

Sent out on
2/19/18

Faculty Senate Recommendation to the President

Originating Body: Faculty Senate

Originator: Samuel Geleta

Date Submitted: 2/14/2018

Requested Effective Date: Immediately

Recommendation: CUSF Academic Freedom Resolution addition to SU Faculty Handbook

Date Approved by the Faculty Senate: February 6, 2018

Attached: CUSF Academic Freedom Resolution (Passed CUSF May 18, 2012) and (Submitted by the Faculty Senate April 17, 2015)

Faculty Senate agreed: TO ENDORSE THE CUSF ACADEMIC FREEDOM RESOLUTION AND OFFICIALLY ADD IT TO THE FACULTY HANDBOOK.

President, Faculty Senate: Samuel Geleta

Date 2/14/18

Samuel Geleta

Action Taken by Provost

Date: _____

☒ Recommendation Accepted

☐ Recommendation Not Accepted

☐ Recommendation returned to the Originating Body for further review (see attached)

Disposition for Approved Recommendation:

☒ President

☐ VP Student Affairs

☒ Faculty Senate President

☐ VP Finance

☒ Consortium Chair

☒ School Deans

☐ Registrar

☐ Graduate Council

☐ Provost

☐ Provost Council

☐ Academic Editor

☐ Provost

☐ Provost

☐ Provost

☐ Provost

☐ Provost

☐ Provost

☐ Provost

☐ Provost

☐ Provost

☐ Provost

☐ Provost

☐ Provost

☐ Provost

☐ Provost

☐ Provost

☐ Provost

☐ Provost

Gave to Gina

Boobar per Teri

Herberger.

2/19/18

Date

Academic Freedom Resolution (Passed CUSF May 18, 2012)

Academic freedom is the liberty that faculty members must have if they are to practice their scholarly profession in accordance with the norms of that profession. It is based in the institutional structure of this and other universities and is fundamental to their common mission of promoting inquiry and advancing the sum of human knowledge and understanding. It is a condition of employment. Although some aspects of academic freedom are also protected by the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, academic freedom exists, independent of any external protection, as a basic prerequisite for universities to fulfill their mission to our society.

Generally, academic freedom is the freedom to teach, both in and outside the classroom, to conduct research and to engage in other scholarly or creative activities, to publish or otherwise disseminate the results, and to control promotion and tenure standards. Academic freedom also encompasses the freedom to address, or not address, any matter of institutional policy or action whether or not one is a member of any agency of institutional governance. Faculty have the freedom to address the larger community with regard to any social, political, economic, or other interest. Administrations should not place impediments – technical or otherwise – between faculty; all faculty should have the freedom to connect with their peers.

Academic freedom is most commonly exercised by individual faculty members, but remains a professional prerequisite of faculty members as a group. Academic freedom extends to all faculty whether full time or part time, tenured or non-tenured, adjunct or contingent. Faculty must be free from any censorship, threat, restraint, retaliation, or discipline by the University with regard to the pursuit of truth in the performance of their teaching, research, publishing or service obligation. Faculty also have the right to review and be reviewed by peers and thereby to control the standards and expectations for promotion and tenure.

The policy on shared governance in the University System of Maryland concurs, stating that “[f]aculty and staff who do not hold administrative appointments, and all students, may express their opinions freely on all shared governance matters without retaliation.”

Academic freedom includes the following specific freedoms:

- *freedom of research and publication.* Within the broad standards of accountability established by their profession and their individual disciplines, faculty members must enjoy the fullest possible freedom in their research and in circulating and publishing their results. This freedom follows immediately from the university’s basic commitment to advancing knowledge and understanding. Faculty must control their own scholarship and must be able to determine the content, format, wording, methodology, tone, et cetera, of their own work.

- *freedom to determine standards* Faculty are uniquely qualified to determine the directions and standards of their profession. Such expectations are determined by colleagues in the disciplines, including both faculty working in creative fields and faculty performing traditional research.

- *freedom of teaching.* This freedom is an outgrowth of the previous one. Faculty members must be able not only to disseminate to their students the results of research by themselves and others in their profession, but also to train students to think about these results for themselves, often in an atmosphere of controversy that, so long as it remains in a broad sense educationally relevant, actively assists students in mastering the subject and appreciating its significance.

- *freedom of internal criticism.* Universities promote the common good not through individual decision or bureaucratic calculation, but through broad-based engagement in the scholarly endeavor. Faculty members, because of their education and their institutional knowledge, play an indispensable role as independent participants in university decision making. By virtue of this role, they are entitled to comment on or criticize University policies or decisions, either individually or through institutions of faculty governance.

- *freedom of participation in public debate.* Both within and beyond their areas of expertise, faculty members are generally entitled to participate as citizens in public forums and debates without fear of institutional discipline or restraint, so long as it is clear that they are not acting or speaking for the University. Faculty are not institutional representatives unless specifically authorized as such.

Numerous positive outcomes flow from these freedoms. The historical model for education in the U.S. has been one shared governance where the faculty are major contributors to the operation of the institution. When faculty play a major role in ensuring quality of education the competitive advantage necessary for freedom of thought and creativity is assured. Faculty spend much time and effort bringing their disciplinary perspectives and institutional experience to bear on curricular and other decisions within the academy, and must be allowed to speak freely on these issues. Conversely faculty cannot be made to speak when they do not wish to. (One effect of this – implicitly and explicitly – is that faculty advocate for effective use of taxpayer funding within the institution and system.) They must be assured the ability to function in these roles without fear of retaliation for the expression of their views, whatever they might be.

This policy does not protect plagiarism, abuse, or any illegal activities or speech.

Academic freedom is essential to the fulfillment of the purposes of the University. The parties acknowledge and encourage the continuation of an atmosphere of confidence and freedom while recognizing that the concept of academic freedom is accompanied by a corresponding concept of responsibility to the University and its students. It is of critical importance that any restrictions to academic freedom required – such as those delineated in a particular professional ethics statement or any university standards pertaining to disruptive behavior – be drawn up and implemented with substantial faculty input, in such a way as to minimize infringement of academic freedom. In large part, this goal should be accomplished by ensuring that institutional discipline of faculty members is in proportion to the severity and persistence of misconduct, and by insisting that alleged offenses be handled with appropriate standards of due process, including, wherever possible, the judgment of competent peers. For the rest, however, it must be recognized that contemporary threats to academic freedom are constantly evolving. This University — its faculty, administration, and students alike — must exercise constant vigilance in resisting such threats, whether they arise within the university or from outside.

Submitted by the Faculty Senate
April 17, 2015

Recommended to be added to Faculty Handbook by Faculty Senate February 6, 2018