1	Appendix A: Supplementary Information
2	Seasonal trends of PCBs in air over Washington DC reveal localized urban
3	sources and the influence of Anacostia River
4	Mandar Bokare ^a , Nathalie Lombard ^a , Samuel Magee ^a , Dev Murali ^b , Upal Ghosh ^{a*}
5	^a Dept. of Chemical, Biochemical, and Environmental Engineering, University of Maryland
6	Baltimore County, Baltimore MD 21250, USA
7	^b Washington DC Department of Energy and Environment, 1200 First Street NE, Washington,
8	DC 20002, USA
9	
10	Total number of pages: 76
11	Total number of tables: 20
12	Total number of figures: 14
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	* Corresponding author: <u>ughosh@umbc.edu</u> ; 1000 Hilltop Circle, University of Maryland Baltimore County, Baltimore, MD 21250

23 A1. Materials and Methods

24 A1.1. Sample processing, extraction and analysis

Low density polyethylene (PE) strips were cleaned on-site by using deionized water to 25 remove any particles adhering to the surface of the passive samplers and transported back to the 26 lab in a cooler. Each sample was extracted in 40 mL amber vials using pesticide-grade hexane. 27 PCB 14 and PCB 65 were added as surrogates to quantify extraction recovery. Each sample was 28 29 extracted thrice after which pooled extracts were concentrated to 1 mL under high-purity N₂ flow and subjected to a deactivated silica gel cleanup process. Extracts were eluted using hexane, again 30 concentrated to 1 mL and transferred to GC vials. PCB 30 and PCB 204 were used as internal 31 32 standards. Samples which showed interference from sulfur-containing compounds on the GC-ECD were treated with activated copper and analyzed again. 33

PCB analysis was performed on an Agilent 6890N gas chromatograph, with an electron 34 capture detector and a fused silica capillary column (Rtx-5MS, 60 m x 0.25 mm i.d, 0.25 µm film 35 thickness). PCB standards for calibration were purchased as hexane solutions from Ultra Scientific 36 37 (North Kingstown, RI, USA). Internal standards, 2,4,6- trichlorobiphenyl (PCB#30) and 2,2',3,4,4',5,6,6'- octachlorobiphenyl (PCB#204) were added to all samples. Peak identification 38 and integration were performed with Agilent Chemstation software. A total of 119 most commonly 39 40 found PCB congeners and congener groups were measured using this method. The PCB congeners analyzed are based on the Mullin's mix reported in the Lake Michigan mass balance study (U.S. 41 EPA, 1997). In some cases, peaks that coelute were identified and reported as the sum of 42 congeners. ECD chromatograms were manually evaluated for the presence of co-eluting 43 contamination peaks and removed from quantification when necessary. 44

45 *A1.2. Method Detection Limits*

Method detection limits (MDLs) were calculated as per U.S. EPA (2016). Seven samples spiked at the lowest level of the calibration were run, and the standard deviation of the measured concentrations (S_s) was calculated. A MDL based on spiked samples (MDL_s) was first determined by using the Equation (A1), where, $t_{(n-1, 1-\alpha=0.99)}$ is the student's t-value appropriate for a single tailed 99th percentile t statistic and a standard deviation estimate with n-1 (here 6) degrees of freedom.

52 MDL_S =
$$t_{(n-1,1-\alpha=0.99)\times}S_S$$
 – (Equation A1)

The MDL_s was either used as the "initial MDL" if none of the methods blanks (i.e. procedure blanks in this document) gave numerical results, or the initial MDL was updated with the results from the method blanks if numerical value was measured for individual analytes (U.S. EPA, 2016). Briefly, if some but not all of the methods blanks gave numerical results, the MDL for blanks (MDL_b) was set equal to the highest method blank results. If all the method blanks gave numerical results, the standard deviation (S_b) of the replicates results was calculated and the MDL_b was determined using Equation (A2). The greater of MDL_s or MDL_b was set as the "initial MDL".

60 MDL_B =
$$t_{(n-1,1-\alpha=0.99)\times}S_b$$
 – (Equation A2)

MDLs for dissolved PCBs in water were determined based on 1 g PE, while MDLs for airphase PCBs were determined based on 2 g PE. MDLs for both water and air phases were calculated at an ambient temperature of 298 K. MDLs ranged from 2.58E-05 pg/L (PCB 209) to 97 pg/L (PCB 1) for water and from 3.5E-04 pg/m³ (PCB 209) to 280 pg/m³ (PCB 1) for air. MDLs for each deployment period are provided in **Table A2**.

67 *A1.3. Quality Assurance (QA) and Quality Control (QC)*

PCB surrogates, PE blanks, and procedure blanks were used as QA-QC measures. PCB 14 68 and PCB 65 were used as surrogates. Recoveries for PCB 14 ranged from 70% to 319% across all 69 water samplers (including those deployed in the river and in the tributaries. Complete list of 70 sampling sites can be found in Ghosh et al. (2020)), with average recovery of 104%. High 71 72 recoveries (>140%) to very high recoveries (>200%) were observed in 13% and 4% of the samples, respectively, and were linked to interferent eluting near PCB 14 in the chromatograms. Excluding 73 samples with PCB 14 recoveries >140% resulted in average recovery of 98% for water samplers. 74 75 Recoveries for PCB 65 for water samplers ranged from 72 to 147%, with average recovery of 104%. Samples with recoveries >140% were flagged (3.2% of all water samples) and aberrant 76 recoveries were linked to minor interferent eluting close to the peak. Interferent was removed to 77 the extent possible but hindered exact quantification. Samples with recoveries <70% for both 78 surrogates were excluded from analysis. For air samplers, recoveries for PCB 14 ranged from 67 79 to 119%, while those for PCB 65 ranged from 70 to 112%. Samples with recoveries <70% for 80 both surrogates were excluded from analysis. 81

A few low molecular weight PCB congeners, PCB 25, PCB 21+33+53, PCB 22, and PCB 82 52+43, were detected in the first PE blanks processed alongside the samples. Across the PE blanks 83 processed during all deployments, average concentrations detected in PE blanks ranged from 0.10 84 ng/g (PCB 22) to 0.89 ng/g (PCB 25), with average Σ PCB concentration of 1.9 ng/g. This Σ PCB 85 86 concentration of 1.9 ng/g detected in PE blanks was 0.17% and 2.2% of the average ΣPCB concentration measured in field-deployed samplers in the Anacostia River and across the air-87 monitoring sites (AU, RT, MMR, and ECC), respectively. PE blanks were prepared to ensure the 88 absence of background noise in the PE before impregnation with Performance Reference 89

90 Compounds (PRC). A preliminary check of freshly cleaned PE just before impregnation did not 91 show the presence of those peaks, nor the PRC initials processed alongside the samples. Only the 92 few PE kept in closed jars for ~ 6 months to be processed as blanks alongside with samples showed 93 presence of background contamination, suggesting that contamination occurred during the storage 94 period. Since those contaminant peaks were not detected in the PRC initial, no action was 95 performed.

