 genes in the acd6-1flk mutants, compared with acd6-1. These PTI genes include the pattern 273 recognition receptors (FLS2 and EFR), the co-receptor BAK1(Boller and Felix, 2009), PTI signal 274 transducers (MPK3, MKK5, the WRKY genes (e.g., WRKY22, WRKY 29, WRKY 33, and other 275 members in the family)) (Asai et al., 2002; Hsu et al., 2013), and members of the ATL gene 276 family that show early responses to PTI (Libault et al., 2007; Lin et al., 2008). These data 277 strongly support the role of *FLK* in PTI regulation.

#### 278

#### 279 FLK plays a role in ROS scavenging

280

281 While our data clearly support the roles of *FLK* in regulating SA and JA signaling, such function 282 of FLK does not fully explain *flk*-conferred Botrytis resistance. This is because although the SA 283 regulatory role of FLK supports flk-conferred P. syringae susceptibility, the decreased SA 284 signaling did not necessarily lead to increased JA signaling in the acd6-11flk mutants because 285 like major SA genes, many JA biosynthesis genes are also down-regulated by the *flk* mutations 286 (Figure 5D). In addition, the *flk* mutants showed a wild type-like response to MJ treatment 287 (Figure 3C). In addition to SA and JA signaling, prior studies showed a correlation of reduced 288 ROS with resistance to *Botrytis* and susceptibility to *P. syringae* in some studies (Chamnongpol 289 et al., 1998; Govrin and Levine, 2000; Muckenschnabel et al., 2001; Polidoros et al., 2001). flk-290 conferred pathogen defense is consistent with compromised ROS accumulation and/or signaling. 291 GO analyses of the RNA-seq data showed that genes regulated by the *flk-1* and *flk-5* mutations 292 were significantly enriched in the oxidative stress category (Figure 5B). Thus, we investigated 293 the link between FLK and ROS-mediated defense. The flk mutants had reduced ROS levels on 294 the basis of these two experiments. First, the *flk* mutants showed reduced ROS burst upon 295 elicitation of flg22 and elf26, compared with Col-0 and the two complementation lines (Figure 296 2D). Second, the high SA level in acd6-1 was associated with an increase of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, which was 297 suppressed by the *flk* mutations (Supplemental Figure 2). Thus, *FLK* might play a role in 298 regulating ROS homeostasis via ROS production and/or scavenging.

299

To further test how *FLK* regulates ROS, we treated plants with the herbicide paraquat, a redoxcycling agent that induces ROS production in a non-enzymatic manner in the chloroplast. Paraquat accepts electrons from photosystem I and transfers them to oxygen to produce ROS, subsequently interfering with photosynthetic electron transfer and leading to cell death (Lascano et al., 2012). Plant resistance to paraquat is often associated with increased ROS scavenging. Using methyl viologen (MV), a form of paraquat, we found that the *flk* mutants were less sensitive to MV treatment, displaying reduced leaf wilting, compared with Col-0 (Figures 6A

307 and 6B). We further corroborated this result with the ion leakage assay, which reflects the 308 severity of cell death induced by MV (Figure 6C). Together these data suggest a role of FLK in 309 ROS scavenging.

310

311 Some abiotic stress conditions, such as high salinity and UV, induce high ROS accumulation, 312 causing oxidative damage and eventually cell death. Some plants resistant to abiotic stress are 313 also more tolerant to MV (Kurepa et al., 1998; Tsugane et al., 1999; Overmyer et al., 2000; 314 Fujibe et al., 2004), likely due to elevated ROS scavenging. We found that the *flk* mutants 315 showed less chlorosis than Col-0 and FLK-GFP lines #7 and #20 under salinity, heat, or UV 316 stress conditions (Supplemental Figure 3), suggesting a higher resistance of the *flk* mutants to 317 these stress conditions. These results further support the role of FLK in ROS scavenging.

318

319 Many ROS scavenging enzymes detoxify ROS in the cell (Noctor et al., 2016; Smirnoff and 320 Arnaud, 2019). To begin to address which enzymes are affected by FLK, we treated plant leaves 321 with sodium azide (NaN<sub>3</sub>) to inhibit peroxidase activity followed by DAB staining for  $H_2O_2$ 322 accumulation (Lai et al., 2012). As expected, NaN<sub>3</sub> treated Col-0 accumulated more H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> than 323 mock treated plants (Figure 7A). If FLK acts through peroxidase in scavenging ROS, we expect 324 that inhibition of peroxidase activity in the *flk* mutants would lead to higher ROS accumulation, 325 compared with mock-treated plants. However, the *flk* mutants were less sensitive to NaN<sub>3</sub> 326 treatment and showed similar DAB staining as mock-treated samples. Thus, FLK unlikely acts 327 through peroxidases in ROS scavenging. Similarly, we conducted a pharmacological inhibition 328 assay, using 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole (3-AT) to inhibit the activity of catalases, another major class 329 of ROS scavenging enzymes (Bestwick et al., 1997; Lai et al., 2012). We found that the flk 330 mutants showed wild type-like DAB staining (Figure 7B), suggesting the importance of catalases 331 in FLK-mediated ROS scavenging. qRT-PCR experiments further showed that expression of two 332 catalase genes, CAT2 and CAT3, was higher in the flk mutants (Figure 7B). Thus, FLK might act

- 333 through catalases in ROS scavenging.
- 334
- 335

#### **Discussion**

337

The *FLK* gene is known as a positive regulator of flowering time. We report here the identification of a new allele of *FLK* from a genetic screen aimed to uncover novel defense genes. The *flk* mutations conferred enhanced disease susceptibility to the biotroph *P. syringae* and enhanced disease resistance to the necrotroph *Botrytis*. Our data for the first time mechanistically link the *FLK* function with defense signaling and ROS scavenging. Thus, FLK is a multifunctional protein important for defense and development of plants.

344

345 In response to pathogens of varying lifestyles, plants activate downstream signaling pathways, 346 some of which are antagonistic to one another. SA is a key signaling molecule critical for 347 defense against biotrophs. SA might adversely affect plant defense against necrotrophs through 348 crosstalking with other defense pathways, such as those mediated by JA and ROS. We showed 349 here that the *flk* mutations suppressed high SA accumulation induced by *PmaDG3* infection and 350 in the acd6-1 background (Figures 1 and 2B). Genetic analysis revealed that FLK-regulated SA 351 accumulation was fully dependent on the two major SA synthase genes ICS1 and WIN3 but 352 partially dependent on PAD4 and NPR1 (Figure 4). RNA-seq analysis further showed that 353 expression of most major SA regulatory genes were affected by the *flk* mutations. Together, 354 these data strongly establish a role of *FLK* in SA regulation.

355

356 Because SA and JA are known to act antagonistically to defend against the biotrophic pathogen 357 P. syringae and the necrotrophic pathogen Botrytis. The opposing response of the flk mutants to 358 these two pathogens prompted us to investigate whether JA signaling is activated by *flk*. 359 However, our data did not support an activation of the JA pathway because expression of many 360 JA biosynthesis genes was suppressed by the *flk* mutations and the *flk* mutants behaved similarly 361 to Col-0 in response to MJ treatment (Figures 3C and 5D). In addition to SA and JA signaling, 362 prior studies showed a correlation of reduced ROS with resistance to Botrytis and susceptibility 363 to P. syringae in some studies (Chamnongpol et al., 1998; Govrin and Levine, 2000; 364 Muckenschnabel et al., 2001; Polidoros et al., 2001). Indeed, we found an association of the flk365 mutations with reduced ROS levels (Figure 2D and Supplemental Figure 2). Further experiments 366 revealed that the *flk* mutants showed increased resistance to the ROS generating reagent MV, as 367 well as stress imposed by UV, heat, or salinity, compared with Col-0 (Figure 6 and Supplemental Figure 2). These abiotic stress conditions are known to activate the oxidative response in plants 368 369 and resistance to these conditions were shown to be related to enhanced ROS scavenging (Kurepa et al., 1998; Tsugane et al., 1999; Overmyer et al., 2000; Fujibe et al., 2004). Thus, 370 371 these data together support the role of *FLK* in ROS scavenging.

