Estimating the relationship between preschool attendance and kindergarten science achievement:

Implications for early science achievement gaps

F. Chris Curran, PhD
Assistant Professor of Public Policy
UMBC School of Public Policy
411 Public Policy Building
1000 Hilltop Circle
Baltimore, MD 21225
curranfc@umbc.edu

Running Head: Preschool attendance and science achievement

Acknowledgements: The author would like to thank participants in the UNC Education Policy Colloquium Series as well as the editors and anonymous reviewers for valuable feedback on earlier versions of this manuscript.

### **Abstract**

Recent evidence demonstrates that disparities by race/ethnicity and socioeconomic status in science achievement are present in the earliest grades of school. Preschool represents one potential policy solution; however, little research has explored the relationship between preschool attendance and science outcomes. This study examines whether preschool participation may improve science outcomes overall and reduce science achievement gaps by race/ethnicity, gender, and socioeconomic status. Using data from the Early Childhood Longitudinal Study of 2011, this study uses school and classroom fixed effects models with a robust set of controls to estimate the relationship between preschool attendance and early science outcomes. Results suggest that attending preschool is predictive of higher teacher-rated science ability in the fall of kindergarten but that preschool is not predictive of higher science achievement in the spring of kindergarten. The relationship is not found to consistently differ by student race, socioeconomic status, or gender, though descriptive results demonstrate that subgroups have different patterns of preschool attendance. Implications for policy and practice are discussed.

#### <A>1. Introduction

Advancing science achievement among American youth is a pressing policy goal for educational stakeholders. Unfortunately, results from international assessments show that the United States lags other countries in a number of indicators of science achievement (Lee and Buxton 2010; OECD 2016). Furthermore, within the United States, disparities exist across groups in science performance. It is well documented that women and racial minorities are underrepresented in the science workforce, a gap that is linkable to disparities in science participation in higher education and K-12 schooling (Chen and Weko 2009; Riegle-Crumb and King 2010; Beede et al. 2011; U.S. News 2015).

While science achievement in the post-secondary and secondary sectors has been well studied (e.g. Maltese and Tai 2011; Wang 2015; Gottfried and Bozick 2016), less attention has been given to science in the earliest grades of school. Emerging evidence, however, suggests that trajectories of science achievement and disparities between groups are present in the early years of school (Kohlhaas et al. 2010; Maerten-Rivera et al. 2010; Quinn and Cooc 2015; Curran and Kellogg 2016; Morgan et al. 2016; Curran 2017). For instance, Curran and Kellogg (2016) document substantial race/ethnicity gaps in science achievement as early as kindergarten while other work demonstrates that general knowledge achievement, including science, is one of the strongest predictors of science achievement through the end of eighth grade (Morgan et al. 2016). Such work suggests then the need for an increased focus on early intervention as a mechanism for improving overall levels of science achievement while also improving equity in science achievement between subgroups.

Preschool is one of the most promising interventions for improving early academic performance. While a large body of literature has demonstrated the positive effects of high

quality preschool on early elementary achievement (e.g. Magnuson, Ruhm, and Waldfogel 2007a; Gormley, Phillips, and Gayer 2008), most of this work has focused on the impacts of preschool on reading and mathematics outcomes. Comparatively, few studies have explored the relationship between participation in preschool and early elementary science outcomes, and those that do tend to focus on limited geographic regions or specific preschool programs (Henry, Gordon, and Rickman 2006; Greenfield et al. 2009). As a result, we have little evidence on the average relationship between preschool participation and science outcomes and the degree to which preschool participation might serve to mitigate early science achievement gaps.

The purpose of this paper is to provide evidence on the relationship between preschool participation and science outcomes in the kindergarten year. Furthermore, the study seeks to assess the degree to which preschool participation may mitigate early science achievement gaps as well as the ways in which structural, policy amenable characteristics of preschools may contribute to differential science outcomes. To this end, this study uses the newly released Early Childhood Longitudinal Study of 2011 (ECLS-K:2011) to address the following research questions:

- 1) What is the relationship between participation in preschool and science achievement during the spring of kindergarten?
- 2) What is the relationship between participation in preschool and teacher-rated science ability during the fall of kindergarten?
- 3) Do these relationships vary by student characteristics such as race/ethnicity, gender, or socio-economic status?

4) Does the relationship between participation in preschool and teacher-rated science ability or science achievement vary by the location of the preschool (in a K-12 school or not) or by full or part-time attendance?

This study has the potential to provide important information to policymakers and educational practitioners seeking to improve overall levels of and equity in science achievement. In the following sections, I provide an overview of the extant research on preschool and science achievement. I then present the data and methodology and conclude with the findings and implications for policy and practice.

# <A>2. Prior Literature

# <B>Early science achievement

Student achievement in science has been an active area of research for quite some time, reflecting policy priorities around developing a workforce capable of engaging in scientific fields (The White House 2015, March 23). This research, however, has tended to focus on science achievement in the collegiate, secondary, or upper elementary levels of schooling with far less work examining science achievement during the first years of formal schooling (e.g. Maltese and Tai 2011; Wang 2015; Gottfried and Bozick 2016).

Emerging evidence, however, suggests that early elementary science achievement is important for future science achievement and that such achievement is not equal across subgroups of students (Quinn and Cooc 2015; Curran and Kellogg 2016; Morgan et al. 2016; Curran 2017). Morgan and colleagues (2016) used data from the original Early Childhood Longitudinal Study (ECLS-K) to predict science achievement through eighth grade. They found that a first grade general knowledge assessment, which tested science among other components, was a stronger predictor of science achievement from third to eighth grade than measures of

mathematics achievement, reading achievement, or observable student characteristics. Furthermore, among similar covariates, kindergarten general knowledge was the strongest predictor of first grade general knowledge (Morgan et al. 2016). These findings suggest that early science performance predicts future academic trajectories, serving as a foundation for future science achievement.

Unfortunately, evidence suggests these early science trajectories vary significantly across racial/ethnic, gender, and socioeconomic subgroups. Curran and Kellogg (2016) found that racial/ethnic gaps in science achievement exist in kindergarten, with White students outperforming Black and Hispanic students by over three quarters of a standard deviation. Work by others confirms that these racial/ethnic science gaps are present through the upper elementary and middle school years (Kohlhaas, Lin, and Chu 2010; Quinn and Cooc 2015; Morgan et al. 2016). In a similar fashion, large socioeconomic gaps in science achievement are documented as early as kindergarten (Morgan et al. 2016; Curran 2017). For instance, Curran (2017) finds that the gap in science achievement at kindergarten between families near the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile of income compared to those near the 10<sup>th</sup> percentile is approximately one standard deviation. Though gender gaps are less pronounced in the kindergarten year, they begin appearing by first grade and are reported at nearly a quarter of a standard deviation by third grade with males outperforming females (Quinn and Cooc 2015; Curran and Kellogg 2016). In short, the early presence of science achievement gaps and the importance of early science achievement as a predictor of later science achievement suggests the potential for early intervention to improve science achievement overall and equity in science achievement between subgroups. <B>Science in early childhood education

Preschool education represents one possible mechanism to influence early science achievement. Over the last several decades, early childhood education has expanded dramatically with a number of states pushing for universal preschool programs (Fitzpatrick 2008; Curran 2015). Coupled with enrollment in private preschool and the federally funded Head Start program, more than 65% of four year olds now attend some form of preschool care with nearly 40% in a state pre-k or a Head Start program (Barnett et al. 2016; National Center for Education Statistics 2016).

Despite the increasing prevalence of preschool education, little research has examined the relationship between preschool participation and science achievement. Nevertheless, a large body of research has demonstrated connections between high quality preschool and early academic achievement in other subjects, such as mathematics and reading. For instance, targeted programs such as the High/Scope Perry Preschool Project and the Abecedarian Project have been shown to produce academic gains in the early years of kindergarten as well as reduced incarceration and higher levels of educational attainment later in life (Campbell et al. 2002; Belfield et al. 2006; Temple and Reynolds 2007). Similarly, studies have found positive impacts of state-sponsored preschool programs and the federally funded Head Start program on academic outcomes (Henry et al. 2006; Fitzpatrick 2008; Gormley et al. 2008; Wong et al. 2008; Camilli et al. 2010; Puma et al. 2010). At a national level, prior work using the original Early Childhood Longitudinal Study has documented a positive relationship between center-based preschool participation and early academic achievement (Magnuson et al. 2007a; Claessens, Engel, and Curran 2014). In addition to overall positive effects, prior work also suggests that preschool may particularly benefit racial minorities and, in some cases, students from lower income households (Magnuson et al. 2004; Magnuson et al. 2007a; Fitzpatrick 2008; Bassok 2010; Weiland and

Yoshikawa 2013). Furthermore, though prior work is mixed, some research demonstrates that the size of the relationship can vary based on structural components of the preschool environment, such as whether the preschool is co-located with a K-12 school or whether students attend on a part-time or full-time basis (Robin, Frede, and Barnett 2006; Magnuson, Ruhm, and Waldfogel 2007a; 2007b; Reynolds, Richardson, and Hayakawa 2014; Leow and Wen 2016).

Very few of these studies, however, have examined the impact of preschool participation on early science outcomes. In one of the few studies that does examine science outcomes, Henry and colleagues (2006) estimate that participation in the Georgia state preschool program predicted higher teacher-rated science ability in the fall of kindergarten as compared to students who attended Head Start. Their study, however, is limited to evidence from a single state's preschool program and did not include a standardized science achievement test.

Though there is little evidence on the relationship between preschool attendance and science outcomes, studies of the prevalence of science instruction in preschool settings as well as the emphasis on science in preschool state standards suggest that the emphasis on science in preschool can vary significantly across settings. A recent survey of state early learning standards found that approximately 94% of states had science early learning standards (DeBruin-Parecki and Slutzky 2016). Approximately two thirds included science as a specifically named section in the standards while the other third included science learning standards under another content domain (DeBruin-Parecki and Slutzky 2016). Though science content is almost universal in state early learning standards, not all preschool providers are required to adhere to state standards. The extent to which such standards are required varies significantly across preschool settings. For instance, 66% of states require that state-funded preschools in public school buildings use the standards as compared to 30% for other center-based preschools and only 8% for parochial

preschools (DeBruin-Parecki and Slutzky 2016). That the requirement to adhere to state science standards varies based on whether the preschool is a state-funded program in a public school building suggests the possibility of variation in relationships between preschool attendance and science outcomes across preschool type and preschool location.

At the same time, evidence suggests that, in addition to varying across settings, science instruction is often limited in preschool. In a study of twenty preschool classrooms across 13 Midwestern child care centers, Tu (2006) found that about half of classrooms had a formal science area but that less than 5% of time was spent on formal science instruction and less than 10% of time was spent on informal science learning. Relatedly, a study of preschools in Oklahoma, a state with a universal preschool program, found that students in state-funded preschool as well as in Head Start received approximately the same amount of science instruction (about 17% of time), though the frequency of both was about half that of English language arts (24-30% of time; Phillips, Gormley and Lowenstein 2009). Compared to a broader sample of state-run and Head Start preschool programs, however, preschools in Oklahoma provided students with 30-50% more science instruction suggesting that national averages are lower (Phillips, Gormley and Lowenstein 2009). Similarly, Cabell and colleagues (2013) found science instructional time to be much lower than that of social studies, literacy, or reading in a sample of both Head Start, school-based, and other preschools.

Science instruction in preschool may vary across settings depending, in part, on a number of characteristics of preschool settings. Qualitative work suggests that some preschool teachers are hesitant to teach science due to a perceived lack of science content knowledge and science pedagogical knowledge (Timur 2012). Other work, however, suggests that some groups of teachers may not see these as the most salient limitations. In a sample of Head Start teachers,

most had positive views towards comfort of teaching science but cited a lack of preparation time, lack of materials, and a lack of available instructional time as the largest obstacles to science instruction (Maier, Greenfield, and Bulotsky-Shearer 2013). That teachers cite a lack of preparation and instructional time as an obstacle to science instruction suggests the possibility that relationships between preschool attendance and science outcomes could vary based on whether students attend preschool part or full-time.

While science instruction time is limited in many preschool settings, the research nevertheless supports the potential for such instruction to improve school readiness indicators such as early achievement. In a study of Head Start classrooms, Fuccillo (2011) found that, as compared to mathematics instruction or to circle time, science instruction prompted more higherlevel instructional interactions. The study demonstrated that the use of higher-level questioning in these contexts was related to gains in both cognitive flexibility and science outcomes (Fuccillo 2011). In another study, Cabell and colleagues (2013) utilized data from over 300 teachers across both Head Start, public school preschool programs, and other preschool programs to similarly examine the relationship between preschool activities and instructional quality. The results of this study confirmed those of Fuccillo (2011), finding that the most effective instructional interactions took place during science instruction (Cabell et al. 2013). These findings are consistent with other work that shows higher order dialogue when reading informational science books in preschool (Price et al., 2012). While research on the effectiveness of preschool science instruction is often limited due to a lack of early science assessments (Greenfield, 2015), these findings suggest the possibility that attending preschool could improve early science outcomes.

In short then, the evidence is unclear as to whether one should expect positive relationships between preschool attendance and science outcomes. On the one hand, the literature

points to the potential benefits of science instruction in preschool, yet, on the other hand, the evidence suggests that little time is devoted to science instruction in preschool. Coupled with the variation in science instructional time across preschools, this body of evidence suggests the importance of exploring the relationship between preschool attendance and early science outcomes at scale. This study seeks to address this issue by using nationally representative data to estimate the relationship between preschool participation and science outcomes in kindergarten while also exploring differential relationships for subgroups of students.

Furthermore, given that science instruction may vary based on structural components of preschools, this study examines two such aspects of preschools – their location and part/full-time enrollment. In the next section, I describe the unique data source that allows for exploration of these questions.

### <A>3. Data

I draw on data from the newly released Early Childhood Longitudinal Study of 2010-2011 (ECLS-K:2011). The ECLS-K:2011 consists of a representative set of kindergartners from the 2010-2011 school year who will be followed through fifth grade. The survey used a complex multi-stage sampling strategy to produce nationally representative estimates of public and private school students (Tourangeau et al. 2015a; 2015b). The ECLS-K:2011 provides the most current evidence on the experiences of elementary school students.

The ECLS-K:2011 is unique in its inclusion of science achievement scores in the kindergarten year. Unlike the original ECLS-K, which collected data on kindergartners in the 1998-99 school year and lacked such early science assessments, the new study allows for the exploration of the relationship between early childhood education experiences and subsequent science achievement during the first year of formal schooling.