PCB 25, PCB 100, PCB 191, and PCB 206 were detected in procedure blanks used for water 96 samplers. Concentrations averaged across all the deployments ranged from 1.61E-04 pg/L (PCB 97 206) to 0.082 pg/L (PCB 25), with average Σ PCB concentration of 0.087 pg/L. This represents 98 0.012% of the average Σ PCB concentration measured in the Anacostia River across the 99 deployment periods. PCB 25, PCB 193, and PCB 194 were detected in procedure blanks used for 100 air samplers with concentrations averaged across all the deployments ranging from 0.029 pg/m^3 101 (PCB 194) to 0.75 pg/m³ (PCB 25), with average Σ PCB concentration of 0.88 pg/m³. This 102 represents 0.75% of the average Σ PCB concentration measured in the air above the Anacostia 103 River across the deployment periods. 104

105 Overall, the above results for PE and procedure blanks show that $\sum PCB$ concentrations 106 detected in these blanks represent a minor fraction of the $\sum PCB$ concentrations detected in the 107 field samples and thus do not impact the overall interpretation of water-air exchange flux and 108 transfer rates.

109

110

112 A1.4. Temperature correction for partitioning coefficients

113 PE-air and PE-water partitioning coefficients were corrected for temperature using the van't 114 Hoff equation (Lohmann, 2012), where K_{PE-A} (T) is the PE-air partitioning coefficient at any 115 temperature T (K) (L/kg); K_{PE-A} (298) is the PE-air partitioning coefficient at standard reporting 116 temperature of 298 K (L/kg); ΔH_{PE-A} is the enthalpy of PE-air partitioning (kJ/mol) and R is the 117 universal gas constant, 0.008314 kJ/(mol.K)

118
$$K_{PE-A}(T) = K_{PE-A}(298) \times exp\left(\frac{\Delta H_{PE-A}}{R} \times \left(\frac{1}{298} - \frac{1}{T}\right)\right)$$
 – Equation (A3)

119 However, ΔH_{PE-A} values have not been reported for all PCB congeners analyzed in this study. 120 As a result, ΔH_{PE-A} was replaced by ΔU_{OA} , which is the internal energy of octanol-air partitioning. 121 As per Lohmann (2012), ΔU_{OA} is a suitable proxy for ΔH_{PE-A} in order to correct K_{PE-A} values for 122 temperature. For PCBs, ΔU_{OA} for each congener was calculated based on the following correlation 123 (Schenker et al., 2005), based on the molar mass of the PCB congener and the number of ortho-124 chlorines.

125
$$\Delta U_{OA}\left(\frac{kJ}{mol}\right) = -48.7 + (-0.13 \times Molar Mass) + (2.4 \times \# of ortho - chlorines)$$

127 PE-water partitioning coefficients were corrected for temperature as per Equation (A5), using 128 the enthalpy of PE-water partitioning, ΔH_{PE-W} . ΔH_{PE-W} was set to -25 kJ/mol for all congeners, as 129 per Lohmann (2012).

130
$$K_{PE-W}(T) = K_{PE-W}(298) \times exp\left(\frac{\Delta H_{PE-W}}{R} \times \left(\frac{1}{298} - \frac{1}{T}\right)\right)$$
 – Equation (A5)

132 A1.5. PCB concentrations in water

The freely-dissolved concentrations in the water column were estimated as per Equation (A6) (Perron et al., 2013), where C_W is the water column concentration (ng/L), $C_{PE-W,t}$ is the target compound concentration in the passive sampler at time t (ng/kg), K_{PE-W} is the partition coefficient of the target compound between water phase and passive sampler (L/kg), k_e is the first-order dynamic coefficient (day⁻¹), and t is the deployment time (days).

138
$$C_{W} = \frac{C_{PE-W,t}}{(1-e^{-k_{e}*t}) \times K_{PE-W}} - Equation (A6)$$

The first-order dynamic coefficient of release of PRCs from sampler (k_e , day-1) was calculated as per Equation (A7), based on Perron et al. (2013), where $C_{PRC,initial}$ and $C_{PRC,final}$ (ng/g) are the PRC concentrations before and after sampler retrieval, respectively, and t is the deployment time in days. Linear regressions between log k_e and log Kow of PRCs were developed and used to calculate k_e for target analytes.

144
$$k_e = \frac{1}{t} ln \left(\frac{C_{PRC,initial}}{C_{PRC,final}} \right)$$
 – Equation (A7)

145 The non-equilibrium correction term, f_{eq}, for each analyte was calculated as per Equation146 (A8).

147
$$f_{eq} = 1 - \exp(-k_e, t)$$
 – Equation (A8)

148

149 A1.6. PCB concentrations in air

150 Concentrations of PCBs in air were calculated as per Equation (A9) (Liu et al., 2016a), where 151 C_A is the concentration of the compound in air (ng/m³), $C_{PE-A,t}$ target compound concentration in 152 the passive sampler at time t (ng/kg), K_{PE-A} is the partition coefficient of the target compound between air phase and passive sampler (L/kg) and f_{eq} is the calculated non-equilibrium correction term.

155
$$C_A = \frac{C_{PE-A,t}}{K_{PE-A} \times f_{eq}}$$
 – Equation (A9)

156 Non-equilibrium correction term, f_{eq} , was calculated based on the concentration of PRCs in

the PE before and after deployment (Liu et al., 2016a), as shown in Equation (A10), where, PRC_{ini}

and PRC_{final} are the concentration of the PRCs in the polymer before and after deployment.