372

373 ROS can be induced rapidly upon pathogen attack and abiotic stress conditions. ROS are also by-374 products of photosynthesis and respiration and are important for cellular communication and 375 development in plants (Gapper and Dolan, 2006). While known as defense signaling molecules, 376 high levels of ROS are toxic to plant cells. The multifunctional role of ROS makes it particularly 377 important to balance ROS homeostasis in plant cells, which is not only controlled by numerous 378 genes involved in ROS production but also by those involved ROS scavenging, including genes 379 encoding catalases, ascorbate peroxidases, superoxide dismutases, peroxiredoxins, and other 380 classes of peroxidases (Mittler et al., 2004; Smirnoff and Arnaud, 2019). Among the ROS 381 scavenging enzymes, catalases are the most studied and are linked to pathogen defense (Mhamdi

382 et al., 2010). Increased catalase activity, which results in decreased ROS, is associated with enhanced susceptibility to biotrophic pathogens but enhanced resistance to necrotrophic 383 384 pathogens (Chamnongpol et al., 1998; Govrin and Levine, 2000; Polidoros et al., 2001; Rossi et 385 al., 2017; Yuan et al., 2017). The *flk*-conferred responses to *P. syringae* (a biotroph) and *Botrytis* 386 (a necrotroph) are consistent with reduced ROS levels and increased catalase gene expression in 387 the *flk* mutants (Figures 2D, 7B, and Supplemental Figure 2). A pharmacological inhibition assay 388 with a catalase inhibitor further supports the importance of catalases in FLK-mediated ROS 389 scavenging (Figure 7A). Although peroxidase may not be required for FLK function in ROS 390 scavenging, our data do not rule out that other types of ROS scavenging enzymes could also 391 participate in FLK-mediated ROS homeostasis. In addition to a direct regulation of expression of 392 catalase genes by FLK, it is possible that the increased ROS scavenging in flk is due to the 393 crosstalk between SA and ROS signaling. High SA levels were shown to suppress ROS 394 scavenging enzyme activities, including those of catalases and ascorbate peroxidases (Chen et al., 395 1993; Sanchez-Casas and Klessig, 1994; Durner and Klessig, 1995; Yuan et al., 2017). The 396 suppression of SA by *flk* mutations could lead to a de-repression of catalase activity and 397 subsequently higher ROS scavenging.

398

399 In addition to SA and ROS regulation, our data showed that the *flk* mutations compromised PTI 400 upon elicitation with PAMP molecules and suppressed cell death in acd6-1. RNA-seq data 401 revealed that major defense signaling genes are regulated by FLK, further providing mechanistic 402 links of FLK function in pathogen defense. However, how the defense role of FLK is linked with 403 its regulation of flowering time remains to be elucidated. Changes of SA levels have been shown 404 to be associated with altered flowering time. For instance, exogenous application of SA led to 405 early flowering in some plants (Endo et al., 2009; Wada et al., 2009). In Arabidopsis, a number 406 of mutants showed an association of SA levels with early flowering (Martinez et al., 2004; Jin et 407 al., 2008; March-Diaz et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2012). These observations underscore the 408 importance of SA signaling in flowering control. In contrast to this notion, our data showed that 409 FLK-mediated flowering is largely independent of SA level (Figure 4). Whether other defense 410 signaling regulated by FLK is involved in flowering regulation remains to be elucidated. It is also 411 possible that FLK's role in flowering control might be through a different set of genes, 412 independent of its role in defense regulation.

413

414 Although our data showed FLK-mediated flowering is largely independent of SA, we observed 415 the suppression of late flowering in *flk* by two SA mutants, *npr1-1* and *win3-1*. Because the 416 flowering time phenotype in the *npr1-1* mutant is likely due to a second site mutation, a further 417 identification of this mutation will shed lights on the molecular pathway mediated by FLK in 418 flowering control. The early flowering phenotype was also observed with other WIN3 alleles 419 (Kenichi Tsuda and Yuelin Zhang, personal communications), suggesting that WIN3 plays roles 420 in both flowering and SA biosynthesis. WIN3 encodes a GH3 acyl adenylase-family enzyme that 421 conjugates glutamate to isochorismate to produce isochorismate-9-glutamate, a precursor of SA 422 (Rekhter et al., 2019; Torrens-Spence et al., 2019). It is possible that WIN3 is not directly 423 involved in flowering regulation. Instead, the lack of WIN3 enzymatic activity in the win3-1 424 mutant might produce altered metabolic profile; one or more such metabolites could directly 425 contribute to flowering regulation. Alternatively, WIN3 has additional uncovered function 426 independent of its role in SA synthesis for flowering regulation. WIN3 suppresses expression of 427 the flowering activator gene FT and promotes the repressor gene FLC (Wang et al., 2011a). This

428 action of *WIN3* is opposite to that of *FLK* in regulating expression of these two genes (Lim et al., 429 2004; Mockler et al., 2004), forming the basis of *win3* suppression of *flk* in flowering control.

430

431 How could FLK execute its function in regulating various downstream pathways to affect 432 defense and development of plants? FLK encodes a putative protein with three KH motifs. The 433 KH motif is a highly conserved RNA binding motif found in proteins in diverse organisms. KH 434 domain proteins were shown to affect RNA metabolism, such as pre-mRNA processing, mRNA 435 stability, RNA transport, and/or translational efficiency (Nicastro et al., 2015). The KH domain 436 proteins can function through a direct binding to, and/or an association in protein complexes with 437 RNA molecules for processing (Nicastro et al., 2015). Consistent with *flk*-conferred development 438 and defense phenotypes, our RNA-seq data revealed that a large number of genes involved in 439 development and defense signaling were differentially expressed in the *flk* mutants, compared 440 with control plants. These observations support the biochemical function of the FLK protein in 441 regulating mRNA stability. It is possible that FLK directly binds to its target gene transcripts to 442 influence their mRNA stability. Alternatively, FLK forms protein complexes with other proteins 443 to regulate mRNA stability. In addition, FLK and/or its protein complexes could affect RNA 444 alternative splicing. Indeed, two of the most similar human homologs of FLK, the PCBP and 445 NOVA proteins, were shown to regulate RNA alternative splicing (Zhang et al., 2010a; Zhang et 446 al., 2010b). Like these human homologs, FLK was reported to influence RNA alternative 447 splicing of the target genes FLC and such a regulation resulted in the change of overall mRNA 448 abundance (Ripoll et al., 2009). While the detailed biochemical mechanism by which FLK acts 449 to regulate RNA metabolism remains to be elucidated, our data that show expression of many 450 genes is affected by FLK and the lack of FLK results in altered defense and development, 451 strongly support the multifunctionality of FLK. It is possible that such a multifunctionality of 452 FLK can be decoupled by various downstream genes with distinct roles in defense and/or 453 development regulation. Thus, it is critically important to uncover direct gene targets of FLK in 454 order to further advance our understanding of the mechanistic actions of FLK.

