## 

## 1. **Definition of Tenure.**

According to the American Association of State Colleges and Universities in its 1971 pamphlet entitled Academic Freedom and Responsibilities, and Academic Tenure, "... tenure ... is a means of making the teaching profession attractive to persons of ability, and constitutes an important protection for academic freedom. It, thus, contributes to the success of an institution in fulfilling its obligations to its students and to society."

To ensure the integrity of the university's tenure process, it is essential that:

 a. the criteria actually applied in the tenure decision be approved by the department in which the candidate is employed, be known to evaluators at all stages of the tenure decision process, and be communicated to candidates at the beginning of their employment.

b. all information regarding the candidate's success in meeting those criteria be considered by those involved in making tenure recommendations and all information considered be disclosed to the candidate in a timely manner.

c. the criteria, and corresponding performance expectations, be applied consistently to all candidates and that the criteria be applied consistently to the same candidate over time.

d. the university's procedures for conducting tenure review are adhered to by all participants, at all stages of the review.

e. periodic evaluations of each candidate's progress toward meeting the criteria for tenure are reported to the candidate clearly and candidly as well as constructive guidance on the candidate's future efforts.

## 2. Tenure Recommendations.

 Tenure recommendations are primarily the responsibility of the tenured faculty in the candidate's department, subject to administrative approval. Since there is considerable diversity among academic departments, each department shall establish its own tenure review committee. Departmental committees will establish written standards/guidelines for faculty member performance necessary for the granting of tenure. Performance areas will include teaching, scholarship, service and **collegiality**. The written standards/guidelines for each department shall be subject to the approval of the tenured faculty of the department, the department chair, the dean of the school, the provost, and the Committee on Academic Freedom and Tenure.

3. Responsibilities and Structure of Departmental Tenure Review Committees

- a. Each academic department shall establish a Tenure Review Committee. This Committee will have the following responsibilities:
  - 1) Establish written standards/guidelines for faculty member performance necessary for the granting of tenure as described in Paragraph E2 above.
  - 2) Conduct annual progress reviews of all faculty in tenure line positions and meet with these faculty to discuss their progress toward tenure. These reviews will produce written reports advising each candidate of his or her progress in achieving the performance expected of a tenured faculty member, offering constructive suggestions where appropriate. Copies of these reports will be made available to the candidate (on a timely basis) and the department chair and the dean. A copy will be preserved by the Tenure Review Committee.
  - 3) Upon application for tenure by a candidate, this Committee will conduct a review of the candidate's record and make a written recommendation to the department chair as to whether the candidate should be awarded tenure. (See Figure 2 below.) In reviewing the record, the Committee shall give significant weight to the guidance provided to the candidate and the candidate's response to that guidance contained in the annual evaluations described in paragraph 4 of this section. A copy of this recommendation is to be forwarded to the candidate on a timely basis.
- b. The following guidelines apply to the structure of Departmental Tenure Review Committees.
  - Committees shall consist of (at least) three faculty members, and each of these must themselves be tenured in the university. In the event that a department does not have three tenured members, the Committee will include tenured faculty members from other departments in academic disciplines similar in nature to the discipline of the candidates. For departments with more than three tenured members, the manner of the selection of faculty for the Tenure Review Committee will be determined by the tenured faculty members of that department subject to the following principles:
  - 1) No tenured faculty member of a department may be denied membership on the Tenure Review Committee or a vote on the final tenure recommendation for a candidate unless a conflict of interest or other sound reason for denial exists. Faculty members on sabbatical leave may vote in the tenure process; faculty members on leave of absence may not vote in the tenure process. A person aggrieved by a denial of participation may appeal to the **Academic Freedom and Tenure Committee dean of the school housing the department,** whose decision shall be final.
  - 2) No individual may participate in the tenure recommendation process at more than one level. For example, if the chairperson of a small department with less than three tenured faculty members available to sit on the departmental

94 committee elects to sit on the Tenure Review Committee, the Chairperson shall 95 not also forward his or her own separate recommendation. 96 97 3) Faculty members may not serve on Tenure Review Committees when the candidate is a member of their immediate family. 98 99 100 4) When faculty from outside the candidate's department are included on the 101 Tenure Review Committee (less than three tenured faculty members in the 102 department available to serve), the candidate shall be presented with a list of 103 potential extra-departmental committee members by the tenured faculty of the 104 Department. Barring extraordinary circumstances, the candidate will have the 105 right to reject no more than two names from that list. 106 107 5) In the event that there are no tenured faculty members in an academic department, the Academic Freedom and Tenure Committee will, in consultation 108 109 with the department chairperson, prepare a list of tenured faculty from related disciplines from which the candidate may strike two names. 110 111 112 4. Annual Review Procedures. 113 114 a. To prepare for future tenure decisions, the department chairperson is to prepare a 115 realistic and candid written evaluation annually for each tenure-track faculty member concerning his or her progress toward tenure. A copy of the chairperson's 116 evaluation will be forwarded to the faculty member (on a timely basis) and 117 the dean. A copy will also be forwarded to the Departmental Tenure Review 118 119 Committee for its consideration the following year. The department chairperson will also meet annually with each tenure-track faculty member to discuss this 120 121 evaluation along with that of the departmental committee. This is not a merit evaluation; its purpose is to provide the faculty member with a realistic appraisal of 122 123 work to date and prospects for the future. 124 125 b. The annual review process begins with submission by tenure-track faculty to the 126 Departmental Tenure Review Committee of information regarding his or her 127 performance during the previous two semesters with respect to the tenure criteria. 128 129 c. All members of the Departmental Tenure Review Committee will thoroughly 130 examine the information provided by tenure-track faculty along with previous year's annual progress reviews. 131 132 133 d. When the Committee has concluded its examination of the faculty member's performance, it will prepare a report to the faculty member and forward it 134 on a timely basis. That report will express the Committee's candid 135 assessment of the faculty member's success in satisfying tenure requirements and 136 offer recommendations, where appropriate, of how the faculty member might better 137 138 satisfy those requirements. 139