The ECLS-K:2011 science assessment included questions pertaining to the physical sciences, life sciences, environmental sciences, and scientific inquiry (Tourangeau et al. 2015a; 2015b). The science assessment was developed from commonalities in the 2009 science standards from six states (Arizona, California, Florida, New Mexico, Texas, and Virginia) as well as from input from a panel of educators and subject area curriculum specialists (Tourangeau et al. 2015a; 2015b). Consequently, the science assessment has a high level of validity, reflecting both state science standards and expert input. With regard to reliability, the test items were subjected to pilot field tests prior to selection of the final assessment items and had a reliability of 0.75, a lower reliability than that for mathematics and reading as a result of the wider range of content assessed on the science achievement test (Tourangeau et al., 2015a; 2015b). Given in the spring of the kindergarten year, the science assessment included a consistent set of items for all students and was administered verbally so as not to depend on written responses (Tourangeau et al. 2015a; 2015b). For this study, I used standardized versions of the science theta score which were derived from item response theory (IRT) measures. In particular, I standardized the measures within the kindergarten year using sampling weights and survey settings to account for the complex sampling design of the ECLS-K:2011. This standardized science achievement score served as the primary dependent variable for this study.

In addition to exploring standardized science achievement, I also used a series of teacherrated science ability measures gathered during the fall semester of kindergarten. Teacher-rated
science ability measures have been used in prior studies of preschool (Henry, 2006). As a part of
the survey, teachers rated each student on their proficiency on eight different science
competencies. The competencies included "uses his/her sense to explore and observe", "forms
explanations based on observations and explorations", "classifies and compares living and non-

living things in different ways", "makes logical predictions when pursuing scientific investigations", "communicates scientific information", "demonstrates understanding of physical science concepts", "demonstrates understanding of life science concepts", and "demonstrates understanding of earth and space science concepts" (Tourangeau et al. 2015a; 2015b). Teachers could rate students' ability in each of these competencies as "not yet", "beginning", "in progress", "intermediate", or "proficient". They also had the option of specifying that the skill was not applicable or had not yet been taught. After recoding "not applicable or skill not yet taught" to missing, I created a composite version of these eight measures (Cronbach's alpha of 0.979 for the combined scale) and used the composite as well as each of the eight original measures as additional dependent variables of interest.

While it is true that many kindergarten teachers had not had an opportunity to evaluate students' science abilities in the fall semester of kindergarten, the teacher-rated ability measure is limited to data from teachers who did indicate that they had an opportunity to teach or observe the skill. As a means of assessing the validity of the fall teacher-rated ability measures in science, I compared their correlations with the spring achievement test to that of mathematics, which also had a fall achievement test. The correlation between the fall teacher-rated science ability composite measure and the spring science achievement score was similar to that for fall teacher-rated mathematics ability and spring mathematics standardized achievement scores (0.35 and 0.40 respectively). The fall teacher-rated mathematics ability composite, in turn, was moderately correlated (0.50) with the fall mathematics achievement test suggesting that teachers' fall ratings of student ability are reasonable measures of student ability.

The primary independent variable of interest was an indicator of whether a student attended any formal preschool setting with the exclusion of Head Start. The ECLS-K asked the

parents a series of questions regarding the children's early educational experiences in the year prior to kindergarten. In particular, parents could specify whether a child was in center-based care, a state-sponsored preschool program, Head Start, or whether a child was cared for by relatives or non-relatives. I followed precedent from the literature in creating mutually exclusive indicators of early care (Magnuson et al. 2007a). In particular, I coded students as having attended Head Start if the parent indicated that any of the forms of early care were a Head Start program. I coded the student as having attended state-sponsored child care if the parent indicated that the program was state-sponsored and that it was not Head Start. Center-based care represented any formal preschool experience that was not Head Start or a state-sponsored program. The primary independent variable of interest, preschool, included those students in center-based care or state-sponsored care. While I used this measure as the primary independent variable of interest, I also made use of the disaggregated center-based, state-sponsored, and Head Start preschool variables in alternative specifications.

In addition to the primary dependent and independent variables of interest, I used a number of covariates to mitigate selection bias. My list of control variables included a robust set of child, family, and neighborhood covariates from the original ECLS-K that aligns closely with those used by Magnuson and colleagues in a prior study of preschool (2007a). In particular, I controlled for measures such as child race, child gender, family structure, family income, parental education, parental workforce participation, educational expectations, access to books and other resources, participation in extracurricular and enrichment activities, and neighborhood safety among others. The full list of control variables along with descriptive statistics on the control variables is provided in Appendix Table A.1.

The primary analytic sample for this study consisted of students with available information on their preschool participation as well as an available standardized science achievement score from the spring of kindergarten. Though observations were dropped due to missing data on these variables, the use of weights to adjust for non-response and missing data attempted to adjust for these lost observations to maintain representativeness. Observations missing data on non-key variables such as the control variables were dealt with through the use of multiple imputation. Multiple imputation has been shown to have strong properties for handling missing data (Allison 2009) and allows for the retention of observations with missing data on control variables. I used 35 imputed datasets and implemented multiple imputation through the multivariate normal approach in Stata 14 (Schafer 1997; Graham, Olchowski, and Gilreath 2007). After imputation and the application of survey weights, the final analytic sample for this study consisted of 10,500 students (rounded to comply with IES restricted data standards).

### <A>4. Methods

The primary analytic approach involved ordinary least squares regression with a robust set of student, parent, and neighborhood control variables. In addition, I included school fixed effects as well as, in some specifications, classroom fixed effects, implicitly controlling for both observed and unobserved characteristics that are fixed within schools or classrooms respectively. The primary analytic model is shown in equation 1:

$$ScienceOutcome_{iy} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Preschool_{iy} + \beta_2 X_{iy} + \gamma_y + e_{iy}$$
(1)

Where *ScienceOutcome* is the standardized science test score in the spring of kindergarten or the teacher-rated science ability measure in the fall of kindergarten for student i in school or classroom y. *Preschool* is a binary indicator of whether the student attended preschool (centerbased or state-sponsored) or, in some models, disaggregated binary indicators of participation in center-based preschool, state-sponsored preschool, or Head Start. X is a vector of child, family, and contextual control variables while  $\gamma$  represents the inclusion of either school or classroom fixed effects depending on the model. In this model,  $\beta_1$  represents the covariate of interest and can be interpreted as the difference in standardized science achievement or teacher-rated ability for students who attended preschool as compared to those who did not after controlling for the covariates and school or classroom fixed effects. Across models, the comparison group is students who were not in a formal early childcare setting (center-based, state-sponsored, or Head Start). The estimates were weighted to account for the complex sampling design of the ECLS-K, and standard errors were estimated using Taylor series linearization.

One limitation of the science achievement tests is that they were administered in the spring semester of the kindergarten year. Consequently, by the time of the test, students had varying opportunities throughout the year to augment their science comprehension. Given a large body of literature around the "fade out" of preschool effects, one would expect the relationship between preschool attendance and science achievement to be smaller in the spring semester than it would have been at the start of kindergarten (Gibbs, Ludwig, and Miller 2011; Claessens, Engel, and Curran 2014; Lipsey, Farran, and Hofer 2015). The models predicting teacher-rated science ability during the fall of kindergarten partially address this issue by picking up science ability earlier in the academic year.

In addition to the primary models, I also fit models exploring differential relationships for subgroups of students defined by race/ethnicity, gender, and socioeconomic status. In particular, I fit versions of the model shown in equation 1 that included interactions between the preschool variable and dummy variables indicating student race, student gender, or family income quartile. These models take the form shown in equation 2 below.

 $ScienceOutcome_{iy} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Preschool_{iy} + \beta_2 Preschool_{iy} * Subgroup\_Indicator_{iy} + \beta_3 X_{iy} + \gamma_y + e_{iy}$  (2)

Where the variables and covariates are the same as equation 1 except for the interaction term between preschool and the subgroup indicators (race/ethnicity, gender, or income bracket depending on the model). The key coefficient of interest in these models is  $\beta_2$  which can be interpreted as the differential relationship between preschool attendance and science outcomes for each subgroup.

Finally, I fit models that examined the relationship between structural characteristics of the preschool environment and early science outcomes. These models inform policy amenable characteristics of preschools that prior literature suggests may be related to preschool effects (Robin, Frede, and Barnett 2006; Magnuson, Ruhm, and Waldfogel 2007a; 2007b; Maier, Greenfield, and Bulotsky-Shearer 2013; Reynolds, Richardson, and Hayakawa 2014; DeBruin-Parecki and Slutzky 2016; Leow and Wen 2016). In particular, I fit variants of the primary model (equation 1) in which the preschool indicator was replaced with measures of whether the preschool attended was located in a K-12 school building or not or with measures of whether the student attended the preschool full or part-time. In the first of these models, two binary

indicators, one representing preschool attendance in a K-12 building and another representing preschool attendance in a non K-12 building were included in the model. In the second of these models, an indicator for full time enrollment (> 20 hours per week) was included along with an indicator for part time enrollment (20 or fewer hours per week), a part/full-time distinction used in prior literature (Magnuson, Ruhm, and Waldfogel 2007a). As with the primary models, these models included an indicator for Head Start participation, making the comparison group students who did not attend any formal preschool.

Assessing the effects of preschool on early elementary achievement is complicated by issues of selection. In particular, the students who participated in preschool may differ systematically from those that did not in ways that would independently influence their academic outcomes. As a result, any attempt to isolate the effects of preschool on science outcomes must attempt to account for such selection bias. My analytic strategy addresses a number of potential sources of bias; however, it does not entirely eliminate the possibility of confounding variables. Consequently, the results should be interpreted as covariate adjusted relationships rather than causal estimates.

#### <A>5. Results

I find that participation in preschool is generally predictive of increased teacher-rated science ability during the fall of kindergarten but is not significantly related to standardized science achievement during the spring of kindergarten. I find, however, that the relationship varies by type of preschool with the positive relationship between preschool participation and teacher-rated science ability being driven primarily by participation in center-based preschool as opposed to state-sponsored preschool or Head Start. The relationship between preschool participation and science ability/achievement is generally consistent across student race, gender,

and family income though there is suggestive evidence of larger impacts for Black and male students. Nevertheless, descriptive statistics suggest that White students and more affluent students have different patterns of preschool attendance than their non-White or less-affluent peers. Finally, the relationship between preschool participation and teacher-rated science ability appears largest for preschools not located in K-12 buildings. In this section, I present the results that support these findings.

# <B>Descriptive statistics

Participation in some form of preschool child care is common. As shown in Table 1, over 70% of kindergarten students had taken part in either center-based preschool, Head Start, or a state-sponsored preschool program. Of these formal child care settings, center-based preschool was the most common with over 40% of the sample having taken part in a center-based setting that is not Head Start or a state-sponsored preschool program.

Despite the high proportion of students taking part in preschool, not all groups of students took part at the same rate. In particular, there were significant differences across student race/ethnicity and family income in the rate of participation in preschool, particularly center-based preschool. As shown in Table 2, more than half of White and Asian students took part in a center-based preschool program while less than one quarter of Black and Hispanic students were in such a setting. Black and Hispanic students were, however, more likely to take part in the federally funded Head Start program than White students. Similarly, students from higher income households (\$40,000 or above) were approximately two and half times more likely to take part in center-based preschool than their peers from lower income households and only about a quarter as likely to take part in Head Start. There were no meaningful differences in preschool participation across gender.

The results shown in Tables 1 and 2 also point to differences in spring of kindergarten science achievement across preschool care, across race/ethnicity, and across family income. Students who participated in preschool (other than Head Start) performed almost half a standard deviation higher than those not in preschool. Across student race/ethnicity, White students scored over 0.8 of a standard deviation higher than Black and Hispanic students in science achievement in the spring of kindergarten. Students from higher income families scored approximately 0.7 standard deviations higher than their peers from lower income families.

Similar trends were observed on the teacher ratings of science ability at the fall of kindergarten. In particular, students having attending preschool were rated approximately 0.4 units higher on the composite scale (0-4 representing not yet to proficient) of teacher-rated science ability as compared to those that had not attending any formal preschool. A similar difference was observed when comparing White students to Black or Hispanic students and when comparing students from higher income backgrounds to students from lower income backgrounds.

The descriptive results suggest then that there are large differences in science ability/achievement between students who attended preschool and those that did not.

Furthermore, gaps were present across student race/ethnicity and family income in science achievement levels, teacher-rated science ability, and participation in preschool. These results suggest the possibility that preschool may contribute to early science outcomes and that differences in participation across race and family income may explain some of the early science achievement gaps. To further explore this relationship, I turn next to results of regressions that include a robust set of individual and family controls as well as school and classroom fixed effects. As the standardized achievement test is both likely more reliable and is available for a

larger sample of students, I begin with a presentation of results for spring standardized science achievement and then discuss fall of kindergarten teacher-rated science ability.

<B>Standardized science achievement at during spring of kindergarten

Table 3 shows results of regression models predicting standardized science achievement during the spring of kindergarten from participation in preschool. The upper panel of the table includes regression results from models including a single binary indicator of participation in preschool (center-based or state-sponsored) while the lower panel includes disaggregated measures of center-based preschool, state-sponsored preschool, and Head Start. The omitted group in all of the regression models in both panels are students not in formal early childhood care (not in center-based, state-sponsored, or Head Start).

Table 3 shows results of models that progressively add controls for family income, contextual factors, school fixed effects, and classroom fixed effects. As shown in the upper panel of column 1, the bivariate regression captures the same relationship shown in the conditional means of Table 1, namely that students who participated in preschool scored almost half a standard deviation higher on the science assessment. When adding controls for family income (column 2), however, this relationship was reduced by approximately half. Column 3 accounted for differences in child, family, and contextual factors. As shown, accounting for these factors reduced the relationship between preschool participation and science achievement to near zero.

The fixed effects models (columns 4 and 5) implicitly control for all fixed aspects of students in either the school or classroom. The advantage of these models is that they control for both observable and unobservable characteristics that are common among students attending the same school or classroom. As shown, the relationship between preschool and spring of kindergarten science achievement remains insignificant with the addition of school or classroom

fixed effects, suggesting that the robust set of family income and child, family, and contextual controls were already accounting for much of the differences in students across these settings.