455

456 Plant disease resistance is a complex process maintained in an intricate balance with normal 457 development. Increasing evidence indicates the importance of post-transcriptional regulation of 458 plant defense by RNA binding proteins (Lee and Kang, 2016). Our study supports the 459 importance of FLK, a protein containing a conserved RNA binding motif, in plant development 460 and defense. Because plants can activate conflicting defense strategies to fend off pathogens of 461 different lifestyles, cautions should be taken when referring to the tradeoff between defense and 462 development. Enhanced defense against a certain type of pathogens does not necessarily result in the cost to development, as demonstrated by the case to show *flk*-conferred opposing resistance 463 464 to different pathogens and *flk*-conferred late flowering. It remains critically important to 465 elucidate the detailed molecular mechanisms of gene function in regulating various physiological 466 outputs. We expect that some FLK downstream targets decouple distinct FLK functions in 467 defense and development. Such FLK pathway genes are potentially powerful molecular tools 468 that can be used to develop novel biotechnological strategies to precisely control crop traits, 469 maximizing plant growth while maintaining proper responses to environmental assaults.

- 470
- 471 Materials and Methods
- 472
- 473 Plant materials

474

Plants were grown in growth chambers with 180  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> photon density, 60% humidity, 475 and 22°C, and a photoperiod of 12 h light/12 h dark unless otherwise indicated. The *flk-1* mutant 476 477 line (SALK 007750) was previously described (Mockler et al., 2004). *flk-5* was identified from 478 the acd6-1 suppressor screen (Lu et al., 2009). The acd6-1flk-1 double mutant was generated by 479 crossing the two single mutants and selecting the homozygous double mutant in the  $F_2$ 480 generation, using proper primers. The triple mutants of acd6-1flk-1 in combination with SA 481 mutants, including ics1-1, pad4-1, npr1-1, and win3-1, were created by crossing the relevant 482 mutants together. The triple mutants acd6-1flk-1npr1-1 and acd6-1flk-1win3-1 were previously 483 described (Wang et al., 2011a) and they were used to generate the quadruple mutant acd6-1flk-484 *Inpr1-1win3-1*. Primers for mutant allele detection were previously described (Ng et al., 2011; 485 Wang et al., 2011a) or were listed in Supplemental Table 1.

486

### 487 Generation of transgenic complementation lines

488

A fragment of 5.9 kb consisting of 2.4 kb *FLK* promoter and the 3.5 kb full-length *FLK* genomic fragment was PCR amplified and cloned in the pGlobug vector (Zhang and Mount, 2009), in frame at 3' end with the reporter gene *GFP*. The *pFLK-FLK-GFP-NOS* fragment was subcloned into the binary vector pMLBart, using the NotI site. This construct was designated as *FLK-GFP* and was used to transform the *flk-1* mutant, using *Agrobacterium*-mediated plant transformation. Five homozygous transgenic plants that are independent transformants were obtained from the T2 generation.

496

## 497 Pathogen infection

498

499 *Pseudomonas syringae pv. maculicola ES4326* strains DG3 (PmaDG3) was used to infect plants 500 as previously described (Zhang et al., 2013). The fourth to seventh leaves of 25-d old plants were 501 infiltrated with a PmaDG3 solution, using a needleless syringe. Discs of infected leaves were 502 produced with a biopsy puncher of 4 mm diameter, homogenized in 10 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and serially 503 diluted. The dilutions were plated on King's Broth agar media with 50 mg L<sup>-1</sup> kanamycin for 504 bacterial growth at 30 °C.

505

506Botrytis cinerea strain BO5-10 was kindly provided by Tesfaye Mengiste (Purdue University). A507Botrytis solution of  $2 \times 10^5$  spores mL<sup>-1</sup> was evenly sprayed onto plants for infection. Plants were508covered for disease symptom development. Disease symptoms of the fourth to seventh leaves of509each plant were scored three days post infection with the 1-5 scale as described (Wang et al.,5102011a): 1 = no lesion or small rare lesions; 2 = lesions on 10% to 30% of a leaf; 3 = lesions on51130% to 50% of a leaf; 4 = lesions on 50% to 70% of a leaf; 5 = lesions on over 70% of a leaf.

512

## 513 SA quantification

514

515 SA extraction and quantification were performed as previously described (Wang et al., 2011a). 516 For pathogen-induced SA accumulation, the fourth to seventh leaves of 25-d old plants were 517 infiltrated with 1 x  $10^7$  cfu mL<sup>-1</sup> PmaDG3 and were harvested at the indicated times for SA

- 518 extraction. For non-infected plants, the whole plants were collected for SA extraction.
- 519

### 520 Gene expression by qRT-PCR analysis

521

522 The fourth to seventh leaves of 25-d old plants were extracted for total RNA, using TRIzol 523 reagent (Invitrogen) per manufacturer's instructions. After the removal of genomic DNA, total 524 RNA was reverse-transcribed, using a cDNA synthesis kit (ThermoFisher Scientific). Maxima 525 SYBR Green/ROX qPCR Master Mix (ThermoFisher Scientific) was used for qPCR reactions. 526 Primers for qRT-PCR were listed in Supplemental Table 1.

527

#### 528 Luminol assay

529

Leaf discs of 4 mm-diameter were isolated from the fourth to seventh leaves of 25-d old plants and floated atop 100  $\mu$ L sterile water in a 96-well plate. The plate was covered with tin foil and placed in a growth chamber overnight for PAMP elicited ROS burst and for 2 h for basal ROS measurement. A 100  $\mu$ L solution containing 300  $\mu$ M L-012 (Wako Chemicals USA Inc. Richmond, VA) in 10 mM MOPS buffer (pH 7.4) and 1  $\mu$ M flg22 or 1  $\mu$ M elf26 was added to replace sterile water in each well and luminescence was recorded immediately using a Modulus

- 536 II Microplate Reader.
- 537

## 538 Cell death staining

539

540 Trypan blue staining was performed to visualize cell death as previously described (Ng et al., 541 2011). Briefly, the fourth to seventh leaves of 25-d old plants were harvested and boiled in 542 lactophenol (phenol: glycerol: lactic acid: water=1:1:1:1; v/v) containing 0.01% trypan blue for 2 543 min. The stained leaves were boiled in alcoholic lactophenol (95% ethanol: lactophenol = 2:1) 544 for 2 min, rinsed in 50% ethanol for 1 min, and finally cleared in 2.5g/ml chloral hydrate for 2 545 min. At least six leaves of each genotype were stained and visualized with a Leica M80 546 stereomicroscope. Cell death images were captured with a Leica IC80 HD camera connected to 547 the microscope.

548

## 549 Callose staining assay

550

551 The fourth to seventh leaves of 25-d old plants were infiltrated with 1  $\mu$ M flg22 or sterile water.

Leaves were harvested 24 h post infiltration and boiled in alcoholic lactophenol (2:1 95% ethanol: lactophenol) for 2 min followed by rinsing in 50% ethanol for 1 min. Cleared leaves were stained with aniline blue solution (0.01% aniline blue in 150 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, pH 9.5) for 90 min in darkness. Callose deposition was visualized with a Leica M205FA fluorescence stereomicroscope and photographed with an Amscope CMOS digital camera.