140 141 142		e.	A copy of this report will also be forwarded to the department chairperson and the dean. A copy will be retained by the Departmental Tenure Review Committee.		
143 144 145 146 147		f.	The dean will review the annual recommendations made by the Departmental Tenure Review Committee and the chairperson. The dean will also meet with the faculty member and the department chairperson approximately midway between initial appointment and the tenure review year and develop a written report of the faculty member's progress toward tenure.		
149			A copy of the dean's evaluation will be forwarded to the faculty member (on a		
150 151 152			<b>timely basis).</b> the department chairperson, and the chairperson of the Departmental Tenure Review Committee.		
153 154 155 156 157		g.	The department chairperson will maintain a tenure review file for each tenure-track faculty member in his or her department. This file should include a copy of the annual written evaluations made by the Departmental Tenure Review Committee, the chairperson, and the dean.		
158		h.	A candidate may, upon receipt of an evaluation by the		
159			department tenure review committee, the department		
160			chairperson or the school dean (or other communications		
161			per paragraph 5.e. 3) prepare a letter of rebuttal and		
162			forward this letter to evaluators.		
163					
164					
165 166 167	5.		eneral policies and procedures for the al tenure review.		
168 169 170		a.	It is important that the five essential points to insure the integrity of the tenure process noted in E. 1. above be observed.		
171 172 173 174 175		b.	In the fall semester of each academic year, the Office of Academic Affairs establishes a list of all faculty who are eligible for review of their service for the granting of tenure in the subsequent academic year. The provost of academic affairs notifies deans of the names of faculty in each academic department who should be reviewed for tenure so that reviews may be carried out in accordance with		
176 177			System and university policy for such reviews.		
177 178 179 180 181		c.	Upon receipt of names of faculty eligible for tenure, deans will notify the candidates and their respective chairpersons. Deans will also forward to the candidate a copy of his or her contract and statement of certification of years of service for inclusion in their tenure application file.		
182 183 184		d.	The applicant is responsible for assembling the tenure application file (see <a href="Appendix D">Appendix D</a> ) and forwarding it to the chairperson of the Departmental Tenure		

185 Review Committee. At a minimum, the candidate's tenure review file should 186 include the following: 187 188 1) The tenure application described in **Appendix D**. 2) The applicant's contract. 189 190 3) Statement of certification of years of service (provost office). 191 4) Evidence of teaching, professional development, and service. This information 192 should be organized in accordance with the promotions committee's 193 requirements as described in **Appendix C**. 194 5) Evaluations made by the Departmental Tenure Review Committee, the chairperson, and the dean for all previous years. 195 196 197 e. Review procedures for the Departmental Tenure Review Committee, the 198 chairperson, and the dean are the same as described in the annual review procedures with the following additions: 199 200 201 1) Significant positive conduct by the candidate that occurs or becomes known after the tenure application has been submitted is to be considered. It is the 202 203 responsibility of the applicant to forward evidence of the positive conduct to his or her department chairperson. The department chairperson will see that the 204 tenure application is updated. Previous evaluators will also be expected to 205 206 consider these events. 207 2) Significant negative conduct by the candidate that occurs or becomes known 208 after the tenure process has begun is to be considered. The applicant will be 209 notified of the allegation and given an opportunity to respond. Some allegations 210 may be considered exclusively by the Departmental Tenure Review 211 Committee. Other allegations may require channeling into other university 212 213 dispute mechanisms and the suspension, upon agreement of the candidate and the university, of the 214 tenure process until their conclusion. 215 216 217 3) Letters, e-mails, or other written documents created by faculty members or others that are not part of the formal process that come to the attention of 218 219 evaluators (committee minority opinions, may be considered as part of the evaluation materials as long as 220 they are forwarded to the chairperson of the Departmental Tenure Review 221 222 Committee before that committee has completed its deliberations. Once the Departmental Tenure Review Committee has completed its deliberations, 223 224 communications of this type are no longer allowed at any level unless approved by the Provost. In all cases, whether the communications are allowed or not, the 225 evaluator receiving such communications and any other participant aware of 226 communication will make sure that the candidate and the 227 such 228 chairperson of the Departmental Tenure Review Committee receives a copy. In addition, private meetings or extensive verbal communications that are not part 229 230 of the formal process but intended to influence the process should not be

231 232 entertained by evaluators. Knowledge of such material, however, must be

immediately disclosed to the candidate.

private letters, etc.)