159
$$f_{eq-PRC} = \frac{PRC_{ini} - PRC_{final}}{PRC_{ini}}$$
 – Equation (A10)

160 The calculated f_{eq-PRC} values for each PRC were then fitted to a first-order uptake/loss model 161 by a non-linear least squares method using the Solver function in Excel, which yields the site-162 specific sampling rate Rs (L/day) for the passive sampler, as shown in Equation (A11):

163
$$f_{eq} = 1 - \exp\left(\frac{-Rs \times t}{K_{PE-A} \times m_{PE}}\right)$$
 – Equation (A11)

164 Where:

• t: Duration of passive sampler deployment (days)

- K_{PE-A}: PE-air partitioning coefficient for the PRC (L/kg)
- m_{PE}: Mass of the passive sampler (kg)

169 The sampling rate thus calculated was used to calculate the f_{eq} for the target analytes, by 170 using the respective PE-air partitioning coefficients, as shown in Equation (A12):

171
$$f_{eq} = 1 - \exp\left(\frac{-Rs \times t}{K_{PE-air} \times m_{PE}}\right)$$
 – Equation (A12)

While the non-equilibrium correction method used for water samplers has been also used forair samplers (Khairy and Lohmann, 2013; Lohmann et al., 2011), some of the more recent studies

for air passive sampling have used the PRC correction method based on a nonlinear least square fitting to characterize sampling rates based on dissipation rates of PRCs from the passive samplers (Khairy et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2016a, 2016b; Minick and Anderson, 2017; Ruge et al., 2015). The air sampling apparatus used, and all calculations performed for air passive samplers and air-water exchange flux in the present study was based on Liu et al. (2016a).

179

180 A2. Temperature dependence of air-phase PCB concentrations

181 Claysius-Clapeyron plots were used to evaluate whether variability in air-phase PCB 182 concentrations is primarily driven by variation in ambient temperature (Buehler et al., 2004). The 183 natural log of average air-phase concentrations of 6 PCB congeners (1 each from tri to octa-184 homolog groups) during each deployment period was plotted against 1/T. Plots of ln C_A vs 1/T for 185 these congeners were strongly correlated with R^2 values ranging from 0.75 to 0.99 (Figure A10), 186 indicating that variation in air-phase concentrations is primarily driven by variation in ambient 187 temperature.

188

A3. Fugacity ratio calculations

The direction of mass transfer across the water-air interface can be determined based on the ratio of the equilibrium-corrected concentration of the pollutant in PE deployed in the water and air-phases. PCB concentrations measured on PE deployed in the air and water phases were averaged and used to calculate the fugacity ratios for each season. This ratio, referred to as fugacity ratio (f_W/f_A), is shown in Equation (A13) and can be used to distinguish volatilization (ln (f_W/f_A) >0), equilibrium (ln (f_W/f_A) = 0) and deposition (ln(f_W/f_A) < 0). Although there are potential errors associated with analytical measurements that can confound the interpretation of fugacity ratios (Apell and Gschwend, 2017; Liu et al., 2016a), this approach generally provides a better estimate of the direction of transport compared to actual calculation of flux values due to additional errors that come about in the conversion of polymer concentrations to concentrations in air and water (Apell and Gschwend, 2017).

201
$$\ln\left(\frac{f_W}{f_A}\right) = \ln\left(\frac{C_{PE-W}}{C_{PE-A}}\right)$$
 – Equation (A13)

202

203 A4. Flux calculations

The water-air exchange flux for PCBs was calculated using the procedure reported by (Liu et al., 2016a). Calculations were performed for each deployment period using respective surface water and gas-phase concentration data.

As shown in Equation (A14), the flux between air and water phases $(ng/m^2/day)$ can be calculated based on the mass transfer velocity between the water-air interface and the concentration gradient between water and air.

210
$$\operatorname{Flux}_{w \to a} = v_{a/w} \times \left(C_w - \frac{C_A}{K_{AW}}\right)$$
 – Equation (A14)

211 Where:

• v_{a/w}: Overall mass transfer velocity (cm/day)

• C_w: Freely-dissolved concentration of compound in water (ng/L)

• C_A: Gas-phase concentration of compound (ng/L)

• K_{AW}: Temperature-corrected air-water partitioning coefficient

K_{AW} was calculated using the temperature corrected PE-water and PE-air partitioning
 coefficients using following equation reported by Apell and Gschwend (2017).

218
$$K_{AW} = \frac{K_{PE-W}}{K_{PE-A}}$$
 – Equation (A15)

219

220 A4.1. Mass transfer velocities

The overall mass transfer velocity, $v_{a/w}$ (cm/day), was calculated for each compound based on a modified two-film air-water exchange model as shown in Equation (A16) (Liu et al., 2016a), where, v_w and v_a are the compound-specific mass transfer velocities in the water and air phases respectively.

225
$$\frac{1}{\nu_{a/w}} = \frac{1}{\nu_w} + \frac{1}{\nu_{a.K_{aw}}} - Equation (A16)$$

226

227 A4.2. Mass transfer velocity in air phase

228 Mass transfer velocity in air, v_a (cm/day), was calculated as described below in Equation 229 (A17).

230
$$\nu_a = \nu_{w.vap,a} \times (\frac{M_{Target}}{M_{water}})^{-0.5 \times a_D}$$
, $\begin{cases} a_D = 0.67 \text{ for } u_{10} < 5 \text{ m/s} \\ a_D = 0.5 \text{ for } u_{10} > 5 \text{ m/s} \end{cases}$ - Equation (A17)

- u₁₀: Stream-wise horizontal wind speed at 10 m over the water surface (m/s)
- M_{Target}: Molecular weight of target compound
- M_{Water}: Molecular weight of water (18)

• v_{water,a}: Mass transfer velocity of water vapor in air (cm/s)

236 $v_{water,a}$ was calculated as:

237
$$v_{\text{water,a}} (\text{cm/s}) = (0.2 \times u_{10}(\text{m/s})) + 0.3$$
 – Equation (A18)

238 u_{10} was calculated based on the height at which the wind speed was measured, as per the 239 following equation:

240 $u_{10} = u_z \times \left(\frac{10.4}{\ln(z) + 8.1}\right)$ – Equation (A19)

241 Where, u_z is the wind speed measured at height z

242

243 A4.3. Mass transfer velocity in water phase

244 Mass transfer velocity in the water, v_w (cm/day), was calculated as described below.

245
$$v_w (cm/s) = v_{CO2,w} \times (\frac{sc_w}{sc_{CO2,w}})^{-a_{SC}}$$
, $\begin{cases} a_{SC} = 0.67 \text{ for } u_{10} < 4.2 \text{ m/s} \\ a_{SC} = 0.5 \text{ for } u_{10} > 4.2 \text{ m/s} \end{cases}$ – Equation (A20)

