

## **Faculty Senate Minutes**

### **Holloway Hall**

**October 10th, 2000**

**3:30-5:00 p.m.**

Present: Carolyn Bowden, E.J. Crane, Elizabeth Curtin, Jerome DeRidder, Tom Erskine, Greg Ference, Kathy Fox, Kashi Khazeh, K.-Peter Lade, Jim McCallops, Michael O'Loughlin, Rich McKenzie, Doug Marshall, Ken Milner, David Rieck, Kathleen Shannon, Marvin Tossey, Don Whaley.

**I. Opening remarks by Elizabeth Curtin** – Elizabeth informed the senate the she and Dr. Gupta of the UMES faculty senate had agreed that the SSU Faculty Senate would visit the UMES Faculty Senate at UMES at 3:30 on Nov. 28<sup>th</sup>, 2000. Elizabeth also mentioned the upcoming AAUP and College Presidents Conference regarding faculty governance. Tom Jones will be attending, however, other faculty members were encouraged to consider attending. Ron Dotterer also asked Elizabeth to solicit suggestions for programming themes and events for the Cultural Affairs Committee's Fall 01/spring 02 calendar. It was also announced that senate committee's designated senators should have their committees consider their charges and submit any suggestions regarding their charge, as well as a list of the full committee membership (including the chair and any non-faculty members of the committee) to the senate by the first Faculty Senate meeting in December.

**II. Approval of 9/12/00 minutes** – minutes from 9/26/00 were approved

**III. Provost's remarks - Don Cathcart**

**IV.** Barry King made himself available for questions regarding the Threats of Violence policy

**V.** Bryan Price made himself available to discuss the revised Mission Statement. President Janet Dudley-Eshbach was also present to clarify issues surrounding the document. There were numerous questions about the content of the statement and the characterization of this document as a "mission statement". Bryan Price indicated that MHEC's requirements for the document were quite prescriptive and the President assured us that this was not a replacement for the mission statement in the catalogue. The senate response to the president's discussion of the document was positive. The senate did not object to the statement regarding leaving open the possibility of exploring doctoral programs. Faculty sentiment was expressed, however, that any new graduate programs should not come at the cost of damage to our current undergraduate programs.

**5:00 Meeting adjourned**