557

## 558 **Root growth inhibition assays**

559

560 Sterilized seeds were plated on agar plates containing  $\frac{1}{2}$  MS media supplemented with 1% 561 sucrose (pH 5.7), stratified at 4° C for 2 days, and then transferred to a tissue culture chamber to

561 sucrose (pH 5.7), stratified at 4° C for 2 days, and then transferred to a fissue culture chamber to 562 grow for 4 days. Seedlings of relatively uniform size were transferred to a 24-well tissue culture

- 563 plate with 1.5 mL 1  $\mu$ M flg22, 10  $\mu$ M MJ, or sterile water. A minimum of 4 seedlings per
- 564 genotype per treatment were measured for root length 4 days post treatment and imaged with a
- 565 Canon camera.

566

#### 567 MV sensitivity assay

568

68

569 Twenty-five-day old plants were sprayed with 40  $\mu$ M methyl viologen (MV) or water as a 570 control. At least 8 plants per genotype were recorded for leaf wilting 24 h post treatment. For ion 571 leakage quantification, leaf discs from fourth to seventh leaves of plants were collected at 24 h 572 post treatment and floated in 5 mL sterile water for 6 h followed by ion leakage recording, using 573 a conductivity meter (Welwyn International Inc. Cleveland, OH, USA). Triplicate samples that 574 each had five leaf discs were used for each genotype.

575

## 576 Abiotic stress assays

577

578 Six-day old sterile seedlings grown on a plate with ½ MS media and 1% sucrose (pH 5.7) were 579 used in the stress assays. For UV stress, seedlings were uncovered and placed under a Fisher 580 Biotech transilluminator (312 nm) (Model FBTI-614; Fisher Scientific) for 2 h and then covered 581 back and returned to a growth chamber. For heat stress, plates were sealed in plastic bags, 582 submerged in a 44 °C hot water bath for 4 hours, and then returned to a growth chamber. Images 583 of seedlings treated with UV or heat stress were taken 48 h post treatment. For salinity stress, 584 seedlings were placed in a 24-well plate containing 20 mM NaCl or water as a control and 585 imaged seven days post treatment.

586

## 587 Inhibition of ROS scavenging enzymes

588

589 Twenty-five-day old plants were sprayed with 2 mM NaN<sub>3</sub>, 10 mM 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole, or 590 water as a control. The fourth to seventh leaves of the plants were collected 24 h post treatment 591 followed by staining with a 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (DAB) solution as described (Daudi et al., 592 2012). Stained leaves were visualized with a Leica M205FA stereomicroscope and photographed

- 593 with an Amscope CMOS digital camera.
- 594

## 595 **RNA-seq analysis**

596

597 Total RNA was extracted from 25-d old Col-0, *flk-1*, *flk-5*, *acd6-1*, *acd6-1flk-1*, and *acd6-1flk-5* 598 plants. A total amount of 1 µg RNA per sample was used to generate cDNA libraries, using 599 NEBNext® UltraTM RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina® (NEB, USA) following 600 manufacturer's recommendations. Deep sequencing was performed using Illumina NovaSeq 601 6000 (Novogene Corporation Inc.). Triplicate biological samples were used for all genotypes 602 except acd6-1flk-5, which had duplicate samples because one sample failed to pass quality 603 control. The samples were multiplexed and sequenced with the standard paired-end sequencing 604 that has a read length of 150bp per end and 20M reads per end per sample. The raw reads in 605 FASTQ format were filtered by removing reads containing adapters and reads of low quality and 606 mapped to the Arabidopsis genome (TAIR 10). For global gene expression profiling, the relative 607 expression value (Reads Per Kilobase of transcript per Million mapped reads (RPKM)) of higher 608 than 0.3 was used as the cutoff to include genes for further analyses. Each RPKM value was 609 corrected by adding the number one and then was log<sub>2</sub>-transformed. Differentially expressed 610 genes in each comparison group were identified using the R package DESeq2, using the default 611 parameters (Anders and Huber, 2010). Genes with an adjusted p-value < 0.05 found by DESeq2

612 were assigned as differentially expressed. Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of 613 differentially expressed genes was implemented by the clusterProfiler R package, in which gene 614 length bias was corrected. GO terms with corrected p-value less than 0.05 were considered 615 significantly enriched by differential expressed genes. Graphical representation of gene 616 expression correlation was analyzed, using heatmap.2 function.

617

## 618 Acknowledgements

619

We thank the members in the Lu laboratory for their assistance in this work. This work was
partially supported by a grant from National Science Foundation (NSF 1456140), UMBC
Technology Catalyst Fund, and UMBC CENTRE Funding Initiative to HL.

623

## 624 Author contributions

625

MF performed pathogen infections and PTI and ROS-related assays, measured flowering time 626 627 and pathogen-induced SA accumulation, and assisted in the bioinformatics analysis. MG 628 performed cell death staining, SA measurement, and gene expression analysis with the *flk* 629 mutants, and prepared samples for the RNA-seq experiments. JS assisted in the cloning of the 630 FLK gene. XZ cloned the FLK-GFP construct and conducted plant transformation and confocal 631 microscopy for FLK-GFP localization. SK measured flowering time. PP assisted MF in PTI 632 assays. AH assisted in the bioinformatics analysis. HL designed experiments, conducted genetic 633 crosses, and wrote the manuscript with help from MF and MG.

634

### 635 Additional Information

637 Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

638

636



Figure 1. Mutations in *FLK* suppress *acd6-1*-conferred phenotypes and lead to late flowering. (A) Gene structure of *FLK*. Triangles indicate the positions of two *FLK* alleles. (B) Pictures of 25-d old plants (top) and cell death (bottom). Leaves at the fourth to seventh positions of each genotype were stained with trypan blue for cell death. (C) SA quantification. Total SA was extracted from the plants and quantified by HPLC. (D) Flowering time measurement. Plants grown in a light cycle of 16 h L/8 h D were recorded for flowering time, days after planting for the first visible appearance of inflorescence of a plant. Error bars represent mean  $\pm$  SEM in (C) (n=4) and (D) (n≥18). Different letters in (C) and (D) indicate significant difference among the samples (P<0.05; One-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey HSD test). These experiments were repeated two times with similar results.



Figure 2. FLK positively regulates P. syringae resistance, SA accumulation, and **PTI.** (A) Bacterial growth with *PmaDG3* infection (n=6). (B) SA quantification with *PmaDG3* infection (n=2). (C) Expression of *PR1* with *PmaDG3* infection (n=3). (D) ROS burst in seedlings treated with 1  $\mu$ M flg22 (left) and 1  $\mu$ M elf26 (right) (n=12). RLU: relative luminescence unit. (E) Root growth inhibition with 1 µM flg22 treatment. 4-d old seedlings were treated with 1 µM flg22 and measured for root length 4 d post treatment. The flg22-insensitive ecotype Ws that contains a loss-offunction mutation in the FLS2 gene was included as a control. The fold difference is the ratio of water-treated and flg22-treated root length of each genotype. Data represent the average of three independent experiments. (F) Images of callose deposition. The fourth to seventh leaves of 25-d old plants were infiltrated with 1µM flg22 for 24 h followed by callose staining, using 0.01% aniline blue. Error bars represent mean  $\pm$  SEM in (A), (D), and (E) and mean  $\pm$  STDV in panel (B) and (C). Different letters indicate significant difference among the samples of the same time point (P<0.05; One-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey HSD test). These experiments were repeated two times with similar results.



Figure 3. *FLK* negatively regulates *Botrytis* resistance independently of JA signaling. (A) Pictures of *Botrytis*-infected plants. (B) Disease symptom scoring with *Botrytis* infection. The rating scale is from 1 (bottom; no lesion or small, rare lesions) to 5 (top; lesions on over 70% of a leaf). (C) Root inhibition assay. Seedlings were treated with 10  $\mu$ M methyl jasmonate (MJ). The MJ insensitive mutant *jar-1* was used as a control. Error bars represent mean  $\pm$  SEM in (B) (n=30) and (C) (n=12). Different letters indicate significant difference among the samples in the same comparison group (P<0.05; One-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey HSD test). These experiments were repeated two times with similar results.