Examining results of the models with disaggregated indicators of preschool demonstrates a similar trend (bottom panel of Table 3). In particular, the relationship between center-based preschool as well as state-sponsored preschool and science achievement is positive and significant in the unadjusted model (column 1). This relationship becomes statistically insignificant, however, when controlling for the full range of family income and child, family, and contextual controls (column 3). Likewise, models with school and classroom fixed effects (columns 4 and 5) also show no significant relationship. The relationship between Head Start and standardized science achievement is consistently negative, though, given the targeted nature of Head Start, this relationship may be driven by unobserved characteristics of the students participating in Head Start.

The statistical insignificance observed for standardized science achievement was also observed for mathematics and reading outcomes during the spring of kindergarten. The top panel (Panel A) of Table 4 shows results of similar regression models predicting standardized mathematics and reading achievement (basic reading and early literacy skills) in the spring of kindergarten. As shown, estimates with the full set of controls and the fixed effects models were also statistically insignificant for mathematics and reading, though the magnitude of such estimates was larger than that for science. Unlike science, the ECLS-K did include standardized achievement tests for mathematics and reading in the fall of kindergarten. The bottom panel (Panel B) of Table 4 shows results from models predicting standardized mathematics and reading achievement in the fall of kindergarten. As shown, preschool participation predicts statistically significant higher scores (approx. 0.10 SD) in mathematics and reading achievement during the

fall of kindergarten even in the fully controlled models. The presence of a significant relationship in the fall of kindergarten but not in the spring of kindergarten is consistent with a "fade out" of preschool effects as documented in the literature (Gibbs, Ludwig, and Miller 2011; Claessens, Engel, and Curran 2014; Lipsey, Farran, and Hofer 2015). To assess whether preschool participation's relationship with science outcomes might also be stronger in the fall of kindergarten, I turn next to results of models predicting fall of kindergarten teacher-rated science ability.

<B>Teacher perceptions of science ability

A limitation of the science achievement test in the ECLS is that it was administered during the latter half of the kindergarten year. Given evidence on the fade out of preschool effects (Gibbs, Ludwig, and Miller 2011; Claessens, Engel, and Curran 2014; Lipsey, Farran, and Hofer 2015), one would expect smaller relationships at the end of kindergarten than at the beginning. Though a standardized test of science achievement was not available during the fall of kindergarten, teachers did provide their reports of students' ability within eight different science competencies. Results from regressions predicting these ratings show a consistent positive relationship between preschool attendance and teacher-rated science ability during the fall of kindergarten. In particular, as shown in the top panel of Table 5, participation in preschool had at least a marginally significant (p < 0.10) and positive relationship with all except two of the teacher ratings, namely predicting and physical science. The relationship between preschool participation and the composite measure (column 9) of teacher-rated science ability was also positive and statistically significant. The magnitude, 0.133 units on a 0-4 scale ranging from not yet having the skill to proficient at the skill, equates to an approximately 0.06 standard deviation larger composite teacher rating of science ability on the composite scale.

When disaggregating the preschool measure into types of preschool attended (bottom portion of Table 5), the results suggest that the positive relationship observed is driven primary by participation in center-based preschool as opposed to participation in state-sponsored preschool. In particular, participation in center-based preschool is predictive of higher teacher-rated science ability in each of the eight science competency areas. Likewise, the composite score (column 9) is approximately 0.18 units higher for students that attended center-based preschool as compared to students who were in no formal preschool care. The relationship for state-sponsored and Head Start participation trend in the positive direction but are considerably smaller in magnitude and are not statistically distinguishable from zero.

While teacher ratings may be more subject to personal bias or perception, the models shown in Table 5 used classroom fixed effects meaning that the comparisons were restricted to those ratings conducted by the same teacher. In short, any systematic differences in teacher ratings, such as a teacher who consistently rates students lower or higher, was accounted for by the classroom fixed effect.

# <B>Student subgroup interactions

Next, models were estimated in which the binary indicator for preschool was interacted with binary indicators for student race, student gender, and family income. Appendix Tables A2 through A4 show results of these models for both spring of kindergarten standardized science achievement as well as fall of kindergarten teacher-rated science ability. In general, the interaction terms are statistically insignificant. Exceptions include a marginally significant (p < 0.10) and positive interaction between preschool attendance and being Black on the standardized science achievement score as well as a marginally significant negative relationship between the teacher-rated composite and the interaction term between preschool and the indicator for a

female student. With the exception of these suggestive results for Black and female students, the interaction models results suggest that, after controlling for the wide range of covariates, the positive relationship between preschool attendance and elementary science achievement does not vary systematically for students of different race, gender, or family income.

# <B>Location and Full/Part-Time Attendance

Finally, results suggest that the relationship between preschool attendance and early science outcomes may be sensitive to whether the preschool setting is located in a K-12 school as well as to whether students attend full or part time. As shown in Appendix Table A5, I find that attendance in a preschool setting not located in a K-12 school predicts significantly higher teacher-rated science ability in the fall of kindergarten while attendance at a preschool setting in a K-12 school does not. As with the primary models, neither attendance at a preschool in or out of a K-12 school is significantly related to standardized science achievement in the spring of kindergarten. Results of models predicting science outcomes from indicators of full or part time attendance in preschool are shown in Table A6. As shown, both full and part time attendance are predictive of higher teacher-rated science ability in the fall of kindergarten though neither are predictive of significantly higher science achievement in the spring of kindergarten.

## <A>6. Discussion

The results of this study provide suggestive evidence of positive gains in science ability at the fall kindergarten from attending preschool but demonstrate that such gains do not translate to increased science achievement by the spring of kindergarten. Furthermore, the results suggest that the relationship between preschool attendance and early science outcomes tend to be consistent across subgroups of students. Nevertheless, the results point to potential differences by type of preschool (center-based, state-sponsored, or Head Start) as well as differences by

preschool characteristics such as whether the preschool is located in a K-12 school. The results have important implications for the study of equity in early science achievement. In this section, I put the findings in context of prior literature while discussing the implications of the findings.

First, the finding that preschool participation tends to be related to teacher-rated science ability in the fall of kindergarten is consistent with prior work on the positive academic impacts of early childhood education. In particular, prior studies have demonstrated a positive relationship between preschool participation and mathematics and reading achievement in the fall of kindergarten (e.g. Magnuson, Ruhm, and Waldfogel 2007a; Gormley et al. 2008), and, though limited to teacher-rated ability rather than standardized achievement, this study demonstrates that these generally positive relationships may extend to the subject of science. In doing so, this study builds on prior work that found a positive relationship between preschool attendance and teacher-rated science ability by validating the findings with a nationally representative dataset (Henry et al. 2006).

There are several possible mechanisms by which this positive relationship may be working. First, it is possible that participation in preschool offers students more exposure to scientific concepts and processes. A robust body of literature demonstrates the importance of "opportunity to learn" or the concept that exposure to material is a necessary prerequisite for learning that material (Carroll 1963; 1989; Sorensen and Hallinan 1977; Wang, 1998; Aguirre-Munoz and Boscardin 2008; Hong 2012; Claessens, Engel, and Curran 2014; Jez and Wassmer 2015). Preschool participation, therefore, may improve early science outcomes by providing an increased opportunity to learn science. Such a mechanism would be consistent with literature that shows science instruction in preschool facilitates higher-order instruction (Fuccillo 2011; Cabell et al. 2013). A second mechanism, however, is that preschool participation may affect students'

science ability through increased mathematics and reading ability (e.g. O'Reilly and McNamara 2007). Increased language skills may offer students the opportunity to interact with materials that, in turn, expand scientific knowledge, while increased mathematics ability may foster reasoning skills that translate to scientific domains. The data available in the current study lack details to explore the mechanisms behind the observed relationship, but future research might further explore the relationship between particular components of the preschool experience and science outcomes to further understand the mechanisms at work.

Despite the positive relationship with teacher-rated science ability, the results did not indicate a significant relationship between preschool attendance and science achievement on a standardized test in the spring of kindergarten. While this lack of relationship could indicate that the teacher-rated ability outcomes were not picking up true science knowledge, it is also plausible that any science learning gains attributable to preschool attendance have faded out by the end of kindergarten. Indeed, results for mathematics and reading show non-significant relationships between preschool attendance and spring achievement despite positive relationships in the fall of kindergarten. This finding would be consistent with the broad literature on the fade out of preschool effects (Gibbs, Ludwig, and Miller 2011; Claessens, Engel, and Curran 2014; Lipsey, Farran, and Hofer 2015).

In addition to these general findings, there was also evidence of heterogeneity across types of preschool attended. In particular, the positive relationship between preschool attendance and teacher-rated science ability appears to be driven predominantly by attendance in center-based preschool as opposed to state-sponsored preschool or Head Start. While these differential relationships suggest the possibility of differential effects on science outcomes, these relationships must be interpreted cautiously. Head Start and many state-sponsored preschool

programs are targeted towards disadvantaged student groups. Prior literature has noted the complexities of fully accounting for omitted variable bias in correlational studies of these targeted programs (Magnuson et al. 2007a). Given evidence of plausible positive causal effects of Head Start and state-sponsored programs on other subjects (Gormley et al. 2008; Puma et al. 2010) and some evidence of their positive effects on early science ability (Henry et al. 2006), these coefficients should not be taken as evidence of negative or null effects of Head Start and state-sponsored programs on science achievement. Instead, the differences between the estimates for center-based preschool and other preschools suggest the need for future research exploring possible heterogeneity in the impact of each of these settings on early science achievement.

In addition to heterogeneity by sector of preschool, the results also point to the importance of considering the structural characteristics of preschool programs. The point estimate on full-time preschool was larger than that for part-time attendance (though not statistically so) which suggests that more time-intensive preschool experiences may yield larger benefits. The research has documented that some preschool teachers feel a lack of instructional time hinders their ability to teach science in preschool, so a full-time program may alleviate this issue and result in more science instruction (Maier, Greenfield, and Bulotsky-Shearer 2013). Furthermore, the finding that the positive relationship between preschool attendance and teacher-rated ability appears to be driven more by attendance in preschool settings not located in K-12 school settings suggests that preschools in non-K-12 environments may be approaching science instruction differently than those embedded in K-12 schools. This finding contrasts with earlier work that found positive relationships between preschools collocating with K-12 environments and academic achievement in other subjects (Magnuson, Ruhm, and Waldogel 2007a). It is possible that preschools in K-12 settings feel more pressure than those not in such settings to

emphasize mathematics and reading at the expense of science instruction due to the increased accountability context of K-12 schools (Marx & Harris, 2006). Future research could further explore the factors that explain this differential relationship.

Finally, recent literature has drawn attention to disparities in early science achievement, particularly along lines of race and ethnicity and family income (Curran and Kellogg 2016; Curran 2017). The results of this study inform ways in which policymakers may begin to address and mitigate these disparities. First, though there were some marginally significant relationships, the models with race/ethnicity interactions did not show any consistent differences across race/ethnicity in the relationship between preschool attendance and early science achievement. This suggests that, on average, any gains in early science outcomes produced by participation in preschool do not vary significantly by race/ethnicity. Similar null findings on interactions with student gender and family income suggest no significant differential effects of preschool on science outcomes for these subgroups either.

That said, the descriptive statistics point to significant differences across race/ethnicity and family income in aspects of preschool attendance. In particular, White students are over twice as likely to have participated in center-based preschool as compared to their Black or Hispanic counterparts. Likewise, students from higher income families are over two and half times more likely to have participated in center-based preschool than their peers from lower income families. Though overall rates of participation in some form of preschool (either center-based preschool, Head Start, or state-based preschool) are fairly similar between students of different race/ethnicity, the differences in types of preschool settings attended by students of different race/ethnicity suggest the possibility that students of different race/ethnicity are systematically exposed to differential preschool experiences with regard to science. Though the

data used in the study did not allow for an exploration of the amount or type of science instruction across preschool settings, future studies could explore whether the science instructional components of different preschool types account for differences in science outcomes by race/ethnicity.

While students in different race/ethnic groups differed largely on the type of formal preschool care attended as opposed to the probability of being in any formal preschool care, students of different family income levels experienced both differential likelihood in attending different types of care but also differential likelihood of being in any formal preschool care. In particular, students from lower income households were almost 25% less likely to have participated in center-based preschool, Head Start, or state-sponsored preschool. This difference suggests a need for increased access to quality care for students from lower income households.

### <A>7. Conclusion

Science and the related STEM fields continue to be priority areas for improving student achievement. International evidence suggests that our students lag behind many other developed nations in science achievement (Martin et al. 2012; OECD 2013) while evidence from within the United States points to significant disparities across racial/ethnic groups in science achievement (Chen and Weko 2009; Kohlhaas et al. 2010; Quinn and Cooc 2015). Given recent evidence that these disparities are present as early as kindergarten (Curran and Kellogg 2016; Morgan et al. 2016), understanding interventions that may improve early science achievement overall and mitigate achievement gaps is of particular importance.

This study has addressed one such intervention, namely preschool, and its relationship with early science outcomes and achievement gaps. The findings suggest that preschool attendance, particularly center-based preschool, may increase academic performance in science

but that any such gains are not sustained to the end of kindergarten. The results also highlight systematic differences in the types of preschool environments experienced by students of different racial/ethnic background and family income. In doing so, this study builds on a very limited set of prior studies that have explored the relationship between preschool and science achievement or science ability by providing evidence from a nationally representative dataset (Henry et al. 2006). The results of this study point to the need for increased attention to early science achievement and preschool by both policymakers and researchers. Such attention holds the potential to improve early science outcomes for all students and particularly for those most in need.

### References

Aguirre-Muñoz, Zenaida, and Christy Kim Boscardin. 2008. Opportunity to learn and English learner achievement: Is increased content exposure beneficial?. *Journal of Latinos and Education* 7(3): 186-205.

Allison, Paul D. 2009. Missing data. In R. E. Millsap & A. Maydeu-Olivares (Eds.), The SAGE handbook of quantitative methods in psychology (pp. 72–89). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications Inc.

Barnett, W. Steven, Allison Friedman-Krauss, Rebecca Gomez, Michelle Horowitz, G.G. Weisenfeld, Kirsty Brown, & James Squires 2016. The state of preschool 2015: State preschool yearbook. The National Institute for Early Education Research. Retrieved from: http://nieer.org/sites/nieer/files/2015%20Yearbook.pdf

Bassok, Dahna. 2010. Do Black and Hispanic children benefit more from preschool?

Understanding differences in preschool effects across racial groups. *Child development* 81(6): 1828-1845.

Beede, David N., Tiffany A. Julian, David Langdon, George McKittrick, Beethika Khan, and Mark E. Doms. 2011. Women in STEM: A gender gap to innovation. *Economics and Statistics Administration Issue Brief*, (04-11).

