This work is on a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) license, <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>. Access to this work was provided by the University of Maryland, Baltimore County (UMBC) ScholarWorks@UMBC digital repository on the Maryland Shared Open Access (MD-SOAR) platform.

Please provide feedback

Please support the ScholarWorks@UMBC repository by emailing <u>scholarworks-group@umbc.edu</u> and telling us what having access to this work means to you and why it's important to you. Thank you. S1 Checklist. COREQ 32-item checklist for "Research Priorities of Caregivers and

Individuals with Dementia with Lewy Bodies: An Interview Study"

| No. Item | Guide questions/description | Reported on Page # |
|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Domain 1: Research team and reflexivity | | |
| Personal Characteristics | | |
| 1. Interviewer/facilitator | Which author/s conducted the interview or focus group? | 6 |
| 2. Credentials | What were the researcher's credentials? E.g. PhD, MD | 1, 6 |
| 3. Occupation | What was their occupation at the time of the study? | 1, 6 |
| 4. Gender | Was the researcher male or female? | 1, 6 |
| 5. Experience and training | What experience or training did the researcher have? | 1, 6 |
| Relationship with participants | | |
| 6. Relationship established | Was a relationship established prior to study commencement? | 6 |
| 7. Participant knowledge of | What did the participants know about the | S2 Interview |
| the interviewer | researcher? e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the research | Guide |
| 8. Interviewer characteristics | What characteristics were reported about the inter viewer/facilitator? e.g. Bias, | 6 |
| | assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic | |
| Domain 2: study design | | |
| Theoretical framework | | |
| 9. Methodological orientation and Theory | What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? e.g. grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis | 5, 6-7 |
| Participant selection | | |
| 10. Sampling | How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball | 5 |
| 11. Method of approach | How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email | 5 |
| 12. Sample size | How many participants were in the study? | 7 |
| 13. Non-participation | How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons? | N/A |
| Setting | | |
| 14. Setting of data collection | Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace | 6 |
| 15. Presence of non- participants | Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers? | N/A (phone interviews) |

| 16. Description of sample | What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date | 7-8 |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Data collection | | |
| 17. Interview guide | Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested? | 6, S2 Interview Guide |
| 18. Repeat interviews | Were repeat interviews carried out? If yes, how many? | No |
| 19. Audio/visual recording | Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data? | 6 (Yes) |
| 20. Field notes | Were field notes made during and/or after the inter view or focus group? | No |
| 21. Duration | What was the duration of the interviews or focus group? | 8 |
| 22. Data saturation | Was data saturation discussed? | 7 |
| 23. Transcripts returned | Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or correction? | 6 (No) |
| Domain 3: analysis and findings | | |
| Data analysis | | |
| 24. Number of data coders | How many data coders coded the data? | 7 |
| 25. Description of the coding tree | Did authors provide a description of the coding tree? | 8, Fig 1 |
| 26. Derivation of themes | Were themes identified in advance or derived from the data? | 5, 6-7 |
| 27. Software | What software, if applicable, was used to manage the data? | 6-7 |
| 28. Participant checking | Did participants provide feedback on the findings? | 6 (No) |
| Reporting | | |
| 29. Quotations presented | Were participant quotations presented to illustrate the themes/findings? Was each quotation identified? e.g. participant number | 9-20 |
| 30. Data and findings consistent | Was there consistency between the data presented and the findings? | 9-20 |
| 31. Clarity of major themes | Were major themes clearly presented in the findings? | 9-20, Figure 1 |
| 32. Clarity of minor themes | Is there a description of diverse cases or discussion of minor themes? | 9-20, Figure 1 |