Figure 4. Genetic analysis of the interactions between *flk-1* and major SA mutants in *acd6-1*. (A) Pictures of 25-d old plants. The size bar represents 1 cm and is applied to all panels. (B) Cell death staining. The size bar represents 0.5 mm and is applied to all panels. (C) Total SA measurement by HPLC. (D) Flowering time. Error bars represent mean  $\pm$  SEM in (C) (n=4) and (D) (n  $\ge$  18). Different letters indicate significant difference among the samples (P<0.05; One-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey HSD test). Data for Col-0 and *flk-1* were shown in Figure 1. These experiments were repeated two times with similar results.



Figure 5. RNA-seq analysis reveals genes differentially affected by the *flk* mutations. (A) Principal component analysis. (B) Gene Ontology (GO) analysis of genes differentially affected by the *flk* mutations. GO categories were based on biological processes and they are significantly different in all four comparison groups: (a) Col-0 vs. *flk-1*; (b) Col-0 vs. *flk-5*; (c) *acd6-1* vs. *acd6-1flk-1*; and (d) *acd6-1* vs. *acd6-1flk-5*. (C) Heatmap analysis of defense genes differentially affected by the *flk* mutations. (D) Suppression of major defense genes by the *flk* mutations in *acd6-1*. The GO category for SA is GO:0009751 (response to salicylic acid), for JA is GO:0009753 (response to jasmonic acid), for ethylene (ET) is GO:0009723 (response to ethylene), for programmed cell death (PCD) is GO:0008219 (cell death), and for PTI is GO:0007166 (cell surface receptor signaling pathway).



Figure 6. The *flk* mutations confer decreased MV sensitivity. (A) Images of plants treated with 40  $\mu$ M MV. (B) The average number of wilted leaves in individual plants of each genotype 24 h after MV treatment. Mock treated plants were healthy and had no morphological difference (not shown). (C) Ion leakage assay. Discs from leaves treated with MV for 24 h were floated on water and measured for ion leakage. Error bars represent mean  $\pm$  SEM in (B) (n=20) and (C) (n=3). Different letters indicate significant difference among the samples (P<0.05; One-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey HSD test). These experiments were repeated two times with similar results.



Figure 7. *FLK* likely acts through catalases in ROS scavenging. (A) DAB staining. Plants were treated with 2 mM NaN<sub>3</sub>, 10 mM 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole (3-AT), or mock solution for 24 h and were stained with DAB for  $H_2O_2$  accumulation (brown staining). (B) qRT-PCR for gene expression. These experiments were repeated three times with similar results.

#### **Parsed Citations**

Amasino, R. (2010). Seasonal and developmental timing of flowering. Plant J 61, 1001-1013. Google Scholar: Author Only <u>Title Only Author and Title</u>

Anders, S., and Huber, W. (2010). Differential expression analysis for sequence count data. Genome Biol 11, R106. Google Scholar: Author Only <u>Title Only Author and Title</u>

Asai, T., Tena, G., Plotnikova, J., Willmann, M.R., Chiu, W.L., Gomez-Gomez, L., Boller, T., Ausubel, F.M., and Sheen, J. (2002). MAP kinase signalling cascade in Arabidopsis innate immunity. Nature 415, 977-983. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Bari, R., and Jones, J.D. (2009). Role of plant hormones in plant defence responses. Plant Mol Biol 69, 473-488. Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Bestwick, C.S., Brown, I.R., Bennett, M.H., and Mansfield, J.W. (1997). Localization of hydrogen peroxide accumulation during the hypersensitive reaction of lettuce cells to Pseudomonas syringae pv phaseolicola. Plant Cell 9, 209-221. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Boller, T., and Felix, G. (2009). A renaissance of elicitors: perception of microbe-associated molecular patterns and danger signals by pattern-recognition receptors. Annu Rev Plant Biol 60, 379-406. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Bu, Q., Jiang, H., Li, C.B., Zhai, Q., Zhang, J., Wu, X., Sun, J., Xie, Q., and Li, C. (2008). Role of the Arabidopsis thaliana NAC transcription factors ANAC019 and ANAC055 in regulating jasmonic acid-signaled defense responses. Cell Res 18, 756-767. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Campos, M.L., Kang, J.H., and Howe, G.A (2014). Jasmonate-triggered plant immunity. J Chem Ecol 40, 657-675. Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Chamnongpol, S., Willekens, H., Moeder, W., Langebartels, C., Sandermann, H., Jr., Van Montagu, M., Inze, D., and Van Camp, W. (1998). Defense activation and enhanced pathogen tolerance induced by H2O2 in transgenic tobacco. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 95, 5818-5823. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Chen, T., Cui, P., Chen, H., Ali, S., Zhang, S., and Xiong, L. (2013). A KH-domain RNA-binding protein interacts with FIERY2/CTD phosphatase-like 1 and splicing factors and is important for pre-mRNA splicing in Arabidopsis. PLoS Genet 9, e1003875. Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Chen, Z, Silva, H., and Klessig, D.F. (1993). Active oxygen species in the induction of plant systemic acquired resistance by salicylic acid. Science 262, 1883-1886.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Cheng, Y., Kato, N., Wang, W., Li, J., and Chen, X. (2003). Two RNA binding proteins, HEN4 and HUA1, act in the processing of AGAMOUS pre-mRNA in Arabidopsis thaliana. Dev Cell 4, 53-66. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Daudi, A, Cheng, Z, O'Brien, J.A, Mammarella, N., Khan, S., Ausubel, F.M., and Bolwell, G.P. (2012). The apoplastic oxidative burst peroxidase in Arabidopsis is a major component of pattern-triggered immunity. Plant Cell 24, 275-287. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Ding, Y., Sun, T., Ao, K., Peng, Y., Zhang, Y., Li, X., and Zhang, Y. (2018). Opposite Roles of Salicylic Acid Receptors NPR1 and NPR3/NPR4 in Transcriptional Regulation of Plant Immunity. Cell 173, 1454-1467 e1415. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Dodds, P.N., and Rathjen, J.P. (2010). Plant immunity: towards an integrated view of plant-pathogen interactions. Nat Rev Genet 11, 539-548.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Durner, J., and Klessig, D.F. (1995). Inhibition of ascorbate peroxidase by salicylic acid and 2,6-dichloroisonicotinic acid, two inducers of plant defense responses. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 92, 11312-11316. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Endo, J., Takahashi, W., Ikegami, T., Beppu, T., and Tanaka, O. (2009). Induction of flowering by inducers of systemic acquired resistance in the Lemna plant. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 73, 183-185. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Feys, B.J., Moisan, L.J., Newman, M.A., and Parker, J.E. (2001). Direct interaction between the Arabidopsis disease resistance signaling proteins, EDS1 and PAD4. EMBO J. 20, 5400-5411. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Feys, B.J., Wiermer, M., Bhat, R.A., Moisan, L.J., Medina-Escobar, N., Neu, C., Cabral, A., and Parker, J.E. (2005). Arabidopsis SENESCENCE-ASSOCIATED GENE101 stabilizes and signals within an ENHANCED DISEASE SUSCEPTIBILITY1 complex in plant innate immunity. Plant Cell 17, 2601-2613.