Belfield, Clive R., Milagros Nores, Steve Barnett, and Lawrence Schweinhart. 2006. The High/Scope Perry Preschool Program cost–benefit analysis using data from the age-40 followup. *Journal of Human Resources* 41(1): 162-190.

Cabell, Sonia Q., Jamie DeCoster, Jennifer LoCasale-Crouch, Bridget K. Hamre, and Robert C. Pianta. 2013. Variation in the effectiveness of instructional interactions across preschool classroom settings and learning activities. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly* 28(4): 820-830. Camilli, Gregory, Sadako Vargas, Sharon Ryan, and W. Steven Barnett. 2010. Meta-analysis of the effects of early education interventions on cognitive and social development. *Teachers College Record* 112(3): 579-620.

Campbell, Frances A., Craig T. Ramey, Elizabeth Pungello, Joseph Sparling, and Shari Miller-Johnson. 2002. Early childhood education: Young adult outcomes from the Abecedarian Project. *Applied Developmental Science* 6(1): 42-57.

Carroll, John. 1963. A model of school learning. *The Teachers College Record*, 64(8), 723-723. Carroll, John B. 1989. The Carroll model a 25-year retrospective and prospective view. *Educational Researcher* 18(1): 26-31.

Chen, Xianglei, & Thomas Weko 2009. Students Who Study Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) in Postsecondary Education. Stats in Brief. NCES 2009-161. *National Center for Education Statistics*.

Claessens, Amy, Mimi Engel, and F. Chris Curran. 2014. Academic content, student learning, and the persistence of preschool effects. *American Educational Research Journal* 51(2): 403-434.

Curran, F. Chris. 2015. Expanding downward: Innovation, diffusion, and state policy adoptions of universal preschool. *Education Policy Analysis Archives* 23(36): 1-26.

Curran, F. Chris. 2017. Income-Based Disparities in Early Elementary School Science Achievement. *Elementary School Journal*. Forthcoming.

Curran, F. Chris and Ann Kellogg. 2016. Understanding science achievement gaps by race/ethnicity and gender in kindergarten and first grade. *Educational Researcher* 45(5): 273-282.

DeBruin-Parecki, Andrea, and Carly Slutzky. 2016. Exploring Pre-K Age 4 Learning Standards and Their Role in Early Childhood Education: Research and Policy Implications. *ETS Research Report Series*, no. 1, 1-52.

Fitzpatrick, Maria D. 2008. Starting school at four: The effect of universal pre-kindergarten on children's academic achievement. *The BE Journal of Economic Analysis & Policy* 8(1): 1-38. Fuccillo, J. M. (2011). *Higher-level instructional interaction in Head Start classrooms:*Variation across teacher-directed activities and associations with school readiness outcomes

Gibbs, Chloe, Jens Ludwig, and Douglas L. Miller. 2011. *Does Head Start do any lasting good?* (No. w17452). National Bureau of Economic Research.

(Doctoral dissertation, University of Miami).

Gormley, William T., Deborah Phillips, and Ted Gayer. 2008. Preschool programs can boost school readiness. *Science* 320(5884): 1723-1724.

Gottfried, Michael A., and Robert Bozick. 2016. Supporting the STEM pipeline: Linking applied STEM coursetaking in high school to declaring a STEM major in college. *Education Finance* and *Policy* 11(2): 150-176.

Graham, John W., Allison E. Olchowski, and Tamika D. Gilreath. 2007. How many imputations are really needed? Some practical clarifications of multiple imputation theory. *Prevention Science* 8: 206–213.

Greenfield, Daryl B. 2015. "Assessment in early childhood science education". In *Research in Early Childhood Science Education*. ed. K. Cabe Trundle & M. Sackes. Springer.

Greenfield, Daryl B., Jamie Jirout, Ximena Dominguez, Ariela Greenberg, Michelle Maier, and Janna Fuccillo. 2009. Science in the preschool classroom: A programmatic research agenda to improve science readiness. *Early Education and Development* 20(2): 238-264.

Henry, Gary T., Craig S. Gordon, and Dana K. Rickman. 2006. Early education policy alternatives: Comparing quality and outcomes of Head Start and state prekindergarten. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis* 28(1): 77-99.

Hong, Hee Kyung. 2012. Trends in mathematics and science performance in 18 countries: Multiple regression analysis of the cohort effects of TIMSS 1995-2007. *Education Policy Analysis Archives* 20(33): 1-23.

Jez, Su Jin, and Robert W. Wassmer. 2015. The impact of learning time on academic achievement. *Education and Urban Society* 47(3): 284-306.

Kohlhaas, Kay, Hsin-Hui Lin, and Kwang-Lee Chu. 2010. Science equity in third grade. *The Elementary School Journal* 110(3): 393-408.

Lee, Okhee, and Cory A. Buxton. 2010. *Diversity and Equity in Science Education: Research, Policy, and Practice. Multicultural Education Series*. Teachers College Press.

Leow, Christine and Xiaoli Wen. 2016. Is Full Day Better Than Half Day? A Propensity Score Analysis of the Association Between Head Start Program Intensity and Children's School Performance in Kindergarten. *Early Education and Development* 28(2): 1-16.

Lipsey, Mark W., Dale C. Farran, and Kerry G. Hofer. 2015. *A randomized control trial of a statewide voluntary prekindergarten program on children's skills and behaviors through third grade* (Research Report). Nashville, TN. Vanderbilt University, Peabody Research Institute. Maerten-Rivera, Jaime, Nicholas Myers, Okhee Lee, and Randall Penfield. 2010. Student and school predictors of high-stakes assessment in science. *Science Education* 94(6): 937-962.

Magnuson, Katherine A., Marcia K. Meyers, Christopher J. Ruhm, and Jane Waldfogel. 2004. Inequality in preschool education and school readiness. *American Educational Research Journal* 41(1): 115-157.

Magnuson, Katherine A., Christopher Ruhm, and Jane Waldfogel. 2007a. Does prekindergarten improve school preparation and performance?. *Economics of Education Review* 26(1): 33-51. Magnuson, Katherine A., Christopher Ruhm, and Jane Waldfogel. 2007b. The persistence of preschool effects: Do subsequent classroom experiences matter?. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly* 22(1): 18-38.

Maier, Michelle F., Daryl B. Greenfield, and Rebecca J. Bulotsky-Shearer. 2013. Development and validation of a preschool teachers' attitudes and beliefs toward science teaching questionnaire. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly* 28(2): 366-378.

Maltese, Adam V., and Robert H. Tai. 2011. Pipeline persistence: Examining the association of educational experiences with earned degrees in STEM among US students. *Science Education* 95(5): 877-907.

Martin, Michael O., Ina VS Mullis, Pierre Foy, and Gabrielle M. Stanco. 2012. *TIMSS 2011 international results in science*. TIMSS & PIRLS International Study Center, Lynch School of Education, Boston College. Retrieved from:

http://timssandpirls.bc.edu/timss2011/downloads/T11\_IR\_Science\_FullBook.pdf
Marx, R. W., & Harris, C. J. (2006). No Child Left Behind and science education: Opportunities,
challenges, and risks. *The Elementary School Journal* 106(5): 467-478.

Morgan, Paul L., George Farkas, Marianne M. Hillemeier, and Steve Maczuga. 2016. Science achievement gaps begin very early, persist, and are largely explained by modifiable factors. *Educational Researcher* 45(1): 18-35.

National Center for Education Statistics. 2016. Preschool and kindergarten enrollment. *The Condition of Education*. Retrieved from: http://nces.ed.gov/programs/coe/indicator\_cfa.asp OECD. 2013. PISA: What students know and can do: Student performance in mathematics, reading, and science. Key Findings Brief. Retrieved from:

http://www.oecd.org/pisa/keyfindings/PISA-2012-results-snapshot-Volume-I-ENG.pdf
OECD. 2016. PISA 2015: Results in focus. Retrieved from: https://www.oecd.org/pisa/pisa-2015-results-in-focus.pdf

O'Reilly, Tenaha, and Danielle S. McNamara. 2007. The impact of science knowledge, reading skill, and reading strategy knowledge on more traditional "high-stakes" measures of high school students' science achievement. *American Educational Research Journal* 44(1): 161-196. Phillips, Deborah A., William T. Gormley, and Amy E. Lowenstein. 2009. Inside the pre-kindergarten door: Classroom climate and instructional time allocation in Tulsa's pre-K

Price, Lisa Hammett, Barbara A. Bradley, and Jana Michele Smith. 2012. A comparison of preschool teachers' talk during storybook and information book read-alouds. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly* 27(3): 426-440.

programs." Early Childhood Research Quarterly 24(3) 213-228.

Puma, Michael, Stephen Bell, Ronna Cook, Camilla Heid, Gary Shapiro, Pam Broene, Frank Jenkins et al. 2010. Head Start Impact Study. Final Report. *Administration for Children & Families*.

Quinn, David M. and North Cooc. 2015. Science achievement gaps by gender and race/ethnicity in elementary and middle school trends and predictors. *Educational Researcher* 44(6): 336-346. Reynolds, Arthur J., Brandt A. Richardson, Momoko Hayakawa, Erin M. Lease, Mallory Warner-Richter, Michelle M. Englund, Suh-Ruu Ou, and Molly Sullivan. 2014. Association of a

full-day vs part-day preschool intervention with school readiness, attendance, and parent involvement. *Jama* 312(20): 2126-2134.

Riegle-Crumb, Catherine, and Barbara King. 2010. Questioning a white male advantage in STEM examining disparities in college major by gender and race/ethnicity. *Educational Researcher* 39(9): 656-664.

Robin, Kenneth B., Ellen C. Frede, and William S. Barnett. 2006. Is more better? The effects of full-day vs half-day preschool on early school achievement. NIEER Working Paper. National Institute for Early Education Research. New Brunswick, NJ.

Schafer, Joseph L. 1997. Analysis of incomplete multivariate data. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman & Hall/CRC.

Sørenson, Aage B., and Maureen T. Hallinan. 1977. A reconceptualization of school effects. *Sociology of Education* 50(4): 273-289.

Temple, Judy A., and Arthur J. Reynolds. 2007. Benefits and costs of investments in preschool education: Evidence from the Child–Parent Centers and related programs. *Economics of Education Review* 26(1): 126-144.

Tourangeau, Karen, Christine Nord, Thanh Lê, Alberto G. Sorongon, Mary C. Hagedorn, Peggy Daly, Michelle Najarian, Gail M. Mulligan. 2015a. Early Childhood Longitudinal Study, Kindergarten Class of 2010–11 (ECLS-K: 2011), User's Manual for the ECLS-K: 2011 Kindergarten Data File and Electronic Codebook (NCES 2013-074). Washington, DC: US Department of Education. *National Center for Education Statistics*.

Tourangeau, Karen, Christine Nord., Thanh Lê, Kathleen Wallner-Allen, Mary C. Hagedorn, John Leggitt, Michelle Najarian, & Gail C. Mulligan. 2015b. Early Childhood Longitudinal Study, Kindergarten Class of 2010–11 (ECLS-K: 2011), User's Manual for the ECLS-K: 2011

Kindergarten-First Grade Data File and Electronic Codebook, Public Version (NCES 2015-078). Washington, DC: US Department of Education. *National Center for Education Statistics* (2012). Tu, Tsunghui. 2006. Preschool science environment: What is available in a preschool classroom?. *Early Childhood Education Journal* 33(4): 245-251.

U.S. News. 2015. 2015 STEM index shows gender, racial gaps widen. Retrieved from: http://www.usnews.com/news/stem-index/articles/2015/06/29/gender-racial-gaps-widen-in-stem-fields

Wang, Jia. 1998. Opportunity to learn: The impacts and policy implications. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis* 20(3): 137-156.

Wang, Xueli. 2015. Pathway to a baccalaureate in STEM fields are community colleges a viable route and does early STEM momentum matter?. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis* 37(3): 376-393.

Weiland, Christina, and Hirokazu Yoshikawa. 2013. Impacts of a prekindergarten program on children's mathematics, language, literacy, executive function, and emotional skills. *Child Development* 84(6): 2112-2130.

Wong, Vivian C., Thomas D. Cook, W. Steven Barnett, and Kwanghee Jung. 2008. An effectiveness-based evaluation of five state pre-kindergarten programs. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management* 27(1): 122-154.

### $\ensuremath{\texttt{©}}$ by Association for Education Finance and Policy

Table 1. Means and standard errors of preschool participation, science achievement, and teacher-rated science ability for full sample by preschool status

Table 1. Means and standard errors of preschool participation, science achievement, and teacher-rated science ability for full sample by preschool status									
	Full	Preschool (Center-based	Center-Based	State-Sponsored	Head	No Formal			
	Sample	or state-sponsored)	Preschool	Preschool	Start	Preschool			
Spring science achievement (std.)	0.0690	0.292	0.385	0.0445	-0.366	-0.128			
	(0.032)	(0.026)	(0.027)	(0.040)	(0.044)	(0.047)			
Teacher rated science ability at fall of K									
Sense	2.843	2.988	3.036	2.857	2.649	2.656			
	(0.029)	(0.036)	(0.044)	(0.046)	(0.052)	(0.032)			
Explain	2.621	2.788	2.865	2.581	2.355	2.419			
_	(0.027)	(0.034)	(0.041)	(0.042)	(0.047)	(0.037)			
Classify	2.845	3.016	3.088	2.822	2.588	2.642			
•	(0.030)	(0.035)	(0.040)	(0.058)	(0.046)	(0.043)			
Predict	2.653	2.818	2.869	2.681	2.411	2.449			
	(0.031)	(0.036)	(0.040)	(0.055)	(0.051)	(0.040)			
Communicate	2.437	2.583	2.633	2.453	2.226	2.264			
	(0.032)	(0.039)	(0.046)	(0.049)	(0.044)	(0.039)			
Physical Science	2.575	2.709	2.761	2.577	2.359	2.426			
·	(0.027)	(0.035)	(0.042)	(0.048)	(0.040)	(0.035)			
Life Science	2.814	2.955	3.003	2.825	2.646	2.621			
	(0.027)	(0.034)	(0.038)	(0.054)	(0.043)	(0.032)			
Earth/Space Science	2.372	2.542	2.577	2.450	2.161	2.157			
	(0.035)	(0.044)	(0.051)	(0.063)	(0.049)	(0.046)			
Composite	2.582	2.764	2.831	2.590	2.404	2.328			
•	(0.037)	(0.047)	(0.054)	(0.071)	(0.055)	(0.042)			
Preschool (Center-based or state-sponsored)	0.556	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.000	0.000			
Center-based preschool	0.404	0.727	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000			
State-sponsored preschool	0.152	0.273	0.000	1.000	0.000	0.000			
Head Start	0.153	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000			
Relative care	0.067	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.231			
Non relative care	0.024	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.083			
Parental care	0.200	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.687			
Structural Components									
Not located in K-12 school	0.377	0.678	0.779	0.411	0.000	0.000			
Located in K-12 school	0.179	0.322	0.221	0.589	0.000	0.000			
Full time (> 20 hrs/week)	0.246	0.443	0.433	0.469	0.000	0.000			
Part time (<= 20 hrs/week)	0.310	0.557	0.567	0.531	0.000	0.000			
n	10,500	5,950	4,430	1,520	1,560	2,990			

Note. Standard errors of non-binary variables shown in parentheses; Sample sizes for teacher-rated ability vary due to missing data.

