

Response of Long Range Academic Planning Committee to Guidelines Put forth by  
Administration on November 4, 2010  
November 29, 2010

1. We would like an explanation of one sentence in #3. "Courses may be taught as combined sections."
2. We emphatically disagree with #6. We feel strongly that Wor Wic students should not be allowed to remain on the SU campus for the spring semester if they are not accepted to SU.
3. We would like an explanation of #9. How much will be spent on each individual student? Questions regarding cost were included in our initial response to the administration's proposal.
4. We believe that the SU admission GPA for the pilot students should be a 2.7. These are supposed to be better students than the currently admitted spring transfers.
5. Why were our proposed "show stoppers" omitted from the administration's November 4 document? In a meeting with administrators, it was suggested that we generate a list of show stoppers. The administration appears unwilling to consider termination of the program.
6. If the administration chooses to go on without serious consideration and negotiation regarding this response as well as the response from Admissions and Readmissions Committee, do so without our approval. We will recommend that the Senate reject the proposal.
7. Batya Hyman will be on sabbatical for the spring 2011 semester. Miguel Mitchell has agreed to assume chairmanship of the LRAP committee.