246

247 Where:

- Sc_{CO2}: Schmidt number of CO₂ at 298 K (600)
- $v_{CO2,w}$: Mass transfer velocity of CO₂ in water phase (cm/s)

251
$$\nu_{\text{CO2,w}}(\text{cm/s}) = \begin{cases} 0.65 \times 10^{-3} & u_{10} \leq 4.2 \text{ m/s} \\ (0.79 \times u_{10} - 2.68) \times 10^{-3} & 4.2 \leq u_{10} \leq 13 \text{ m/s} \\ (1.64 \times u_{10} - 13.69) \times 10^{-3} & u_{10} \geq 13 \text{ m/s} \end{cases}$$

- Equation (A21)

253

The Schmidt number of the target analyte at 298 K can be calculated as:

254
$$Sc_w (298 \text{ K}) = \frac{v_w (298 \text{ K})}{D_w (298 \text{ K})}$$
 – Equation (A22)

255 Where:

• v_w: Kinematic viscosity of water at 298 K (0.00893 cm²/s)

• D_w: Molecular diffusivity of target analyte in water at 298 K (cm²/s)

The molecular diffusivity in water, D_w , was calculated for each PCB congener as per Equation (A23).

260
$$D_w\left(\frac{cm^2}{s}, 298 \text{ K}\right) = \frac{2.7 \times 10^{-4}}{M_i^{0.71}}$$
 - Equation (A23)

261 Where, M_i is the molar mass of the analyte i.

262 The Schmidt number of the target compound was further corrected for temperature as per the263 following equation:

264
$$Sc_w(T K) = Sc_w(298 K) \times \left(\frac{\nu_w(T K)}{\nu_w(298 K)}\right)^2 \times \frac{298}{T}$$
 – Equation (A24)

265 Where:

• Sc_w (298 K): Schmidt number of target compound at 298 K

•
$$v_w$$
 (298 K): Kinematic viscosity of water at 298 K (cm²/s)

• v_w (T K): Kinematic viscosity of water at temperature T K (cm²/s)

269

A5. Propagated error in fractional equilibrium of compounds based on PRC correction

The fractional equilibrium reached by each compound in PE deployed in water and air phases were calculated as per Equations (A25) and (A26), and described previously.

275
$$F_{eq-A} = 1 - \exp\left(\frac{-Rs \times t}{K_{PE-A} \times m_{PE}}\right)$$
 – Equation (A25)
276 $f_{eq-W} = 1 - \exp\left(-k_e.t\right)$ – Equation (A26)

277 A5.1. Air samplers

278	For air samplers, uncertainties in fractional equilibrium (Tables A10-A13) were calculated
279	as per Equation (A27) based on uncertainties in the following parameters, as per Liu et al. (2016b):

284 sampler)

•
$$K_{PE-A} (\delta K_{PE-A}/K_{PE-A} = 50\%)$$

286 δf_{eq-A}

$$287 \qquad = \sqrt{\left(\exp\left(\frac{-R_{S} \times t}{K_{PE-A} \times m_{PE}}\right)\right)^{2} \times \left(\frac{-R_{S} \times t}{K_{PE-A} \times m_{PE}}\right)^{2} \times \left[\left(\frac{\delta R_{S}}{R_{S}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\delta t}{t}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\delta m_{PE}}{m_{PE}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\delta K_{PE-A}}{K_{PE-A}}\right)^{2}\right]}$$

– Equation (A27)

289 A5.2. Water samplers

For the PE deployed in water, calculated $k_e (day^{-1})$ for each compound can be expressed in terms of sampler-specific sampling rate R_s (L/day), mass of sampler m_{PE} (kg), and PE-water partitioning coefficient K_{PE-W} (L/kg), as shown in Equation (A28) (Liu et al., 2021).

293
$$k_e = \frac{-Rs}{K_{PE-A} \times m_{PE}}$$
 – Equation (A28)

Although a sampler-specific Rs was not explicitly calculated for the water samplers, the uncertainty in ke ($\delta k_e/k_e$) can still be estimated based on relative uncertainties in R_s, t, m_{PE}, and K_{PE-W}, as shown in Equation (A29).

297
$$\frac{\delta k_{e}}{k_{e}} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\delta R_{S}}{R_{S}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\delta m_{PE}}{m_{PE}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\delta K_{PE-W}}{K_{PE-W}}\right)^{2}} - Equation (A29)$$

Equation (A29) was used to calculate uncertainty in k_e values for each PCB congener based on 10% relative uncertainty in average R_s for each deployment, 0.5% relative uncertainty in m_{PE} for each deployment, and 50% relative uncertainty in K_{PE-W} (Liu et al., 2016b), resulting in 51% relative uncertainty in the k_e values for all congeners as shown in Equation (A30).

302
$$\frac{\delta k_e}{k_e} = \sqrt{(0.1)^2 + (0.005)^2 + (0.5)^2} = 0.51$$
 (i. e. 51% uncertainty) – Equation (A30)

Finally, uncertainty in fraction equilibrium achieved by compounds in PE deployed in water, (δf_{eq-W}) (Table A15) was calculated as per Equation (A33) by applying error propagation rules to Equation (A26).

306
$$f_{eq-W} = 1 - \exp(-k_e.t)$$
 – Equation (A31)

307
$$\delta f_{eq-W} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial f_{eq-W}}{\partial k_e}\right)^2 (\delta k_e)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial f_{eq}}{\partial t}\right)^2 (\delta t)^2} - Equation (A32)$$

308
$$\delta f_{eq-W} = \sqrt{(t \times [exp(-k_e, t)] \times \delta k_e)^2 + (k_e \times [exp(-k_e, t)] \times \delta t)^2} - Equation (A33)$$

309

310 A6. Propagated error in water and air concentrations

Uncertainties in concentrations of PCB congeners in air and water were based on standard deviation of PE replicates, calculated uncertainties in f_{eq-W} and f_{eq-A} , and uncertainties in K_{PE-W} and K_{PE-A} values (Equation A34 and A35).