Fu, ZQ., and Dong, X. (2013). Systemic acquired resistance: turning local infection into global defense. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 64, 839-

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

863.

Fu, Z.Q., Yan, S., Saleh, A, Wang, W., Ruble, J., Oka, N., Mohan, R., Spoel, S.H., Tada, Y., Zheng, N., and Dong, X. (2012). NPR3 and NPR4 are receptors for the immune signal salicylic acid in plants. Nature 486, 228-232. Google Scholar: Author Only <u>Title Only Author and Title</u>

Fujibe, T., Saji, H., Arakawa, K., Yabe, N., Takeuchi, Y., and Yamamoto, K.T. (2004). A methyl viologen-resistant mutant of Arabidopsis, which is allelic to ozone-sensitive rcd1, is tolerant to supplemental ultraviolet-B irradiation. Plant Physiol 134, 275-285. Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Gapper, C., and Dolan, L. (2006). Control of plant development by reactive oxygen species. Plant Physiol 141, 341-345. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Genoud, T., Buchala, A.J., Chua, N.H., and Metraux, J.P. (2002). Phytochrome signalling modulates the SA-perceptive pathway in Arabidopsis. Plant J. 31, 87-95.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Geuens, T., Bouhy, D., and Timmerman, V. (2016). The hnRNP family: insights into their role in health and disease. Hum Genet 135, 851-867.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Glazebrook, J. (2005). Contrasting mechanisms of defense against biotrophic and necrotrophic pathogens. Annu Rev Phytopathol 43, 205-227.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Govrin, E., and Levine, A (2000). The hypersensitive response facilitates plant infection by the necrotrophic pathogen Botrytis cinerea. Current Biol. 10, 751-757.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Griebel, T., and Zeier, J. (2008). Light regulation and daytime dependency of inducible plant defenses in Arabidopsis: phytochrome signaling controls systemic acquired resistance rather than local defense. Plant Physiol 147, 790-801. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Guan, Q., Wen, C., Zeng, H., and Zhu, J. (2013). A KH domain-containing putative RNA-binding protein is critical for heat stressresponsive gene regulation and thermotolerance in Arabidopsis. Mol Plant 6, 386-395. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Hamdoun, S., Zhang, C., Gill, M., Kumar, N., Churchman, M., Larkin, J.C., Kwon, A., and Lu, H. (2016). Differential roles of two homologous cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor genes in regulating cell cycle and innate immunity in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 170, 515-527.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Herrera-Vásquez, A, Salinas, P., and Holuigue, L. (2015). Salicylic acid and reactive oxygen species interplay in the transcriptional control of defense genes expression. Frontiers in Plant Science 6. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Hofmann, E., and Pollmann, S. (2008). Molecular mechanism of enzymatic allene oxide cyclization in plants. Plant Physiol Biochem 46, 302-308.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Hsu, F.C., Chou, M.Y., Chou, S.J., Li, Y.R., Peng, H.P., and Shih, M.C. (2013). Submergence confers immunity mediated by the WRKY22 transcription factor in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 25, 2699-2713.

Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Jin, J.B., Jin, Y.H., Lee, J., Miura, K., Yoo, C.Y., Kim, W.Y., Van Oosten, M., Hyun, Y., Somers, D.E., Lee, I., Yun, D.J., Bressan, R.A., and Hasegawa, P.M. (2008). The SUMO E3 ligase, AtSIZ1, regulates flowering by controlling a salicylic acid-mediated floral promotion pathway and through affects on FLC chromatin structure. Plant J 53, 530-540.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Jirage, D., Tootle, T.L., Reuber, T.L., Frost, L.N., Feys, B.J., Parker, J.E., Ausubel, F.M., and Glazebrook, J. (1999). Arabidopsis thaliana PAD4 encodes a lipase-like gene that is important for salicylic acid signaling. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A 96, 13583-13588. Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Karasov, T.L., Chae, E., Herman, J.J., and Bergelson, J. (2017). Mechanisms to Mitigate the Trade-Off between Growth and Defense. Plant Cell 29, 666-680.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Korves, T.M., and Bergelson, J. (2003). A developmental response to pathogen infection in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 133, 339-347. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license. Kunze, G., Zipfel, C., Robatzek, S., Niehaus, K., Boller, T., and Felix, G. (2004). The N terminus of bacterial elongation factor Tu elicits innate immunity in Arabidopsis plants. Plant Cell 16, 3496-3507.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Kurepa, J., Smalle, J., Van Montagu, M., and Inze, D. (1998). Oxidative stress tolerance and longevity in Arabidopsis: the late-flowering mutant gigantea is tolerant to paraquat. Plant J 14, 759-764.

Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Lai, AG., Doherty, C.J., Mueller-Roeber, B., Kay, S.A., Schippers, J.H., and Dijkwel, P.P. (2012). CIRCADIAN CLOCK-ASSOCIATED 1 regulates ROS homeostasis and oxidative stress responses. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109, 17129-17134. Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Lascano, R., Munoz, N., Robert, G., Rodriguez, M., Melchiorre, M., Trippi, V., and Quero, G. (2012). Paraquat: An Oxidative Stress Inducer. InTech.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Lee, K., and Kang, H. (2016). Emerging Roles of RNA-Binding Proteins in Plant Growth, Development, and Stress Responses. Mol Cells 39, 179-185.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Li, L., Li, M., Yu, L., Zhou, Z, Liang, X., Liu, Z, Cai, G., Gao, L., Zhang, X., Wang, Y., Chen, S., and Zhou, J.M. (2014). The FLS2-associated kinase BIK1 directly phosphorylates the NADPH oxidase RbohD to control plant immunity. Cell Host Microbe 15, 329-338. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Libault, M., Wan, J., Czechowski, T., Udvardi, M., and Stacey, G. (2007). Identification of 118 Arabidopsis transcription factor and 30 ubiquitin-ligase genes responding to chitin, a plant-defense elicitor. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 20, 900-911. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Lim, M.H., Kim, J., Kim, Y.S., Chung, K.S., Seo, Y.H., Lee, I., Hong, C.B., Kim, H.J., and Park, C.M. (2004). A new Arabidopsis gene, FLK, encodes an RNA binding protein with K homology motifs and regulates flowering time via FLOWERING LOCUS C. Plant Cell 16, 731-740.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Lin, S.S., Martin, R., Mongrand, S., Vandenabeele, S., Chen, K.C., Jang, I.C., and Chua, N.H. (2008). RING1 E3 ligase localizes to plasma membrane lipid rafts to trigger FB1-induced programmed cell death in Arabidopsis. Plant J 56, 550-561. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Liu, J., Li, W., Ning, Y., Shirsekar, G., Cai, Y., Wang, X., Dai, L., Wang, Z., Liu, W., and Wang, G.L. (2012). The U-Box E3 ligase SPL11/PUB13 is a convergence point of defense and flowering signaling in plants. Plant Physiol 160, 28-37. Geogle Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Lorkovic, Z.J., and Barta, A (2002). Genome analysis: RNA recognition motif (RRM) and K homology (KH) domain RNA-binding proteins from the flowering plant Arabidopsis thaliana. Nucleic Acids Res 30, 623-635. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Lu, H. (2009). Dissection of salicylic acid-mediated defense signaling networks. Plant Signal. Behav. 4, 713-717. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Lu, H., McClung, C.R., and Zhang, C. (2017). Tick tock: circadian regulation of plant innate immunity. Annu Rev Phytopathol 55, 287-311. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Lu, H., Rate, D.N., Song, J.T., and Greenberg, J.T. (2003). ACD6, a novel ankyrin protein, is a regulator and an effector of salicylic acid signaling in the Arabidopsis defense response. Plant Cell 15, 2408-2420. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Lu, H., Salimian, S., Gamelin, E., Wang, G., Fedorowski, J., LaCourse, W., and Greenberg, J.T. (2009). Genetic analysis of acd6-1 reveals complex defense networks and leads to identification of novel defense genes in Arabidopsis. Plant J 58, 401-412. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Lyons, R., Iwase, A., Gansewig, T., Sherstnev, A., Duc, C., Barton, G.J., Hanada, K., Higuchi-Takeuchi, M., Matsui, M., Sugimoto, K., Kazan, K., Simpson, G.G., and Shirasu, K. (2013). The RNA-binding protein FPA regulates flg22-triggered defense responses and transcription factor activity by alternative polyadenylation. Sci Rep 3, 2866. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Macho, A.P., Boutrot, F., Rathjen, J.P., and Zipfel, C. (2012). Aspartate oxidase plays an important role in Arabidopsis stomatal immunity. Plant Physiol 159, 1845-1856.

Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

March-Diaz, R., Garcia-Dominguez, M., Lozano-Juste, J., Leon, J., Florencio, F.J., and Reyes, J.C. (2008). Histone H2AZ and homologues of components of the SWR1 complex are required to control immunity in Arabidopsis. Plant J 53, 475-487. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Martinez, C., Pons, E., Prats, G., and Leon, J. (2004). Salicylic acid regulates flowering time and links defence responses and reproductive development. Plant J 37, 209-217.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Mhamdi, A, Queval, G., Chaouch, S., Vanderauwera, S., Van Breusegem, F., and Noctor, G. (2010). Catalase function in plants: a focus on Arabidopsis mutants as stress-mimic models. J Exp Bot 61, 4197-4220.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Mittler, R., Vanderauwera, S., Gollery, M., and Van Breusegem, F. (2004). Reactive oxygen gene network of plants. Trends Plant Sci 9, 490-498.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Mockler, T.C., Yu, X., Shalitin, D., Parikh, D., Michael, T.P., Liou, J., Huang, J., Smith, Z., Alonso, J.M., Ecker, J.R., Chory, J., and Lin, C. (2004). Regulation of flowering time in Arabidopsis by K homology domain proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101, 12759-12764. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Muckenschnabel, I., Goodman, B.A, Deighton, N., Lyon, G.D., and Williamson, B. (2001). Botrytis cinerea induces the formation of free radicals in fruits of Capsicum annuum at positions remote from the site of infection. Protoplasma 218, 112-116. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Ng, G., Seabolt, S., Zhang, C., Salimian, S., Watkins, T.A., and Lu, H. (2011). Genetic dissection of salicylic acid-mediated defense signaling networks in Arabidopsis. Genetics 189, 851-859. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Nicastro, G., Taylor, I.A, and Ramos, A (2015). KH-RNA interactions: back in the groove. Curr Opin Struct Biol 30, 63-70. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Ning, Y., Liu, W., and Wang, G.L. (2017). Balancing Immunity and Yield in Crop Plants. Trends Plant Sci 22, 1069-1079. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Noctor, G., Mhamdi, A, and Foyer, C.H. (2016). Oxidative stress and antioxidative systems: recipes for successful data collection and interpretation. Plant Cell Environ 39, 1140-1160. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Ortuno-Miquel, S., Rodriguez-Cazorla, E., Zavala-Gonzalez, E.A, Martinez-Laborda, A, and Vera, A (2019). Arabidopsis HUA ENHANCER 4 delays flowering by upregulating the MADS-box repressor genes FLC and MAF4. Sci Rep 9, 1478. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Overmyer, K., Tuominen, H., Kettunen, R., Betz, C., Langebartels, C., Sandermann, H., Jr., and Kangasjarvi, J. (2000). Ozone-sensitive arabidopsis rcd1 mutant reveals opposite roles for ethylene and jasmonate signaling pathways in regulating superoxide-dependent cell death. Plant Cell 12, 1849-1862.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Polidoros, AN., Mylona, P.V., and Scandalios, J.G. (2001). Transgenic tobacco plants expressing the maize Cat2 gene have altered catalase levels that affect plant-pathogen interactions and resistance to oxidative stress. Transgenic Res 10, 555-569. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Rate, D.N., Cuenca, J.V., Bowman, G.R., Guttman, D.S., and Greenberg, J.T. (1999). The gain-of-function Arabidopsis acd6 mutant reveals novel regulation and function of the salicylic acid signaling pathway in controlling cell death, defenses, and cell growth. Plant Cell 11, 1695-1708.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Rekhter, D., Ludke, D., Ding, Y., Feussner, K., Zienkiewicz, K., Lipka, V., Wiermer, M., Zhang, Y., and Feussner, I. (2019). Isochorismatederived biosynthesis of the plant stress hormone salicylic acid. Science 365, 498-502. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Ripoll, J.J., Rodriguez-Cazorla, E., Gonzalez-Reig, S., Andujar, A, Alonso-Cantabrana, H., Perez-Amador, M.A, Carbonell, J., Martinez-Laborda, A, and Vera, A (2009). Antagonistic interactions between Arabidopsis K-homology domain genes uncover PEPPER as a positive regulator of the central floral repressor FLOWERING LOCUS C. Dev Biol 333, 251-262. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Roden, L.C., and Ingle, R.A. (2009). Lights, rhythms, infection: the role of light and the circadian clock in determining the outcome of plant-pathogen interactions. Plant Cell 21, 2546-2552.

Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Rossi, F.R., Krapp, A.R., Bisaro, F., Maiale, S.J., Pieckenstain, F.L., and Carrillo, N. (2017). Reactive oxygen species generated in chloroplasts contribute to tobacco leaf infection by the necrotrophic fungus Botrytis cinerea. Plant J 92, 761-773. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Sanchez-Casas, P., and Klessig, D.F. (1994). A salicylic Acid-Binding Activity and a Salicylic Acid-Inhibitable Catalase Activity Are Present in a Variety of Plant Species. Plant Physiol 106, 1675-1679.

Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Schaller, F., Biesgen, C., Mussig, C., Altmann, T., and Weiler, E.W. (2000). 12-Oxophytodienoate reductase 3 (OPR3) is the isoenzyme involved in jasmonate biosynthesis. Planta 210, 979-984.