## $\ensuremath{\texttt{©}}$ by Association for Education Finance and Policy

Table 2. Means and standard errors of preschool participation, science achievement, and teacher-rated science ability for full sample and by student characteristics

characteristics	Full							Higher	Lower
	Sample	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Males	Females	Income	Income
Spring science achievement (std.)	0.0690	0.408	-0.424	-0.568	-0.0965	0.0834	0.0536	0.360	-0.358
Spring science demevement (std.)	(0.032)	(0.023)	(0.065)	(0.046)	(0.055)	(0.031)	(0.035)	(0.021)	(0.041)
Teacher rated science ability at fall of K	(0.032)	(0.023)	(0.005)	(0.010)	(0.055)	(0.031)	(0.033)	(0.021)	(0.011)
Sense	2.843	2.987	2.664	2.527	2.871	2.812	2.877	3.007	2.602
Sense	(0.029)	(0.036)	(0.059)	(0.039)	(0.106)	(0.031)	(0.034)	(0.037)	(0.032)
Explain	2.621	2.783	2.360	2.289	2.749	2.592	2.651	2.819	2.323
2p	(0.027)	(0.035)	(0.069)	(0.035)	(0.088)	(0.033)	(0.033)	(0.035)	(0.028)
Classify	2.845	3.009	2.633	2.507	2.869	2.817	2.875	3.052	2.538
<b>,</b>	(0.030)	(0.035)	(0.071)	(0.042)	(0.100)	(0.036)	(0.032)	(0.036)	(0.037)
Predict	2.653	2.810	2.429	2.318	2.721	2.649	2.656	2.856	2.348
	(0.031)	(0.039)	(0.064)	(0.041)	(0.077)	(0.035)	(0.035)	(0.037)	(0.033)
Communicate	2.437	2.591	2.251	2.125	2.582	2.409	2.466	2.618	2.185
	(0.032)	(0.039)	(0.053)	(0.041)	(0.126)	(0.036)	(0.035)	(0.040)	(0.032)
Physical Science	2.575	2.723	2.399	2.281	2.629	2.559	2.593	2.755	2.321
•	(0.027)	(0.036)	(0.050)	(0.036)	(0.107)	(0.029)	(0.032)	(0.036)	(0.028)
Life Science	2.814	2.936	2.718	2.548	2.829	2.789	2.841	2.977	2.577
	(0.027)	(0.035)	(0.072)	(0.037)	(0.091)	(0.028)	(0.032)	(0.032)	(0.036)
Earth/Space Science	2.372	2.522	2.178	2.100	2.549	2.378	2.365	2.569	2.104
-	(0.035)	(0.046)	(0.066)	(0.041)	(0.139)	(0.039)	(0.040)	(0.049)	(0.033)
Composite	2.582	2.755	2.395	2.254	2.720	2.557	2.607	2.788	2.297
_	(0.037)	(0.044)	(0.077)	(0.045)	(0.181)	(0.045)	(0.039)	(0.050)	(0.033)
Preschool (Center-based or state-sponsored)	0.556	0.654	0.376	0.377	0.652	0.560	0.553	0.692	0.357
Center-based preschool	0.404	0.509	0.225	0.207	0.538	0.402	0.407	0.545	0.199
State-sponsored preschool	0.152	0.145	0.152	0.170	0.113	0.158	0.145	0.147	0.158
Head Start	0.153	0.093	0.332	0.220	0.096	0.156	0.150	0.078	0.264
Relative care	0.067	0.055	0.079	0.094	0.053	0.063	0.072	0.050	0.092
Non relative care	0.024	0.029	0.016	0.020	0.006	0.022	0.026	0.030	0.015
Parental care	0.200	0.168	0.198	0.289	0.194	0.199	0.200	0.150	0.272
Structural Components									
Not located in K-12 school	0.377	0.470	0.242	0.188	0.490	0.377	0.378	0.500	0.197
Located in K-12 school	0.179	0.184	0.134	0.188	0.160	0.183	0.175	0.192	0.159
Full time (> 20 hrs/week)	0.246	0.256	0.283	0.169	0.341	0.254	0.238	0.293	0.178
Part time (<= 20 hrs/week)	0.310	0.398	0.093	0.207	0.310	0.306	0.314	0.399	0.178
n	10,500	5,780	1,200	2,180	700	5,370	5,130	6,410	4,090

Note. Standard errors of non-binary variables shown in parentheses; Sample sizes for teacher-rated ability vary due to missing data.

 $\ensuremath{\texttt{©}}$  by Association for Education Finance and Policy

Table 3. Coefficients and standard errors from regressions predicting spring of kindergarten standardized science achievement from preschool participation

	Spri	ing of Kinderg	garten Science	Achievement (	std.)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Preschool (center-based or state-sponsored)	0.420**	0.206**	0.0001	0.0106	0.00275
	(0.0396)	(0.0341)	(0.0254)	(0.0238)	(0.0293)
Center-based preschool	0.513**	0.263**	0.0147	0.0239	0.0141
	(0.0407)	(0.0348)	(0.0284)	(0.0251)	(0.0314)
State-sponsored preschool	0.173**	0.0839 +	-0.0275	-0.0169	-0.0236
	(0.0560)	(0.0469)	(0.0302)	(0.0336)	(0.0410)
Head Start	-0.238**	-0.117**	-0.106**	-0.0968**	-0.102*
	(0.0408)	(0.0389)	(0.0288)	(0.0333)	(0.0405)
Income controls		X	X	X	X
Child, family, and contextual controls			X	X	X
School fixed effects				X	
Classroom fixed effects					X
Observations	10,500	10,500	10,500	10,500	10,500

Note. Robust standard errors in parentheses. All estimates account for the complex survey design of ECLS through the use of survey setting and weighting. The omitted category in all models is students who were not in a formal preschool setting, namely those who were cared for by relatives, non-relatives, or parents and were not in a center-based, state-sponsored, or Head Start program.

<sup>\*\*</sup> p<0.01, \* p<0.05, + p<0.1

Table 4. Coefficients and standard errors from regressions predicting spring and fall of kindergarten standardized math and reading achievement from preschool participation

	Panel A: Spring of	of kindergar	ten mathem	natics and re	ading achie	vement				
	Spring	Spring of Kindergarten Math Achievement (std.)					f Kindergar	ten Reading	g Achievem	nent (std.)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Preschool (center-based or state-sponsored)	0.376**	0.178**	0.00624	0.0274	0.0379	0.342**	0.180**	0.0162	0.0327	0.0279
•	(0.0315)	(0.0263)	(0.0213)	(0.0260)	(0.0330)	(0.0295)	(0.0265)	(0.0208)	(0.0245)	(0.0299)
Income controls		X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X
Child, family, and contextual controls			X	X	X			X	X	X
School fixed effects				X					X	
Classroom fixed effects					X					X
Observations	10,500	10,500	10,500	10,500	10,500	10,500	10,500	10,500	10,500	10,500
	Panel B: Fall of	kindergarte	en mathema	tics and rea	ding achiev	ement				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										

	Panel B: Fall of kindergarten mathematics and reading achievement										
	Fall c	Fall of Kindergarten Math Achievement (std.)					Kindergarte	en Reading	Achieveme	ent (std.)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	
Preschool (center-based or state-sponsored)	0.514** (0.0417)	0.309** (0.0347)	0.123** (0.0253)	0.0981** (0.0245)	0.0814* (0.0317)	0.483** (0.0356)	0.305** (0.0314)	0.129** (0.0237)	0.122** (0.0246)	0.117** (0.0319)	
Income controls	,	X	X	X	X	,	X	X	X	X	
Child, family, and contextual controls			X	X	X			X	X	X	
School fixed effects				X					X		
Classroom fixed effects					X					X	
Observations	10,320	10,320	10,320	10,320	10,320	10,340	10,340	10,340	10,340	10,340	

Note. Robust standard errors in parentheses. All estimates account for the complex survey design of ECLS through the use of survey setting and weighting. The omitted category in all models is students who were not in a formal preschool setting, namely those who were cared for by relatives, non-relatives, or parents and were not in a center-based, state-sponsored, or Head Start program.

<sup>\*\*</sup> p<0.01, \* p<0.05, + p<0.1

### doi:10.1162/EDFP\_a\_00247

© by Association for Education Finance and Policy

Table 5. Coefficients and standard errors from regressions predicting fall of kindergarten teacher-rated science ability from preschool participation

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
						Physical	Life	Earth/Space	
	Sense	Explain	Classify	Predict	Communicate	Science	Science	Science	Composite
Preschool (center-based or state-sponsored)	0.0820*	0.0783 +	0.0921*	0.0644	0.0894+	0.0643	0.0867*	0.0869 +	0.133**
	(0.0354)	(0.0445)	(0.0406)	(0.0412)	(0.0457)	(0.0408)	(0.0370)	(0.0508)	(0.0510)
Center-based preschool	0.111**	0.118*	0.130**	0.0887*	0.108*	0.0963*	0.112**	0.0975 +	0.180**
	(0.0383)	(0.0458)	(0.0434)	(0.0447)	(0.0500)	(0.0440)	(0.0402)	(0.0557)	(0.0563)
State-sponsored preschool	0.0168	-0.0127	0.000221	0.00790	0.0486	-0.00522	0.0297	0.0644	0.0372
	(0.0467)	(0.0607)	(0.0553)	(0.0552)	(0.0562)	(0.0536)	(0.0491)	(0.0670)	(0.0647)
Head Start	0.0546	0.0140	0.0465	0.0348	0.0488	0.00799	0.0444	0.0419	0.0694
	(0.0446)	(0.0532)	(0.0513)	(0.0517)	(0.0549)	(0.0486)	(0.0448)	(0.0596)	(0.0616)
Income controls	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Child, family, and contextual controls	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Classroom fixed effects	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Observations	7,500	6,490	6,050	6,320	5,960	6,510	6,770	4,270	3,390

Note. Robust standard errors in parentheses. All estimates account for the complex survey design of ECLS through the use of survey setting and weighting. Sample sizes vary across this table as teachers had the option of not answering the question and instead noting that they had not had the opportunity to observe the skill. The omitted category in all models is students who were not in a formal preschool setting, namely those who were cared for by relatives, non-relatives, or parents and were not in a center-based, state-sponsored, or Head Start program.

<sup>\*\*</sup> p<0.01, \* p<0.05, + p<0.1

 $\ensuremath{\circledcirc}$  by Association for Education Finance and Policy

#### Appendix Table A.1 Control variables for full sample and by preschool subgroup

			Center-Based	State-Funded		No Formal
	Full Sample	Any Preschool	Preschool	Preschool	Head Start	Preschool
Family income						
\$5,001 to \$10,000	0.036	0.017	0.014	0.026	0.080	0.048
\$10,001 to \$15,000	0.058	0.029	0.020	0.052	0.112	0.085
\$15,001 to \$20,000	0.058	0.031	0.023	0.054	0.116	0.079
\$20,001 to \$25,000	0.078	0.044	0.033	0.075	0.146	0.106
\$25,001 to \$30,000	0.049	0.039	0.030	0.062	0.074	0.056
\$30,001 to \$35,000	0.049	0.041	0.034	0.059	0.062	0.057
\$35,001 to \$40,000	0.047	0.043	0.035	0.065	0.047	0.055
\$40,001 to \$45,000	0.034	0.028	0.023	0.043	0.036	0.042
\$45,001 to \$50,000	0.037	0.036	0.032	0.045	0.035	0.041
\$50,001 to \$55,000	0.034	0.035	0.033	0.038	0.029	0.035
\$55,001 to \$60,000	0.032	0.034	0.032	0.040	0.015	0.037
\$60,001 to \$65,000	0.034	0.036	0.036	0.036	0.024	0.036
\$65,001 to \$70,000	0.033	0.039	0.040	0.034	0.019	0.031
\$70,001 to \$75,000	0.041	0.048	0.051	0.042	0.028	0.032
\$75,001 to \$100,000	0.138	0.179	0.192	0.143	0.056	0.101
\$100,001 to \$200,000	0.168	0.242	0.283	0.131	0.048	0.090
\$200,001 or more	0.044	0.063	0.077	0.025	0.013	0.025
Primary parent employed full time	0.418	0.469	0.473	0.457	0.410	0.325
Primary parent employed part time	0.210	0.226	0.236	0.202	0.194	0.186
Secondary parent employed full time	0.757	0.825	0.848	0.763	0.609	0.705
Secondary parent employed part time	0.075	0.061	0.057	0.072	0.101	0.087
Child is female	0.485	0.482	0.489	0.465	0.474	0.497
Primary parent education level						
9 <sup>th</sup> -12 <sup>th</sup> grade	0.072	0.037	0.021	0.078	0.100	0.124
High school diploma or equivalent	0.200	0.133	0.105	0.205	0.327	0.261
Vocational technical program	0.057	0.048	0.042	0.065	0.063	0.070
Some college	0.272	0.271	0.258	0.305	0.314	0.253
Bachelor's degree	0.219	0.296	0.332	0.200	0.073	0.147
Some graduate/professional school	0.020	0.026	0.027	0.021	0.007	0.016
Master's degree or higher	0.121	0.177	0.207	0.097	0.041	0.055
Second parent education level						
9 <sup>th</sup> -12 <sup>th</sup> grade	0.085	0.043	0.028	0.084	0.166	0.122
High school diploma or equivalent	0.289	0.228	0.188	0.333	0.411	0.342
Vocational technical program	0.051	0.053	0.049	0.063	0.050	0.048
Some college	0.213	0.223	0.223	0.222	0.182	0.211
Bachelor's degree	0.174	0.236	0.276	0.130	0.074	0.108