314
$$\frac{\delta C_{W}}{C_{W}} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\delta C_{PE-W}}{C_{PE-W}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\delta f_{eq-W}}{f_{eq-W}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\delta K_{PE-W}}{K_{PE-W}}\right)^{2}} - Equation (A34)$$

315
$$\frac{\delta C_A}{C_A} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\delta C_{PE-A}}{C_{PE-A}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\delta f_{eq-A}}{f_{eq-A}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\delta K_{PE-A}}{K_{PE-A}}\right)^2} - Equation (A35)$$

316

317 A7. Propagated error in fugacity ratios

The propagated error in fugacity ratios was calculated taking into account the uncertainties in the measured concentrations for both dissolved and air-phase PCBs. The uncertainty in logtransformed fugacity ratio ($\delta \ln(f_W/f_A)$) was calculated as per Equation (A36) (Liu et al., 2016b), where δC_{PE-W} and δC_{PE-A} are the standard deviation of the concentration of each homolog group in PE deployed in water and air phases, respectively, while δf_{eq-W} and δf_{eq-A} are the uncertainties in the fractional equilibrium reached by each homolog group in PE deployed in water and airphases.

$$325 \qquad \delta \ln\left(\frac{f_{W}}{f_{A}}\right) = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\delta C_{PE-W}}{C_{PE-W}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\delta C_{PE-A}}{C_{PE-A}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\delta f_{eq-W}}{f_{eq-W}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\delta f_{eq-A}}{f_{eq-A}}\right)^{2}} \qquad - Equation (A36)$$

326

327 A8. Propagated error in air-water exchange flux

For calculating the propagated error in air-water exchange flux, relative uncertainty of 30% was assumed for $v_{a/w}$ as per Rowe and Perlinger (2012), while relative uncertainty of 50% was assumed for all K_{PE-W} values (Liu et al., 2016b). Relative uncertainty in all K_{PE-A} values was assumed to be 50%. Based on uncertainties in K_{PE-W} and K_{PE-A} values, 71% uncertainty in K_{AW} values was calculated by applying error propagation rules to Equation (A37) as shown below.

333
$$\frac{\delta K_{AW}}{K_{AW}} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\delta K_{PE-W}}{K_{PE-W}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\delta K_{PE-A}}{K_{PE-A}}\right)^2} - \text{Equation (A37)}$$

Uncertainties in concentrations of PCB congeners in air and water were based on standard deviation of replicates, calculated uncertainties in f_{eq-W} and f_{eq-A} , and uncertainties in K_{PE-W} and K_{PE-A} values (Equation A38 and A39).

$$337 \qquad \frac{\delta C_{W}}{C_{W}} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\delta C_{PE-W}}{C_{PE-W}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\delta f_{eq-W}}{f_{eq-W}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\delta K_{PE-W}}{K_{PE-W}}\right)^{2}} \qquad - \text{Equation (A38)}$$

$$338 \quad \frac{\delta C_A}{C_A} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\delta C_{PE-A}}{C_{PE-A}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\delta f_{eq-A}}{f_{eq-A}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\delta K_{PE-A}}{K_{PE-A}}\right)^2} - Equation (A39)$$

The absolute uncertainty in the flux was calculated by applying error propagation rules to Equation (A14) as shown below:

341
$$\delta F = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial v_{A/W}}\right)^2 (\delta v_{A/W})^2 + \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial C_W}\right)^2 (\delta C_W)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial C_A}\right)^2 (\delta C_A)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial K_{AW}}\right)^2 (\delta K_{AW})^2}$$

343
$$\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial v_{a/w}}\right) = \left(C_W - \frac{C_A}{K_{AW}}\right)$$
 – Equation (A41)

– Equation (A40)

344
$$\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial C_W}\right) = v_{AW}$$
 – Equation (A42)

345
$$\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial C_A}\right) = \frac{-v_{a/w}}{K_{AW}}$$
 – Equation (A43)

346
$$\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial C_{PE-A}}\right) = \frac{\nu_{a/w} \times C_A}{(K_{AW})^2}$$
 – Equation (A44)

347 Overall uncertainty in total flux of PCB congeners ($\delta F_{\Sigma PCB}$) was calculated as per Equation 348 (A45), where δF_i indicates uncertainty in flux for congener *i*.

349
$$\delta F_{\Sigma PCB} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta F_i^2}$$
 – Equation (A45)

A comparison of the contribution of uncertainties in v_{AW} , C_W , C_A , and K_{AW} to the overall uncertainty in water-air exchange flux is shown in **Table A17**. Contribution of each of these parameters to the overall uncertainty was calculated as the average contribution across all congeners. Overall, the uncertainty in $\sum PCB$ flux across all deployment periods is primarily driven by uncertainty in C_W (average contribution ranging from 84-87%), followed by uncertainty in v_{AW} (8.3 – 15%), uncertainty in C_A (0.9 – 4.0%), while contribution of uncertainty in K_{AW} ranged from 0.7 to 1.5%.

358 A9. Air-water fugacity ratios

Log-transformed air-water fugacity ratios were calculated for the mono- to deca- homolog 359 groups using the equilibrium-corrected PCB concentration on PE. Log-transformed fugacity ratios 360 ranged from 0.2 (hepta- homolog group, Winter 2017/18) to 4.7 (tri- and tetra- groups, Spring 361 362 2017) across the study period (Figure A12). For all deployment periods, fugacity ratios of tri- to 363 hexa-homolog groups were greater than zero (based on the calculated uncertainty using 1 standard deviation in measured PCB concentrations in PE), indicating volatilization of these homolog 364 365 groups from the river. For the hepta-homolog group, fugacity ratios were greater than zero for 366 Spring 2017 to Fall 2017 deployment periods. However, for the Winter 2017/18 period, the 367 fugacity ratio for hepta was closer to zero and could not be differentiated from equilibrium due to 368 the high propagated uncertainty associated with the fugacity ratio for this period. The octahomolog group exhibited fugacity ratios greater than zero for Summer and Fall 2017 deployment 369 370 periods but not for Spring 2017 and was not detected in the air in Winter 2017/18. Fugacity ratios 371 for the di-homolog group also could not be differentiated from equilibrium due to high propagated uncertainty. 372

373

A10. Uncertainty in wet and dry deposition PCB fluxes to the Anacostia River

375 Previous estimates of total deposition fluxes (wet + dry deposition) for urban areas in the 376 Chesapeake Bay range from 5 μ g/m²/year (Baker et al., 1994) to 16 μ g/m²/year (Chesapeake Bay 377 Program, 1999).