- 4) While tenure recommendations are primarily the responsibility of the tenured faculty, the recommendation of the Departmental Tenure Review Committee shall carry greater weight than the recommendation of **tenured** department faculty not on the Committee.
- 5) The Departmental Tenure Review Committee, the department chair, and the dean will each independently and expressly make a recommendation in favor or opposed to the award of tenure for the candidate **and forward copies to** the candidate on a timely basis.
- 6). A candidate may, upon receipt of an evaluation by the department tenure review committee, the department chairperson or the school dean (or other communications per paragraph 5.e. 3) prepare a letter of rebuttal and forward this letter to evaluators.
- f. The provost will review the applicant's file, make a recommendation, include the recommendation in the applicant's file, and forward the recommendation to the president of the university.
- g. The president, in consultation with the provost, makes final decisions as to whether or not to award tenure to applicant faculty and notifies faculty in writing of that decision.
- h. Participants in the tenure review process must regard their work to be of the utmost confidentiality. Any discussion of matters that come before the Departmental Tenure Review Committee to anyone not on the Committee or discussion of these matters in public areas or in unofficial meetings is inappropriate. Any and all such behavior shall be regarded as a serious breach of confidentiality and shall be subject to disciplinary action.
- i. When procedural conflicts exist, the procedures documented in the faculty handbook for tenure take precedent over other procedures such as those indicated in appendices, etc.
- 6. Deadlines for completing each step in the procedures for granting of tenure to faculty are presented in **Figure 1**. This timeline is carried out in the fall semester of each academic year so that faculty seeking promotion in the spring of the academic year will have been reviewed for tenure when they seek promotion. Deviations from the schedule that do not prejudice the parties will not be grounds for appeal.

	STEP	DEADLIN E
a.	Notification by Academic	September 1
	Affairs Office to deans of	1
	tenure-track faculty eligible for	
	review.	
b.	Eligible faculty notified by dean.	September 5
c.	Applicant notifies department	September
	chair, in writing, of intent to	15
	apply for tenure. Department	
	chair notifies chairperson of	
	Departmental Tenure Review	
	Committee of intentions of	
	candidates.	
d.	Candidate's application file for	October 1
	tenure to the chairperson of the	
	Departmental Tenure Review	
	Committee.	
e.	Recommendations by	November 1
	Departmental Tenure Review	
	Committees along with	
	application file forwarded to	
	department chair.	
f.	Recommendations by	November
	department chair along with	15
	application file forwarded to	
	school dean.	
g.	Recommendations by school	December 1
	dean along with application file	
	forwarded to the provost.	
h.	Recommendations by provost to	December
	president.	15
i.	Written notification of tenure	January 15
	decision by the president to the	
	candidate.	

## F. Procedures for Faculty Appeals in Matters of Promotion and Tenure

1. The president of the university has the final authority to decide on appeals from faculty for promotion and tenure decisions.

2. Appeals by faculty of tenure decisions may be made on the basis of an allegation of error in procedures or application of policy and not on the substance of recommendations per se. Mere disagreement with the substance of recommendations of departmental committees, department chairs, deans of schools, and the provost or with the decisions of the president is not cause for appeal.

- 3. Appeals by faculty of tenure decisions which are related to allegations of unlawful discrimination based arbitrarily upon race, color, national origin, sex, age, marital status, handicap, or sexual orientation will be heard by the Academic Freedom and Tenure Committee.
- 4. When a faculty member wishes to appeal a tenure decision, the faculty member will notify the provost who will in turn notify the president. The president will then refer the case for review and recommendation to the Academic Freedom and Tenure Committee, which will serve as an appeals hearing board. Appeals must be made within 45 days of receiving the president's tenure decision letter.
- 5. The Academic Freedom and Tenure Committee may gather information; hold hearings; meet with faculty, department chairs, deans, other committees, administrators, and other parties; offer counsel; mediate; and perform the investigations it believes necessary to make a recommendation to the president concerning the appeal for tenure. The Academic Freedom and Tenure Committee may, after a preliminary investigation, decide not to pursue a grievance or to forward the grievance to another committee. The procedure to be followed is set forth in the University Grievance Policy for faculty (See Appendix E).
- 6. The Academic Freedom and Tenure Committee will maintain its deliberations in strict confidence and will gather necessary information and forward recommendations with the utmost discretion.
- 7. When a faculty member wishes to appeal a promotion decision, the faculty member will notify the provost who will in turn notify the president. The president will then refer the case for review and recommendation to the Faculty Welfare Committee, which will serve as an appeals hearing board for promotion appeals. The same policies and procedures will apply to promotion appeals as to tenure appeals.