Some graduate/professional school	0.013	0.018	0.019	0.015	0.000	0.009
Master's degree or higher	0.126	0.177	0.206	0.100	0.040	0.075
Child weight (lbs)	47.040	46.860	46.430	48.000	47.900	46.930
	(0.150)	(0.180)	(0.183)	(0.289)	(0.343)	(0.244)
Child height (in)	44.780	44.830	44.830	44.810	44.750	44.690
	(0.040)	(0.042)	(0.051)	(0.066)	(0.088)	(0.067)
Black	0.122	0.083	0.068	0.122	0.264	0.122
Hispanic	0.215	0.146	0.110	0.242	0.310	0.298
Asian	0.036	0.042	0.048	0.027	0.023	0.031
Hawaiian	0.003	0.001	0.002	0.000	0.003	0.005
Native American	0.008	0.007	0.003	0.018	0.014	0.007
Two or more races	0.042	0.045	0.046	0.043	0.036	0.038
Non-English language used at home	0.225	0.159	0.131	0.235	0.300	0.310
Mother or child participated in WIC	0.483	0.327	0.246	0.541	0.821	0.602
# of siblings	1.479	1.376	1.366	1.403	1.514	1.657
č	(0.024)	(0.024)	(0.028)	(0.037)	(0.037)	(0.037)
Two parent household	0.716	0.787	0.819	0.702	0.491	0.698
One parent and one other adult	0.065	0.053	0.044	0.077	0.101	0.070
Other guardians	0.021	0.015	0.011	0.026	0.040	0.023
Parental expectations for child						
Graduate from high school	0.043	0.028	0.021	0.048	0.072	0.057
Attend vocational or tech school	0.023	0.019	0.017	0.027	0.031	0.024
Attend two or more years of college	0.117	0.097	0.083	0.134	0.164	0.130
Finish four year college	0.480	0.528	0.552	0.462	0.366	0.450
Earn a master's degree	0.166	0.182	0.193	0.154	0.148	0.143
Earn a doctorate	0.170	0.144	0.133	0.175	0.217	0.193
Important child counts (1-5, essential to not						
important)	2.031	2.045	2.057	2.014	1.967	2.036
1 /	(0.015)	(0.015)	(0.017)	(0.026)	(0.023)	(0.024)
Important child shares (1-5, essential to not	,	,	` ,	,	, ,	,
important)	1.632	1.590	1.580	1.616	1.693	1.680
1 /	(0.010)	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.021)	(0.016)	(0.015)
Important child uses pencil (1-5, essential to not	()	(	(**** )	(2.2.)	(3.3.2)	(
important)	1.868	1.837	1.838	1.835	1.899	1.911
1 /	(0.012)	(0.015)	(0.016)	(0.029)	(0.019)	(0.016)
Important child pays attention (1-5, essential to not	(	()	(******)	(3.2.2.)	(3.3.3.)	(
important)	1.859	1.869	1.891	1.810	1.837	1.849
<b>.</b> /	(0.012)	(0.016)	(0.016)	(0.023)	(0.020)	(0.014)
Important child knows letters (1-5, essential to not	,	\/	(	(/	(/	()
important)	1.902	1.889	1.897	1.868	1.887	1.935
1 /						· · · · ·