Wet deposition fluxes ranged from 2.7 μ g/m²/year (Baker et al., 1994) to 8.3 μ g/m²/year (Chesapeake Bay Program, 1999). A 20% relative uncertainty in wet deposition fluxes was reported for the Chesapeake Bay Program (1999). Thus, absolute uncertainty in the wet fluxes from these studies was 0.54 and 1.7 μ g/m²/year, respectively.

Dry deposition fluxes ranged from 2.5 $\mu g/m^2/year$ (Baker et al., 1994) to 8.0 $\mu g/m^2/year$ 382 (Chesapeake Bay Program, 1999). Dry deposition fluxes were estimated to be accurate within a 383 factor of 3 (Chesapeake Bay Program, 1999). Thus, the upper end estimates for the dry deposition 384 385 fluxes from these studies are 7.5 and 24 μ g/m²/year, respectively. The difference between the upper end estimate and the average deposition fluxes was assumed to be the standard deviation, resulting 386 in the absolute uncertainties of 5 and 16 μ g/m²/year, respectively. Propagated uncertainty in the 387 388 sum of wet and dry deposition fluxes (Equations A46 and A47) from these studies were thus 5.03 and 16 μ g/m²/year, respectively, resulting in 100% relative uncertainty in the total depositional 389 fluxes for depositional flux estimates from the Baker et al. (1994) and the Chesapeake Bay 390 Program (1999) studies. 391

392 Propagated uncertainty in the sum of wet and dry deposition fluxes was estimated as:

393 Uncertainty in wet + dry deposition flux (Baker et al., 1994) = $\sqrt{0.54^2 + 5^2}$ = 394 5.03 µg/m²/year – Equation (A46)

395 Uncertainty in wet + dry deposition flux (Chesapeake Bay Program, 1999)

396 =
$$\sqrt{1.7^2 + 16^2} = 16 \,\mu g/m^2/year$$
 – Equation (A47)

Total depositional load estimates thus ranged from 17 ± 17 g/year (Baker et al., 1994) to 56±55 g/year (Chesapeake Bay Program, 1999), resulting in upper range estimates of 34 and 110 g/year, respectively.

401 A11. Calculation of K_{AW} and resultant fluxes based on Henry's Law Constants

Water-air exchange fluxes were also evaluated using the conventional approach wherein 402 K_{AW} values for individual congeners were calculated as shown in Equation (A48), based the 403 Henry's Law constant (H_c, Pa.m³/mol) corrected for water temperature (T_W, K), universal gas 404 constant (R, 8.314 m³.Pa/mol.K), and the average air temperature (T_A, K) (Khairy et al., 2014). H_C 405 406 values were corrected for water temperature as per Equation (A49) (Gigliotti et al., 2002), where H_{C,T} is the Henry's Law constant at water temperature T_W (K), H_{C,298} is the Henry's Law constant 407 408 at 298 K, and ΔH_H is the enthalpy of phase change (kJ/mol). Values for H_C and ΔH_H were obtained 409 from Bamford et al. (2002).

410
$$K_{AW} = \frac{H_C}{R.T_A}$$
 – Equation (A48)

411
$$\ln\left(\frac{H_{C,TW}}{H_{C,298}}\right) = \frac{\Delta H_H}{R} \left(\frac{1}{298} - \frac{1}{T_W}\right)$$
 – Equation (A49)

Uncertainty in K_{AW} was evaluated based on 50% relative uncertainty in H_C for all congeners
(Liu et al., 2016a), and relative uncertainty in average air temperature based on variation in
temperature observed over each of the deployment periods (Equation A50). Relative uncertainty
in average air temperature ranged from 1.52% (Summer 2017) to 3.23% (Winter 2017/18).
Overall, uncertainty in K_{AW} ranged from 50.06% (Summer 2017) to 50.1% (Winter 2017/18).
Uncertainty in water-air exchange flux was calculated using the same procedure as described
earlier in Equations (A38) to (A45).

419
$$\frac{\delta K_{AW}}{K_{AW}} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\delta H_C}{H_C}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\delta T_A}{T_A}\right)^2}$$
 – Equation (A50)

A comparison of the fluxes for each deployment period derived from the Henry's Law constants approach and the approach presented in the main text ($K_{AW} = K_{PE-W}/K_{PE-A}$) is presented in **Table A19**. For the Spring, Summer, and Winter deployment periods, the fluxes and air-water transfer rates from the two approaches are similar. The annual air-water transfer rate estimated from 2 methods was also similar (180 ± 19 g/year from " $K_{AW} = K_{PE-W}/K_{PE-A}$ " approach vs 220 ± 23 g/year from Henry's Law constant approach).

For Fall 2017, both the fluxes and transfer rates for Σ PCBs based on Henry's Law constants 426 were each 66% higher than those based on use of $K_{AW} = K_{PE-W}/K_{PE-A}$ " approach. These differences 427 may be related to higher temperature gradient observed between the surface water and air, as well 428 as the lower air temperature observed during this deployment period as compared to other 429 deployment periods. Average air temperature (279K) was 9 degrees lower than the average surface 430 water temperature (288K) during the Fall 2017, while difference in air and water temperature over 431 other deployment periods was less than 3 degrees. Possible differences in the sensitivity of H_C, 432 K_{PE-A}, and K_{PE-W} to changes in temperature and its impact on the K_{AW} values may have contributed 433 to the larger differences in water-air exchange fluxes from the two methods during the Fall 2017 434 deployment. We tested this hypothesis by assuming $T_A = 285$ K and $T_W = 288$ K for Fall 2017 flux 435 436 calculations. Under this scenario, the fluxes based on the two methods showed better agreement $(140 \text{ ng/m}^2/\text{day from } \text{``K}_{AW} = \text{K}_{PE-W}/\text{K}_{PE-A}$ " approach vs 180 ng/m²/day from Henry's Law constant 437 approach). Increasing air temperature by 6 degrees increased flux from " $K_{AW} = K_{PE-W}/K_{PE-A}$ " 438 approach by 31%, while change in flux from "Henry's Law constant" approach was less than 1%. 439 This indicates higher sensitivity of K_{PE-A} to temperature corrections, especially at lower 440 temperatures, that may partially explain the differences in fluxes in Fall 2017. 441

443 A12. Reporting concentrations below MDL

In the present work, concentrations of congeners that were detected below the MDL were 444 set to zero. We compared water-air diffusive fluxes from this approach to those calculated when 445 concentrations below MDL are assigned a value equal to 1/2 MDL. This comparison was performed 446 for the Spring 2017 and Winter 2017/18 deployment periods which exhibited the highest and the 447 lowest flux, respectively. Differences in the average water concentration were negligible based on 448 these two methods (Table A20). Average air-phase concentrations increased by 2 pg/m^3 for both 449 450 Spring 2017 and Winter 2017/18 periods when concentrations of congeners below MDL were set 451 to $\frac{1}{2}$ of their respective MDL. These increases represent changes of 1.7 and 6.5%, respectively. 452 However, differences in fluxes based on these two methods were negligible.