Seltmann, M.A., Stingl, N.E., Lautenschlaeger, J.K., Krischke, M., Mueller, M.J., and Berger, S. (2010). Differential impact of lipoxygenase 2 and jasmonates on natural and stress-induced senescence in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 152, 1940-1950. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Singh, V., Roy, S., Giri, M.K., Chaturvedi, R., Chowdhury, Z., Shah, J., and Nandi, A.K. (2013). Arabidopsis thaliana FLOWERING LOCUS D is required for systemic acquired resistance. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 26, 1079-1088. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Smirnoff, N., and Arnaud, D. (2019). Hydrogen peroxide metabolism and functions in plants. New Phytol 221, 1197-1214. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Song, J.T., Lu, H., McDowell, J.M., and Greenberg, J.T. (2004). A key role for ALD1 in activation of local and systemic defenses in Arabidopsis. Plant J. 40, 200-212.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Spoel, S.H., and Dong, X. (2008). Making sense of hormone crosstalk during plant immune responses. Cell Host Microbe 3, 348-351. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Stintzi, A, and Browse, J. (2000). The Arabidopsis male-sterile mutant, opr3, lacks the 12-oxophytodienoic acid reductase required for jasmonate synthesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97, 10625-10630. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Survila, M., Davidsson, P.R., Pennanen, V., Kariola, T., Broberg, M., Sipari, N., Heino, P., and Palva, E.T. (2016). Peroxidase-Generated Apoplastic ROS Impair Cuticle Integrity and Contribute to DAMP-Elicited Defenses. Front Plant Sci 7, 1945. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Thatcher, L.F., Kamphuis, L.G., Hane, J.K., Onate-Sanchez, L., and Singh, K.B. (2015). The Arabidopsis KH-Domain RNA-Binding Protein ESR1 Functions in Components of Jasmonate Signalling, Unlinking Growth Restraint and Resistance to Stress. PLoS One 10, e0126978.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Torrens-Spence, M.P., Bobokalonova, A, Carballo, V., Glinkerman, C.M., Pluskal, T., Shen, A, and Weng, J.K. (2019). PBS3 and EPS1 Complete Salicylic Acid Biosynthesis from Isochorismate in Arabidopsis. Mol Plant 12, 1577-1586. Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Toruno, T.Y., Stergiopoulos, I., and Coaker, G. (2016). Plant-Pathogen Effectors: Cellular Probes Interfering with Plant Defenses in Spatial and Temporal Manners. Annu Rev Phytopathol 54, 419-441. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Tsugane, K., Kobayashi, K., Niwa, Y., Ohba, Y., Wada, K., and Kobayashi, H. (1999). A recessive Arabidopsis mutant that grows photoautotrophically under salt stress shows enhanced active oxygen detoxification. Plant Cell 11, 1195-1206. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Vanholme, B., Grunewald, W., Bateman, A, Kohchi, T., and Gheysen, G. (2007). The tify family previously known as ZIM. Trends Plant Sci 12, 239-244.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Vellosillo, T., Martinez, M., Lopez, M.A., Vicente, J., Cascon, T., Dolan, L., Hamberg, M., and Castresana, C. (2007). Oxylipins produced by the 9-lipoxygenase pathway in Arabidopsis regulate lateral root development and defense responses through a specific signaling cascade. Plant Cell 19, 831-846.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Wada, K.C., Yamada, M., Shiraya, T., and Takeno, K. (2009). Salicylic acid and the flowering gene FLOWERING LOCUS T homolog are involved in poor-nutrition stress-induced flowering of Pharbitis nil. J Plant Physiol 167, 447-452. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Wang, G., Zhang, C., Battle, S., and Lu, H. (2014). The phosphate transporter PHT4;1 is a Salicylic acid regulator likely controlled by the circadian clock protein CCA1. Frontiers in Plant Science 5. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Wang, G.F., Seabolt, S., Hamdoun, S., Ng, G., Park, J., and Lu, H. (2011a). Multiple roles of WN3 in regulating disease resistance, cell death, and flowering time in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 156, 1508-1519. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

- Wang, L., Tsuda, K., Sato, M., Cohen, J.D., Katagiri, F., and Glazebrook, J. (2009). Arabidopsis CaM binding protein CBP60g contributes to MAMP-induced SA accumulation and is involved in disease resistance against Pseudomonas syringae. PLoS Pathog 5, e1000301. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title
- Wang, L., Tsuda, K., Truman, W., Sato, M., Nguyen le, V., Katagiri, F., and Glazebrook, J. (2011b). CBP60g and SARD1 play partially redundant critical roles in salicylic acid signaling. Plant J 67, 1029-1041. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license. Wildermuth, M.C., Dewdney, J., Wu, G., and Ausubel, F.M. (2001). Isochorismate synthase is required to synthesize salicylic acid for plant defence. Nature 414, 562-565.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Woloszynska, M., Le Gall, S., Neyt, P., Boccardi, T.M., Grasser, M., Langst, G., Aesaert, S., Coussens, G., Dhondt, S., Van De Slijke, E., Bruno, L., Fung-Uceda, J., Mas, P., Van Montagu, M., Inze, D., Himanen, K., De Jaeger, G., Grasser, K.D., and Van Lijsebettens, M. (2019). Histone 2B monoubiquitination complex integrates transcript elongation with RNA processing at circadian clock and flowering regulators. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 116, 8060-8069.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Wu, Y., Zhang, D., Chu, J.Y., Boyle, P., Wang, Y., Brindle, I.D., De Luca, V., and Despres, C. (2012). The Arabidopsis NPR1 protein is a receptor for the plant defense hormone salicylic acid. Cell Rep. 1, 639-647. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Yan, Z., Jia, J., Yan, X., Shi, H., and Han, Y. (2017). Arabidopsis KHZ1 and KHZ2, two novel non-tandem CCCH zinc-finger and Khomolog domain proteins, have redundant roles in the regulation of flowering and senescence. Plant Mol Biol 95, 549-565. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Yuan, H.M., Liu, W.C., and Lu, Y.T. (2017). CATALASE2 Coordinates SA-Mediated Repression of Both Auxin Accumulation and JA Biosynthesis in Plant Defenses. Cell Host Microbe 21, 143-155. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Zhang, C., Frias, M.A, Mele, A, Ruggiu, M., Eom, T., Marney, C.B., Wang, H., Licatalosi, D.D., Fak, J.J., and Darnell, R.B. (2010a). Integrative modeling defines the Nova splicing-regulatory network and its combinatorial controls. Science 329, 439-443. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Zhang, C., Xie, Q., Anderson, R.G., Ng, G., Seitz, N.C., Peterson, T., McClung, C.R., McDowell, J.M., Kong, D., Kwak, J.M., and Lu, H. (2013). Crosstalk between the circadian clock and innate immunity in Arabidopsis. PLoS Pathog. 9, e1003370. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Zhang, C., Gao, M., Seitz, N.C., Angel, W., Hallworth, A., Wiratan, L., Darwish, O., Alkharouf, N., Dawit, T., Lin, D., Egoshi, R., Wang, X., McClung, C.R., and Lu, H. (2019). LUX ARRHYTHMO mediates crosstalk between the circadian clock and defense in Arabidopsis. Nat Commun 10, 2543.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Zhang, T., Huang, X.H., Dong, L., Hu, D., Ge, C., Zhan, Y.Q., Xu, W.X., Yu, M., Li, W., Wang, X., Tang, L., Li, C.Y., and Yang, X.M. (2010b). PCBP-1 regulates alternative splicing of the CD44 gene and inhibits invasion in human hepatoma cell line HepG2 cells. Mol Cancer 9, 72.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Zhang, X.N., and Mount, S.M. (2009). Two alternatively spliced isoforms of the Arabidopsis SR45 protein have distinct roles during normal plant development. Plant Physiol 150, 1450-1458.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Zhang, Y., Xu, S., Ding, P., Wang, D., Cheng, Y.T., He, J., Gao, M., Xu, F., Li, Y., Zhu, Z., Li, X., and Zhang, Y. (2010c). Control of salicylic acid synthesis and systemic acquired resistance by two members of a plant-specific family of transcription factors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U SA107, 18220-18225.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Zheng, X.Y., Spivey, N.W., Zeng, W., Liu, P.P., Fu, Z.Q., Klessig, D.F., He, S.Y., and Dong, X. (2012). Coronatine promotes Pseudomonas syringae virulence in plants by activating a signaling cascade that inhibits salicylic acid accumulation. Cell Host Microbe 11, 587-596. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Zipfel, C., Robatzek, S., Navarro, L., Oakeley, E.J., Jones, J.D., Felix, G., and Boller, T. (2004). Bacterial disease resistance in Arabidopsis through flagellin perception. Nature 428, 764-767.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title