## $\ensuremath{\circledcirc}$ by Association for Education Finance and Policy

Prequency of activities (1-4, not at all to everyday)   Sing   3,142   3,140   0,013   0,015   0,018   0,023   0,0027   0,018   0,023   0,027   0,018   0,023   0,027   0,018   0,023   0,027   0,018   0,023   0,027   0,018   0,023   0,027   0,018   0,023   0,027   0,018   0,026   0,024   0,017   0,025   0,026   0,024   0,017   0,025   0,025   0,022   0,023   0,022   0,023   0,022   0,023   0,022   0,023   0,022   0,023   0,022   0,023   0,022   0,023   0,022   0,023   0,022   0,023   0,022   0,023   0,022   0,023   0,022   0,023   0,022   0,023   0,022   0,023   0,022   0,023   0,022   0,023   0,024   0,029   0,033   0,024   0,029   0,028   0,020   0,024   0,029   0,028   0,020   0,024   0,029   0,028   0,020   0,024   0,029   0,028   0,020   0,024   0,029   0,028   0,020   0,024   0,029   0,028   0,020   0,023   0,024   0,029   0,023   0,024   0,029   0,023   0,024   0,029   0,023   0,024   0,029   0,023   0,024   0,029   0,023   0,024   0,029   0,023   0,024   0,029   0,023   0,024   0,029   0,023   0,024   0,029   0,023   0,024   0,029   0,023   0,024   0,029   0,023   0,024   0,029   0,023   0,024   0,029   0,023   0,024   0,029   0,023   0,024   0,029   0,023   0,024   0,024   0,029   0,023   0,024   0,029   0,023   0,024   0,029   0,023   0,024   0,029   0,023   0,024   0,024   0,029   0,023   0,02		(0.016)	(0.019)	(0.020)	(0.029)	(0.022)	(0.018)
Company   Com	Frequency of activities (1-4, not at all to everyday)						
Art	Sing	3.142	3.140	3.137	3.148	3.212	3.109
Chores         (0.012)         (0.015)         (0.016)         (0.026)         (0.024)         (0.017)           Chores         3.275         3.275         3.276         3.271         3.300         3.261           Games         2.872         2.874         2.892         2.828         2.864         2.872           Gould         (0.011)         (0.013)         (0.019)         (0.023)         (0.029)         (0.023)         (0.029)           Talk about nature         2.314         2.333         2.342         2.307         2.302         2.284           Build things         2.476         2.489         2.502         2.454         2.430         2.477           Gould         (0.013)         (0.015)         (0.016)         (0.031)         (0.029)         (0.024)           Play sports         2.811         2.801         2.805         2.791         2.837         2.816           6 obos         90.770         107.500         114.100         89.710         62.280         73.820           7 requency use cd player (1-6, never to daily)         5.464         5.472         5.482         5.445         5.392         5.488           8 cab books to child (1-4, not at all to everyday)         3.392	-	(0.013)	(0.015)	(0.018)	(0.023)	(0.027)	(0.018)
Chores         3.275         3.275         3.276         3.271         3.300         3.261           Games         2.872         2.874         2.892         2.828         2.864         2.872           Talk about nature         (0.011)         (0.012)         (0.013)         (0.019)         (0.023)         (0.022)           Talk about nature         (0.019)         (0.023)         (0.024)         (0.029)         (0.32)         (0.026)           Build things         2.476         2.489         2.502         2.454         2.430         2.477           Play sports         2.811         2.801         2.805         2.791         2.837         2.816           Obooks         90.70         107.500         114.100         89.710         62.280         73.820           Frequency use cd player (1-6, never to daily)         5.464         5.472         5.482         5.445         5.392         5.488           Read books to child (1-4, not at all to everyday)         3.392         3.477         3.517         3.370         3.274         3.292           Read picture books (1-4, not at all to everyday)         3.392         3.477         3.517         3.370         3.274         3.292           Attended parent advisory gr	Art	2.779	2.772	2.775	2.763	2.780	2.792
Games         (0.017)         (0.017)         (0.012)         (0.032)         (0.032)           Talk about nature         (2.314         2.333         2.342         2.307         2.302         2.284           Build things         (2.476         2.489         2.502         2.454         2.430         2.477           Play sports         (2.476         2.489         2.502         2.454         2.430         2.477           Play sports         (2.811         2.801         2.805         2.791         2.837         2.816           60 of books         (9.012)         (0.013)         (0.014)         (0.026)         (0.028)         (0.029)           # of books         (9.070)         (10.750)         (11.10)         8.9710         6.2.280         73.820           Frequency use cd player (1-6, never to daily)         5.464         5.472         5.482         5.445         5.392         5.488           Read books to child (1-4, not at all to everyday)         3.392         3.477         3.517         3.370         3.274         3.292           Read picture books (1-4, not at all to everyday)         3.318         3.383         3.420         3.285         3.198         3.256           Read picture books (1-4, not at all to e		(0.012)	(0.015)	(0.016)	(0.026)	(0.024)	(0.017)
Games         2.872         2.874         2.892         2.828         2.864         2.872           Talk about nature         (2.014)         (2.333)         2.342         2.307         2.302         2.284           Build things         (2.476)         (2.489)         2.502         2.454         2.430         (0.024)           Build things         (2.476)         (2.489)         2.502         2.454         2.430         2.477           Play sports         (2.811)         (2.801)         (2.801)         (2.801)         (0.013)         (0.014)         (0.026)         (0.028)         (0.029)           # of books         90.770         107.500         114.100         89.710         62.280         73.820           Frequency use cd player (1-6, never to daily)         5.464         5.472         5.482         5.445         5.392         5.488           Read books to child (1-4, not at all to everyday)         3.392         3.477         3.517         3.370         3.274         3.292           Read picture books (1-4, not at all to everyday)         3.318         3.383         3.420         3.285         3.198         3.256           Attend PTA/PTO meetings         0.365         0.383         0.387         0.373         <	Chores	3.275	3.275	3.276	3.271	3.300	3.261
Talk about nature         (0.011)         (0.012)         (0.013)         (0.019)         (0.023)         (0.022)           Build things         2.314         2.333         2.342         2.307         2.302         2.884           Build things         2.476         2.489         2.502         2.454         2.430         2.477           Play sports         (0.013)         (0.015)         (0.016)         (0.013)         (0.029)           # of books         (0.012)         (0.013)         (0.014)         (0.026)         (0.028)         (0.020)           # of books         (0.012)         (0.013)         (0.014)         (0.026)         (0.028)         (0.020)           # of books         (0.017)         (0.013)         (0.014)         (0.026)         (0.028)         (0.020)           # of books         (0.027)         (0.103)         (0.044)         (0.026)         (0.028)         (0.026)         (0.018)         (0.026)         (0.013)         (0.049)         (3.996)         (3.627)         (3.483)           Frequency use cd player (1-6, never to daily)         5.464         5.472         5.482         5.445         5.392         5.488           Bead books to child (1-4, not at all to everyday)         3.392 <td< td=""><td></td><td>(0.017)</td><td>(0.017)</td><td>(0.019)</td><td>(0.027)</td><td>(0.032)</td><td>(0.032)</td></td<>		(0.017)	(0.017)	(0.019)	(0.027)	(0.032)	(0.032)
Talk about nature         2.314         2.333         2.342         2.307         2.302         2.284           Build things         (0.019)         (0.023)         (0.024)         (0.029)         (0.032)         (0.027)           Build things         2.476         2.489         2.502         2.454         2.430         2.477           (0.013)         (0.015)         (0.016)         (0.031)         (0.029)         (0.024)           Play sports         2.811         2.801         2.805         2.791         2.837         2.816           (0.012)         (0.013)         (0.014)         (0.026)         (0.028)         (0.020)           # of books         90.770         107.500         114.100         89.710         62.280         73.820           Frequency use cd player (1-6, never to daily)         5.464         5.472         5.482         5.445         5.392         5.488           Mead books to child (1-4, not at all to everyday)         3.392         3.477         3.517         3.370         3.274         3.292           Read books to child (1-4, not at all to everyday)         3.318         3.383         3.420         3.285         3.198         3.256           Read picture books (1-4, not at all to everyday)	Games	2.872	2.874	2.892	2.828	2.864	2.872
Build things         (0.019)         (0.023)         (0.024)         (0.029)         (0.032)         (0.026)           Build things         2.476         2.489         2.502         2.454         2.430         2.477           Play sports         2.811         2.801         2.805         2.791         2.837         2.816           (0.012)         (0.013)         (0.014)         (0.026)         (0.028)         (0.020)           # of books         90.770         107.500         114.100         89.710         62.280         73.820           Frequency use cd player (1-6, never to daily)         5.464         5.472         5.482         5.445         5.392         5.488           ead books to child (1-4, not at all to everyday)         3.392         3.477         3.517         3.370         3.274         3.292           Read picture books (1-4, not at all to everyday)         3.318         3.383         3.420         3.285         3.198         3.254           Read picture books (1-4, not at all to everyday)         3.318         3.383         3.420         3.285         3.198         3.254           Attended parent advisory group         0.125         0.124         0.015         0.0024         0.029         0.028		(0.011)	(0.012)	(0.013)	(0.019)	(0.023)	(0.022)
Build things         2.476         2.489         2.502         2.454         2.430         2.477           (0.013)         (0.015)         (0.016)         (0.031)         (0.029)         (0.024)           Play sports         2.811         2.801         2.805         2.791         2.837         2.816           (0.012)         (0.013)         (0.014)         (0.026)         (0.028)         (0.020)           # of books         90.770         107.500         114.100         89.710         62.280         73.820           Frequency use cd player (1-6, never to daily)         5.464         5.472         5.482         5.445         5.392         5.488           Read books to child (1-4, not at all to everyday)         3.392         3.477         3.517         3.370         3.274         3.292           Read picture books (1-4, not at all to everyday)         3.318         3.383         3.420         3.285         3.198         3.256           Attend PTA/PTO meetings         0.365         0.383         0.387         0.373         0.347         0.339           Attended parent advisory group         0.125         0.124         0.128         0.131         0.124           Volunteered at school         0.595         0.687	Talk about nature	2.314	2.333	2.342	2.307	2.302	2.284
Play sports		(0.019)	(0.023)	(0.024)	(0.029)	(0.032)	(0.026)
Play sports         2.811         2.801         2.805         2.791         2.837         2.816           (0.012)         (0.013)         (0.014)         (0.026)         (0.028)         (0.020)           # of books         90.770         107.500         114.100         89.710         62.280         73.820           C.7200         (3.103)         (3.499)         (3.996)         (3.627)         (3.483)           Frequency use cd player (1-6, never to daily)         5.464         5.472         5.482         5.445         5.392         5.488           Read books to child (1-4, not at all to everyday)         3.392         3.477         3.517         3.370         3.274         3.292           Read picture books (1-4, not at all to everyday)         3.318         3.383         3.420         3.285         3.198         3.256           Attend PTA/PTO meetings         0.365         0.383         0.387         0.373         0.347         0.339           Attended parent advisory group         0.125         0.124         0.123         0.128         0.131         0.124           Volunteered at school         0.595         0.687         0.730         0.571         0.447         0.497           Parent depression scale (1-4)	Build things	2.476	2.489	2.502	2.454	2.430	2.477
# of books			(0.015)	(0.016)			
# of books   90.770   107.500   114.100   89.710   62.280   73.820   (2.720)   (3.103)   (3.499)   (3.996)   (3.627)   (3.483)   (3.499)   (3.996)   (3.627)   (3.483)   (3.499)   (3.996)   (3.627)   (3.483)   (3.498)   (3.996)   (3.627)   (3.483)   (3.498)   (3.996)   (3.627)   (3.483)   (3.498)   (3.996)   (3.627)   (3.483)   (3.498)   (3.996)   (3.627)   (3.483)   (3.498)   (3.996)   (3.627)   (3.483)   (3.498)   (3.996)   (3.627)   (3.483)   (3.498)	Play sports	2.811	2.801	2.805	2.791		2.816
Carrel			(0.013)	(0.014)			
Frequency use cd player (1-6, never to daily)	# of books	90.770	107.500	114.100	89.710		73.820
Read books to child (1-4, not at all to everyday)         (0.026)         (0.031)         (0.035)         (0.055)         (0.044)         (0.033)           Read books to child (1-4, not at all to everyday)         3.392         3.477         3.517         3.370         3.274         3.292           Read picture books (1-4, not at all to everyday)         3.318         3.383         3.3420         3.285         3.198         3.256           (0.016)         (0.015)         (0.015)         (0.024)         (0.029)         (0.028)           Attend PTA/PTO meetings         0.365         0.383         0.387         0.373         0.347         0.339           Attended parent advisory group         0.125         0.124         0.123         0.128         0.131         0.124           Volunteered at school         0.595         0.687         0.730         0.571         0.447         0.497           Participated in fundraising         0.690         0.742         0.760         0.695         0.610         0.634           Parent depression scale (1-4)         1.372         1.336         1.326         1.362         1.459         1.395           Parent not stressed scale (1-4)         3.061         3.057         3.058         3.054         3.062         3		(2.720)	(3.103)	(3.499)	(3.996)		(3.483)
Read books to child (1-4, not at all to everyday)         3.392 (0.018)         3.477 (0.014)         3.517 (0.022)         3.274 (0.024)         3.292 (0.029)           Read picture books (1-4, not at all to everyday)         3.318 (0.014)         3.383 (0.015)         3.285 (0.024)         3.198 (0.029)         3.256 (0.024)         4.029 (0.028)           Attend PTA/PTO meetings         0.365 (0.015)         0.015)         (0.024)         (0.029)         (0.028)           Attended parent advisory group         0.125 (0.124)         0.123 (0.128)         0.131 (0.124)         0.123 (0.128)         0.131 (0.124)           Volunteered at school         0.595 (0.687)         0.730 (0.571)         0.447 (0.497)         0.497           Participated in fundraising         0.690 (0.0742)         0.760 (0.695)         0.610 (0.013)           Parent depression scale (1-4)         1.372 (0.008)         1.336 (0.000)         1.326 (0.000)         1.362 (0.015)         1.459 (0.012)           Parent not stressed scale (1-4)         3.061 (0.007) (0.006) (0.007) (0.013) (0.012) (0.012)         0.012) (0.012)         0.012)           Parent not stressed scale (1-4)         3.061 (0.007) (0.006) (0.007) (0.011) (0.002) (0.013) (0.012) (0.018)         0.002) (0.018)           Child spanked in last week         0.165 (0.138) (0.004) (0.006) (0.007) (0.004) (0.004) (0.004) (0.004) (0.004)         0.043) (0.034)     <	Frequency use cd player (1-6, never to daily)	5.464	5.472	5.482	5.445	5.392	5.488
Read picture books (1-4, not at all to everyday)   3.318   3.383   3.420   3.285   3.198   3.256		(0.026)	(0.031)	(0.035)			(0.033)
Read picture books (1-4, not at all to everyday)         3.318 (0.016)         3.383 (0.015)         3.420 (0.024)         3.285 (0.029)         3.256 (0.028)           Attend PTA/PTO meetings         0.365 (0.383)         0.387 (0.373)         0.347 (0.339)         0.339 (0.347)         0.339 (0.347)         0.339 (0.347)         0.339 (0.347)         0.339 (0.347)         0.339 (0.347)         0.339 (0.347)         0.347 (0.339)         0.347 (0.339)         0.347 (0.339)         0.347 (0.339)         0.347 (0.339)         0.347 (0.339)         0.347 (0.339)         0.347 (0.339)         0.347 (0.339)         0.347 (0.339)         0.347 (0.339)         0.347 (0.339)         0.347 (0.339)         0.347 (0.447)         0.349 (0.447)         0.447 (0.447)         0.447 (0.447)         0.447 (0.447)         0.447 (0.447)         0.447 (0.447)         0.447 (0.447)         0.447 (0.018)         0.017 (0.011)         0.012 (0.019)         0.017 (0.018)         0.017 (0.018)         0.019 (0.019)         0.017 (0.017)         0.018 (0.018)         0.019 (0.019)         0.019 (0.019)         0.019 (0.015)         0.019 (0.015)         0.011 (0.012)         0.011 (0.012)         0.012 (0.012)         0.012 (0.012)         0.012 (0.012)         0.012 (0.012)         0.012 (0.012)         0.012 (0.012)         0.012 (0.012)         0.012 (0.012)         0.012 (0.012)         0.012 (0.012)         0.012 (0.012)         0.012	Read books to child (1-4, not at all to everyday)	3.392	3.477	3.517	3.370	3.274	3.292
Attend PTA/PTO meetings							
Attend PTA/PTO meetings         0.365         0.383         0.387         0.373         0.347         0.339           Attended parent advisory group         0.125         0.124         0.123         0.128         0.131         0.124           Volunteered at school         0.595         0.687         0.730         0.571         0.447         0.497           Volunteered in fundraising         0.690         0.742         0.760         0.695         0.610         0.634           Participated in fundraising         0.690         0.742         0.760         0.695         0.610         0.634           Parent depression scale (1-4)         1.372         1.336         1.326         1.362         1.459         1.395           Parent not stressed scale (1-4)         3.061         3.057         3.058         3.054         3.062         3.068           (0.007)         (0.007)         (0.010)         (0.011)         (0.022)         (0.020)         (0.018)           Child spanked in last week         0.165         0.138         0.126         0.172         0.225         0.184           Eat dinner together (# days per week)         5.814         5.803         5.791         5.836         5.809         5.837           (0.0	Read picture books (1-4, not at all to everyday)						
Attended parent advisory group         0.125         0.124         0.123         0.128         0.131         0.124           Volunteered at school         0.595         0.687         0.730         0.571         0.447         0.497           (0.013)         (0.011)         (0.012)         (0.019)         (0.017)         (0.018)           Participated in fundraising         0.690         0.742         0.760         0.695         0.610         0.634           (0.008)         (0.010)         (0.010)         (0.020)         (0.015)         (0.013)           Parent depression scale (1-4)         1.372         1.336         1.326         1.362         1.459         1.395           (0.007)         (0.007)         (0.006)         (0.007)         (0.013)         (0.012)         (0.012)           Parent not stressed scale (1-4)         3.061         3.057         3.058         3.054         3.062         3.068           Child spanked in last week         0.165         0.138         0.126         0.172         0.225         0.184           Eat dinner together (# days per week)         5.814         5.803         5.791         5.836         5.809         5.837           Eat dinner at regular time (# days per week)         5.4		(0.016)					
Volunteered at school         0.595         0.687         0.730         0.571         0.447         0.497           Participated in fundraising         0.690         0.742         0.760         0.695         0.610         0.634           Parent depression scale (1-4)         1.372         1.336         1.326         1.362         1.459         1.395           Parent not stressed scale (1-4)         3.061         3.057         3.058         3.054         3.062         3.068           (0.010)         (0.010)         (0.011)         (0.022)         (0.020)         (0.020)         (0.018)           Child spanked in last week         0.165         0.138         0.126         0.172         0.225         0.184           Eat dinner together (# days per week)         5.814         5.803         5.791         5.836         5.809         5.837           Eat dinner at regular time (# days per week)         5.495         5.523         5.548         5.456         5.486         5.444           (0.033)         (0.041)         (0.045)         (0.064)         (0.052)         (0.038)	Attend PTA/PTO meetings	0.365	0.383	0.387		0.347	0.339
Participated in fundraising         (0.013)         (0.011)         (0.012)         (0.019)         (0.017)         (0.018)           Participated in fundraising         0.690         0.742         0.760         0.695         0.610         0.634           (0.008)         (0.010)         (0.010)         (0.020)         (0.015)         (0.013)           Parent depression scale (1-4)         1.372         1.336         1.326         1.362         1.459         1.395           (0.007)         (0.006)         (0.007)         (0.013)         (0.012)         (0.012)           Parent not stressed scale (1-4)         3.061         3.057         3.058         3.054         3.062         3.068           (0.010)         (0.010)         (0.011)         (0.022)         (0.020)         (0.018)           Child spanked in last week         0.165         0.138         0.126         0.172         0.225         0.184           Eat dinner together (# days per week)         5.814         5.803         5.791         5.836         5.809         5.837           Eat dinner at regular time (# days per week)         5.495         5.523         5.548         5.456         5.486         5.444           (0.033)         (0.041)         (0.045)<							
Participated in fundraising         0.690 (0.008)         0.742 (0.010)         0.695 (0.020)         0.610 (0.013)           Parent depression scale (1-4)         1.372 (0.007)         1.336 (0.007)         1.326 (0.007)         1.362 (0.013)         1.459 (0.012)           Parent not stressed scale (1-4)         3.061 (0.007)         3.057 (0.007)         3.058 (0.011)         3.054 (0.012)         3.062 (0.012)           Child spanked in last week         0.165 (0.138)         0.126 (0.017)         0.022)         0.020)         0.018)           Eat dinner together (# days per week)         5.814 (0.027)         5.803 (0.042)         5.836 (0.048)         5.809 (0.034)           Eat dinner at regular time (# days per week)         5.495 (0.033)         5.523 (0.041)         5.548 (0.064)         5.486 (0.038)	Volunteered at school						
Parent depression scale (1-4)  Parent depression scale (1-4)  1.372  1.336  (0.007)  (0.006)  (0.007)  (0.007)  (0.007)  (0.006)  (0.007)  (0.007)  (0.007)  (0.007)  (0.007)  (0.008)  (0.007)  (0.007)  (0.007)  (0.007)  (0.007)  (0.007)  (0.008)  (0.013)  (0.012)  (0.012)  Parent not stressed scale (1-4)  3.061  3.067  3.058  3.054  3.062  3.068  (0.010)  (0.011)  (0.022)  (0.020)  (0.018)  Child spanked in last week  0.165  0.138  0.126  0.172  0.225  0.184  Eat dinner together (# days per week)  5.814  5.803  5.791  5.836  5.809  5.837  (0.027)  (0.037)  (0.042)  (0.048)  (0.043)  (0.043)  Eat dinner at regular time (# days per week)  5.495  5.523  5.548  5.456  5.486  5.444  (0.033)  (0.041)  (0.045)  (0.064)  (0.052)  (0.013)							
Parent depression scale (1-4)         1.372         1.336         1.326         1.362         1.459         1.395           Parent not stressed scale (1-4)         3.061         3.057         3.058         3.054         3.062         3.068           (0.010)         (0.010)         (0.011)         (0.022)         (0.020)         (0.018)           Child spanked in last week         0.165         0.138         0.126         0.172         0.225         0.184           Eat dinner together (# days per week)         5.814         5.803         5.791         5.836         5.809         5.837           (0.027)         (0.037)         (0.042)         (0.048)         (0.043)         (0.034)           Eat dinner at regular time (# days per week)         5.495         5.523         5.548         5.456         5.486         5.444           (0.033)         (0.041)         (0.045)         (0.064)         (0.052)         (0.038)	Participated in fundraising						
Parent not stressed scale (1-4) 3.061 3.057 3.058 3.054 3.062 3.068 (0.012) (0.013) (0.013) (0.014) (0							
Parent not stressed scale (1-4)       3.061       3.057       3.058       3.054       3.062       3.068         (0.010)       (0.010)       (0.011)       (0.022)       (0.020)       (0.018)         Child spanked in last week       0.165       0.138       0.126       0.172       0.225       0.184         Eat dinner together (# days per week)       5.814       5.803       5.791       5.836       5.809       5.837         (0.027)       (0.037)       (0.042)       (0.048)       (0.043)       (0.034)         Eat dinner at regular time (# days per week)       5.495       5.523       5.548       5.456       5.486       5.444         (0.033)       (0.041)       (0.045)       (0.064)       (0.052)       (0.038)	Parent depression scale (1-4)						
(0.010) (0.010) (0.011) (0.022) (0.020) (0.018)  Child spanked in last week 0.165 0.138 0.126 0.172 0.225 0.184  Eat dinner together (# days per week) 5.814 5.803 5.791 5.836 5.809 5.837  (0.027) (0.037) (0.042) (0.048) (0.043) (0.034)  Eat dinner at regular time (# days per week) 5.495 5.523 5.548 5.456 5.486 5.444  (0.033) (0.041) (0.045) (0.064) (0.052) (0.038)							
Child spanked in last week       0.165       0.138       0.126       0.172       0.225       0.184         Eat dinner together (# days per week)       5.814       5.803       5.791       5.836       5.809       5.837         (0.027)       (0.037)       (0.042)       (0.048)       (0.043)       (0.034)         Eat dinner at regular time (# days per week)       5.495       5.523       5.548       5.456       5.486       5.444         (0.033)       (0.041)       (0.045)       (0.064)       (0.052)       (0.038)	Parent not stressed scale (1-4)						
Eat dinner together (# days per week) 5.814 5.803 5.791 5.836 5.809 5.837 (0.027) (0.037) (0.042) (0.048) (0.043) (0.034) Eat dinner at regular time (# days per week) 5.495 5.523 5.548 5.456 5.486 5.444 (0.033) (0.041) (0.045) (0.064) (0.052) (0.038)							
(0.027) (0.037) (0.042) (0.048) (0.043) (0.034) Eat dinner at regular time (# days per week) 5.495 5.523 5.548 5.456 5.486 5.444 (0.033) (0.041) (0.045) (0.064) (0.052) (0.038)							
Eat dinner at regular time (# days per week) 5.495 5.523 5.548 5.456 5.486 5.444 (0.033) (0.041) (0.045) (0.064) (0.052) (0.038)	Eat dinner together (# days per week)						
$(0.033) \qquad (0.041) \qquad (0.045) \qquad (0.064) \qquad (0.052) \qquad (0.038)$							
	Eat dinner at regular time (# days per week)						
Child uses home computer 0.750 0.818 0.831 0.784 0.644 0.676							, ,
	Child uses home computer	0.750	0.818	0.831	0.784	0.644	0.676