			Latitude,			Deployn	nent Dates	
Location	Code	Location description	Longitude	Туре	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Fall 2017	Winter 2017/18
Anacostia R. near O St. Outfall (DC)	ARO	Diamond Teague Park	38.872014, -77.004611	River	3/23/2017 - 6/28/2017	6/27/2017 – 9/15/2017	9/15/2017 - 12/13/2017	12/13/2017 – 3/28/2018
Anacostia R. Kingman Island (DC)	ARK	Benning road NE and Oklahoma Ave NE, access the parking on Oklahoma Ave NE	38.894155, -76.965957	River	3/23/2017 – 6/28/2017	6/27/2017 – 9/15/2017	9/15/2017 – 12/13/2017	12/13/2017 – 3/28/2018
Anacostia R. Pepco 101 (DC)	ARP101	Anacostia Ave NE, near River Terrace Education Campus.	38.896279, -76.961056	River	3/23/2017 – Not recovered	6/27/2017 – 9/15/2017	9/15/2017 – 12/13/2017	12/13/2017 – 3/28/2018
Anacostia R. Pepco 013 (DC)	ARP013	Anacostia Ave NE, north of Benning Rd NE.	38.901213, -76.958252	River	3/23/2017 - 6/28/2017	6/27/2017 – 9/15/2017	9/15/2017 - 12/13/2017	12/13/2017 – 3/28/2018
Washington Channel Outfall 057 (DC)	WAC	Washington Marina	38.881983, -77.029287	River	3/23/2017 – 6/28/2017	6/27/2017 – 9/15/2017	9/15/2017 – 12/13/2017	12/13/2017 – Not recovered
River Terrace (DC)	RT	420 34th Street NE, RT Education Campus	38.895653, -76.959092	Land	3/13/2017 - 7/6/2017	7/6/2017 — 10/19/2017	10/19/2017 – 1/18/2018	1/18/2018 – 5/10/2018
McMillan Reservoir (DC)	MMR	2500 1st St., NW	38.923438, -77.012289	Land	3/13/2017 - 7/6/2017	7/6/2017 — 10/19/2017	10/19/2017 – 1/18/2018	1/18/2018 – 5/10/2018
Hains Point (DC)	HP	1100 Ohio Drive SW	38.8573, -77.02235	Land	3/13/2017 - 7/6/2017	N/A	N/A	N/A
American University (DC)	AU	SIS building	38.93715, -77.0879	Land	5/3/2017 - 7/6/2017	7/6/2017 — 10/19/2017	10/19/2017 – 1/18/2018	1/18/2018 – 5/10/2018
Hensen Center	ECC	Earth Conservation Corps, 2000 Half St SW	38.86538, -77.01055	Land	N/A	7/6/2017 – 10/19/2017	10/19/2017 – 1/18/2018	1/18/2018 – 5/10/2018
Lower Beaverdam Creek (MD)	LBC	Next to Kenilworth Avenue, near USGS Gauge 1651730	38.91607, -76.932217	Land	3/30/2017 – 7/11/2017	7/6/2017 – 10/19/2017	10/19/2017 – 1/19/2018	1/19/2018 – 5/10/2018
UMBC (MD)	UMBC	Engineering building	39.2546, -76.71408	Land (Reference)	5/19/2017 – 7/11/2017	7/6/2017 — 10/19/2017	10/19/2017 – 1/19/2018	1/19/2018 – 5/14/2018

		Water	(pg/L)			Air (p	g/m³)		
PCB Congener	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Fall 2017	Winter 2017/18	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Fall 2017	Winter 2017/18	
1	9.68E+01	9.68E+01	9.68E+01	9.68E+01	2.76E+02	2.76E+02	2.76E+02	2.76E+02	
3	4.39E+01	4.39E+01	4.39E+01	4.39E+01	9.76E+01	9.76E+01	9.76E+01	9.76E+01	
4+10	1.73E+01	1.73E+01	1.73E+01	1.73E+01	4.09E+01	4.09E+01	4.09E+01	4.09E+01	
7+9	7.27E-01	7.27E-01	7.27E-01	7.27E-01	2.97E+00	2.97E+00	2.97E+00	2.97E+00	
6	2.23E+00	2.23E+00	2.23E+00	2.23E+00	8.02E+00	8.02E+00	8.02E+00	8.02E+00	
8+5	3.63E+00	3.63E+00	3.63E+00	3.63E+00	1.19E+01	1.19E+01	1.19E+01	1.19E+01	
19	1.13E+00	1.13E+00	1.13E+00	1.13E+00	3.62E+00	3.62E+00	3.62E+00	3.62E+00	
12+13	4.18E-01	4.18E-01	4.18E-01	4.18E-01	9.46E-01	9.46E-01	9.46E-01	9.46E-01	
18	3.03E-01	3.03E-01	3.03E-01	3.03E-01	1.06E+00	1.06E+00	1.06E+00	1.06E+00	
17+15	9.05E-01	9.05E-01	9.05E-01	9.05E-01	2.55E+00	2.55E+00	2.55E+00	2.55E+00	
24+27	2.80E-01	2.80E-01	2.80E-01	2.80E-01	1.10E+00	1.10E+00	1.10E+00	1.10E+00	
16+32	6.14E-01	6.14E-01	6.14E-01	6.14E-01	1.30E+00	1.30E+00	1.30E+00	1.30E+00	
26	2.10E-01	2.10E-01	2.10E-01	2.10E-01	1.10E+00	1.10E+00	1.10E+00	1.10E+00	
25	1.39E+00	1.39E+00	2.52E-01	2.52E-01	6.60E+00	6.60E+00	1.19E+00	1.19E+00	
31	1.40E-01	1.40E-01	1.40E-01	1.40E-01	6.62E-01	6.62E-01	6.62E-01	6.62E-01	
28	1.66E-01	1.66E-01	1.66E-01	1.66E-01	7.12E-01	7.12E-01	7.12E-01	7.12E-01	
21+33+53	2.43E-01	2.43E-01	2.43E-01	2.43E-01	7.00E-01	7.00E-01	7.00E-01	7.00E-01	
51	4.85E-02	4.85E-02	4.85E-02	4.85E-02	2.07E-01	2.07E-01	2.07E-01	2.07E-01	
22	2.91E-01	2.91E-01	2.91E-01	2.91E-01	8.65E-01	8.65E-01	8.65E-01	8.65E-01	
45	1.11E-01	1.11E-01	1.11E-01	1.11E-01	4.37E-01	4.37E-01	4.37E-01	4.37E-01	
46	9.95E-02	9.95E-02	9.95E-02	9.95E-02	2.87E-01	2.87E-01	2.87E-01	2.87E-01	
52+43	7.22E-02	7.22E-02	7.22E-02	7.22E-02	3.58E-01	3.58E-01	3.58E-01	3.58E-01	
49	7.26E-02	7.26E-02	7.26E-02	7.26E-02	3.40E-01	3.40E-01	3.40E-01	3.40E-01	
47	3.74E-02	3.74E-02	3.74E-02	3.74E-02	1.59E-01	1.59E-01	1.59E-01	1.59E-01	
48	5.71E-02	5.71E-02	5.71E-02	5.71E-02	2.18E-01	2.18E-01	2.18E-01	2.18E-01	
44	1.01E-01	1.01E-01	1.01E-01	1.01E-01	3.19E-01	3.19E-01	3.19E-01	3.19E-01	