# $\ensuremath{\texttt{©}}$ by Association for Education Finance and Policy

Hours TV watched on weekdays	1.597	1.343	1.256	1.575	2.048	1.845
	(0.034)	(0.030)	(0.029)	(0.048)	(0.058)	(0.050)
Visited zoo, aquarium, or farm	0.455	0.447	0.461	0.411	0.473	0.462
Visited the library	0.601	0.630	0.654	0.565	0.580	0.559
Visited art, museum, or historical site	0.350	0.381	0.400	0.331	0.324	0.306
Attended play, concert, or show	0.413	0.445	0.457	0.415	0.377	0.369
Dance lessons	0.190	0.243	0.270	0.171	0.108	0.132
Organized athletic activities	0.533	0.650	0.692	0.536	0.386	0.388
Clubs or rec programs	0.130	0.159	0.167	0.137	0.089	0.095
Music lessons	0.099	0.118	0.132	0.081	0.071	0.077
Drama classes	0.023	0.029	0.031	0.022	0.018	0.015
Art classes or lessons	0.089	0.105	0.111	0.090	0.073	0.068
Performing arts programs	0.159	0.179	0.191	0.147	0.146	0.127
Craft classes or lessons	0.114	0.129	0.133	0.117	0.092	0.096
Neighborhood quality scale (1-3)	2.780	2.825	2.846	2.768	2.686	2.744
	(0.010)	(0.008)	(0.009)	(0.016)	(0.015)	(0.015)
n	10,500	5,950	4,430	1,520	1,560	2,990

Appendix Table A.2 Coefficients and standard errors from regressions predicting spring of kindergarten standardized science achievement and fall of kindergarten teacher ratings of science ability from preschool participation interacted with student race

	(1) Spring of Kindergarten	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
	Science								Earth/	
	Achievement						Physical	Life	Space	
	(std.)	Sense	Explain	Classify	Predict	Communicate	Science	Science	Science	Composite
December 1 (control 1 con 1 control										
Preschool (center-based or state-	2.21 .05	0.0601	0.0750	0.0000	0.0601	0.0706	0.0277	0.0712	0.0720	0.1064
sponsored)	3.21e-05	0.0681	0.0759	0.0889+	0.0681	0.0796	0.0377	0.0712	0.0728	0.136*
	(0.0337)	(0.0419)	(0.0536)	(0.0492)	(0.0492)	(0.0559)	(0.0495)	(0.0451)	(0.0616)	(0.0623)
Preschool*Black	0.137+	0.0601	0.0962	0.0710	0.0479	0.0298	0.121	-0.00392	0.0680	0.0552
	(0.0811)	(0.0971)	(0.107)	(0.111)	(0.108)	(0.115)	(0.0940)	(0.0945)	(0.115)	(0.120)
Preschool*Hispanic	-0.0422	0.0220	-0.0222	-0.0630	-0.0244	0.00752	0.0399	0.0334	0.0138	-0.0348
	(0.0657)	(0.0723)	(0.0846)	(0.0816)	(0.0815)	(0.0842)	(0.0751)	(0.0734)	(0.0953)	(0.0947)
Preschool*Asian	-0.0698	-0.0199	-0.140	0.00956	-0.195	-0.113	-0.0286	0.0571	-0.157	-0.152
	(0.116)	(0.133)	(0.137)	(0.146)	(0.135)	(0.149)	(0.146)	(0.123)	(0.183)	(0.183)
Black	-0.439**	-0.249**	-0.282**	-0.181*	-0.194*	-0.184*	-0.203**	-0.221**	-0.198*	-0.176+
	(0.0700)	(0.0775)	(0.0899)	(0.0893)	(0.0812)	(0.0832)	(0.0744)	(0.0763)	(0.0935)	(0.0948)
Hispanic	-0.153**	-0.176**	-0.200*	-0.104	-0.156*	-0.195*	-0.172*	-0.136*	-0.183+	-0.119
-	(0.0573)	(0.0672)	(0.0777)	(0.0764)	(0.0747)	(0.0811)	(0.0708)	(0.0673)	(0.0932)	(0.0936)
Asian	-0.0928	0.0681	0.175	0.0561	0.152	0.149	0.0272	0.0137	0.134	0.209
	(0.104)	(0.117)	(0.117)	(0.130)	(0.114)	(0.130)	(0.130)	(0.111)	(0.162)	(0.165)
Income controls	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Child, family, and contextual										
controls	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Classroom fixed effects	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Observations	10,500	7,500	6,490	6,050	6,320	5,960	6,510	6,770	4,270	3,390

Note. Robust standard errors in parentheses. All estimates account for the complex survey design of ECLS through the use of survey setting and weighting. Sample sizes vary across this table as teachers had the option of not answering the question and instead noting that they had not had the opportunity to observe the skill. Models include binary indicators of race and interactions with preschool for all racial groups. All interaction terms are interpretable compared to the coefficient on center-based preschool for White students.

<sup>\*\*</sup> p<0.01, \* p<0.05, + p<0.1

### doi:10.1162/EDFP\_a\_00247

© by Association for Education Finance and Policy

Appendix Table A.3 Coefficients and standard errors from regressions predicting spring of kindergarten standardized science achievement and fall of kindergarten teacher ratings of science ability from preschool participation interacted with student gender

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
	Spring of Kindergarten Science Achievement (std.)	Sense	Explain	Classify	Predict	Communicate	Physical Science	Life Science	Earth/ Space Science	Composite
Preschool (center-based or state-sponsored)	0.00169	0.119**	0.116*	0.115*	0.116*	0.130*	0.0936+	0.138**	0.149*	0.204**
reschool (center-based of state-sponsored)	(0.0363)	(0.0442)	(0.0559)	(0.0537)	(0.0525)	(0.0559)	(0.0505)	(0.0474)	(0.0633)	(0.0653)
Preschool*Female	0.00217	-0.0736	-0.0750	-0.0454	-0.103+	-0.0822	-0.0588	-0.104+	-0.125+	-0.141+
	(0.0457)	(0.0525)	(0.0605)	(0.0639)	(0.0608)	(0.0654)	(0.0592)	(0.0568)	(0.0744)	(0.0747)
Female	-0.100**	0.113**	0.101*	0.0834	0.110*	0.132**	0.136**	0.145**	0.102+	0.140*
	(0.0380)	(0.0425)	(0.0503)	(0.0515)	(0.0494)	(0.0485)	(0.0471)	(0.0460)	(0.0592)	(0.0604)
Income controls	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Child, family, and contextual controls	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Classroom fixed effects	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Observations	10,500	7,500	6,490	6,050	6,320	5,960	6,510	6,770	4,270	3,390

Note. Robust standard errors in parentheses. All estimates account for the complex survey design of ECLS through the use of survey setting and weighting. Sample sizes vary across this table as teachers had the option of not answering the question and instead noting that they had not had the opportunity to observe the skill. Models include a binary indicator gender. All interaction terms are interpretable compared to the coefficient on center-based preschool for male students. The omitted category for the preschool variable is students who were not in a formal preschool setting, namely those who were cared for by relatives, non-relatives, or parents and were not in a center-based, state-sponsored, or Head Start program.

<sup>\*\*</sup> p<0.01, \* p<0.05, + p<0.1

Appendix Table A.4 Coefficients and standard errors from regressions predicting spring of kindergarten standardized science achievement and fall of kindergarten teacher ratings of science ability from preschool participation interacted with family income bracket

	(1) Spring of Kindergarten	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
	Science								Earth/	
	Achievement						Physical	Life	Space	
	(std.)	Sense	Explain	Classify	Predict	Communicate	Science	Science	Science	Composite
D 1 1/ ( 1 1 4 4 1 1)	0.0116	0.0620	0.00010	0.0005	0.0200	0.0005	0.0270	0.110	0.0674	0.157
Preschool (center-based or state-sponsored)	0.0116	0.0630	0.00810	0.0805	0.0290	0.0905	0.0270	0.112	0.0674	0.157
TO 1 100T	(0.0598)	(0.0755)	(0.0865)	(0.0891)	(0.0855)	(0.102)	(0.0871)	(0.0817)	(0.115)	(0.103)
Preschool*Income quartile 1	0.0732	0.0386	0.0770	-0.0361	0.0436	-0.0359	0.0622	-0.0922	0.0591	-0.0918
	(0.0791)	(0.0939)	(0.114)	(0.110)	(0.107)	(0.119)	(0.103)	(0.100)	(0.137)	(0.127)
Preschool*Income quartile 2	-0.0101	0.0308	0.133	0.0133	0.0602	-0.0157	0.0314	0.0140	-0.0176	0.0114
	(0.0755)	(0.0936)	(0.105)	(0.107)	(0.104)	(0.119)	(0.103)	(0.0981)	(0.131)	(0.124)
Preschool*Income quartile 3	-0.0630	0.00795	0.0498	0.0431	0.0224	0.0341	0.0367	-0.0267	0.0198	-0.0389
	(0.0706)	(0.0858)	(0.100)	(0.106)	(0.0987)	(0.115)	(0.0996)	(0.0947)	(0.135)	(0.126)
Income quartile 1	-0.121+	-0.0687	-0.162	-0.00698	-0.161	-0.124	-0.0719	-0.0221	-0.0687	-0.0409
	(0.0675)	(0.0866)	(0.101)	(0.111)	(0.0988)	(0.104)	(0.0910)	(0.0941)	(0.126)	(0.120)
Income quartile 2	0.0218	-0.0661	-0.176+	-0.00481	-0.137	-0.0748	-0.0337	-0.0518	-0.0192	-0.00655
	(0.0660)	(0.0820)	(0.0936)	(0.0983)	(0.0932)	(0.1000)	(0.0872)	(0.0909)	(0.118)	(0.114)
Income quartile 3	0.0971	-0.0112	-0.0891	-0.0113	-0.0489	-0.0415	-0.0162	0.0110	-0.0180	0.0693
	(0.0631)	(0.0781)	(0.0932)	(0.0985)	(0.0915)	(0.102)	(0.0886)	(0.0887)	(0.123)	(0.118)
Income controls	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Child, family, and contextual controls	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
		X					X X			
Classroom fixed effects	X	Λ	X	X	X	X	Λ	X	X	X
Observations	10,500	7,500	6,490	6,050	6,320	5,960	6,510	6,770	4,270	3,390

Note. Robust standard errors in parentheses. All estimates account for the complex survey design of ECLS through the use of survey setting and weighting. Sample sizes vary across this table as teachers had the option of not answering the question and instead noting that they had not had the opportunity to observe the skill. All interaction terms are interpretable compared to the coefficient on preschool for students in the highest income quartile (\$100,000+). The omitted category for the preschool variable is students who were not in a formal preschool setting, namely those who were cared for by relatives, non-relatives, or parents and were not in a center-based, state-sponsored, or Head Start program.

\*\* p<0.01, \* p<0.05, + p<0.1

Table A.5 Coefficients and standard errors from regressions predicting spring of kindergarten standardized science achievement and fall of kindergarten teacher ratings of science ability from indicators of preschool location

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
	Spring of Kindergarten Science Achievement (std.)	Sense	Explain	Classify	Predict	Communicate	Physical Science	Life Science	Earth/ Space Science	Composite
Preschool in K-12 school	-0.0331	0.0602	0.0291	0.0593	0.0530	0.0800	0.0448	0.0455	0.0403	0.0712
	(0.0377)	(0.0451)	(0.0574)	(0.0501)	(0.0510)	(0.0536)	(0.0501)	(0.0461)	(0.0619)	(0.0602)
Preschool not in K-12 school	0.0222	0.0948*	0.108*	0.111*	0.0714	0.0953+	0.0763+	0.112**	0.118*	0.173**
	(0.0312)	(0.0379)	(0.0461)	(0.0446)	(0.0440)	(0.0499)	(0.0437)	(0.0399)	(0.0557)	(0.0571)
Income controls Child, family, and contextual	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
controls	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Classroom fixed effects	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Observations	10,490	7,500	6,490	6,050	6,320	5,960	6,510	6,770	4,270	3,390

Note. Robust standard errors in parentheses. All estimates account for the complex survey design of ECLS through the use of survey setting and weighting. Sample sizes vary across this table as teachers had the option of not answering the question and instead noting that they had not had the opportunity to observe the skill. Sample sizes vary slightly from primary models due to missing data on location variables. Models include binary indicator of Head Start, so the omitted category is students who were not in a formal preschool setting, namely those who were cared for by relatives, non-relatives, or parents and were not in a center-based, state-sponsored, or Head Start program.

<sup>\*\*</sup> p<0.01, \* p<0.05, + p<0.1

#### doi:10.1162/EDFP\_a\_00247

© by Association for Education Finance and Policy

Table A.6 Coefficients and standard errors from regressions predicting spring of kindergarten standardized science achievement and fall of kindergarten teacher ratings of science ability from indicators of full and part-time participation in preschool

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
	Spring of Kindergarten Science Achievement						Physical	Life	Earth/ Space	
	(std.)	Sense	Explain	Classify	Predict	Communicate	Science	Science	Science	Composite
Preschool full time  Preschool part time	0.00187 (0.0339) 0.00312	0.0536 (0.0432) 0.0999**	0.0777 (0.0556) 0.0790+	0.114* (0.0498) 0.0750+	0.0397 (0.0515) 0.0826+	0.0950+ (0.0532) 0.0854+	0.0881+ (0.0470) 0.0457	0.0852+ (0.0440) 0.0877*	0.0765 (0.0622) 0.0958+	0.161* (0.0640) 0.109*
	(0.0328)	(0.0378)	(0.0455)	(0.0438)	(0.0441)	(0.0497)	(0.0452)	(0.0399)	(0.0540)	(0.0521)
Income controls Child, family, and contextual	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
controls	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Classroom fixed effects	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Observations	10,490	7,500	6,490	6,050	6,320	5,950	6,500	6,770	4,270	3,390

Note. Robust standard errors in parentheses. All estimates account for the complex survey design of ECLS through the use of survey setting and weighting. Sample sizes vary across this table as teachers had the option of not answering the question and instead noting that they had not had the opportunity to observe the skill. Sample sizes vary slightly from primary models due to missing data on time variables. Models include binary indicator of Head Start, so the omitted category is students who were not in a formal preschool setting, namely those who were cared for by relatives, non-relatives, or parents and were not in a center-based, state-sponsored, or Head Start program.

<sup>\*\*</sup> p<0.01, \* p<0.05, + p<0.1