 Table A2. Method Detection Limits for PCB Congeners

Table A2 continued

		Wa	ter (pg/L)		Air (pg/m ³)				
PCB Congener	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Fall 2017	Winter 2017/18	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Fall 2017	Winter 2017/18	
37	7.54E-02	7.54E-02	7.54E-02	7.54E-02	1.88E-01	1.88E-01	1.88E-01	1.88E-01	
42	2.94E-01	2.94E-01	2.94E-01	2.94E-01	8.72E-01	8.72E-01	8.72E-01	8.72E-01	
41+71	3.97E-01	3.97E-01	3.97E-01	3.97E-01	8.44E-01	8.44E-01	8.44E-01	8.44E-01	
64	3.65E-02	3.65E-02	3.65E-02	3.65E-02	1.89E-01	1.89E-01	1.89E-01	1.89E-01	
40	9.72E-02	9.72E-02	9.72E-02	9.72E-02	1.93E-01	1.93E-01	1.93E-01	1.93E-01	
100	4.01E-02	4.01E-02	1.23E-02	1.23E-02	2.90E-01	2.90E-01	8.87E-02	8.87E-02	
63	1.01E-02	1.01E-02	1.01E-02	1.01E-02	5.03E-02	5.03E-02	5.03E-02	5.03E-02	
74	1.26E-02	1.26E-02	1.26E-02	1.26E-02	6.31E-02	6.31E-02	6.31E-02	6.31E-02	
70+76	1.98E-02	1.98E-02	1.98E-02	1.98E-02	9.05E-02	9.05E-02	9.05E-02	9.05E-02	
66+95	3.90E-02	3.90E-02	3.90E-02	3.90E-02	2.16E-01	2.16E-01	2.16E-01	2.16E-01	
91	2.34E-02	2.34E-02	2.34E-02	2.34E-02	1.20E-01	1.20E-01	1.20E-01	1.20E-01	
56+60	2.32E-02	2.32E-02	2.32E-02	2.32E-02	6.89E-02	6.89E-02	6.89E-02	6.89E-02	
92+84+89	2.86E-02	2.86E-02	2.86E-02	2.86E-02	1.93E-01	1.93E-01	1.93E-01	1.93E-01	
101	2.03E-02	2.03E-02	2.03E-02	2.03E-02	1.12E-01	1.12E-01	1.12E-01	1.12E-01	
99	7.40E-03	7.40E-03	7.40E-03	7.40E-03	3.79E-02	3.79E-02	3.79E-02	3.79E-02	
83	8.16E-03	8.16E-03	8.16E-03	8.16E-03	2.80E-02	2.80E-02	2.80E-02	2.80E-02	
97	6.31E-03	6.31E-03	6.31E-03	6.31E-03	2.18E-02	2.18E-02	2.18E-02	2.18E-02	
81+87	1.62E-02	1.62E-02	1.62E-02	1.62E-02	5.68E-02	5.68E-02	5.68E-02	5.68E-02	
85	1.00E-02	1.00E-02	1.00E-02	1.00E-02	3.13E-02	3.13E-02	3.13E-02	3.13E-02	
136	1.30E-02	1.30E-02	1.30E-02	1.30E-02	4.80E-02	4.80E-02	4.80E-02	4.80E-02	
110+77	6.03E-03	6.03E-03	6.03E-03	6.03E-03	2.53E-02	2.53E-02	2.53E-02	2.53E-02	
82+151	1.37E-02	1.37E-02	1.37E-02	1.37E-02	3.63E-02	3.63E-02	3.63E-02	3.63E-02	
135+144	2.18E-02	2.18E-02	2.18E-02	2.18E-02	1.87E-01	1.87E-01	1.87E-01	1.87E-01	
107	9.24E-03	9.24E-03	9.24E-03	9.24E-03	4.57E-02	4.57E-02	4.57E-02	4.57E-02	
149+123	3.58E-03	3.58E-03	3.58E-03	3.58E-03	1.32E-02	1.32E-02	1.32E-02	1.32E-02	
118	2.66E-03	2.66E-03	2.66E-03	2.66E-03	1.33E-02	1.33E-02	1.33E-02	1.33E-02	

Table A2 continued

			Water (pg/L)	Air (pg/m ³)				
PCB Congener	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Fall 2017	Winter 2017/18	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Fall 2017	Winter 2017/18
134	5.02E-03	5.02E-03	5.02E-03	5.02E-03	3.23E-02	3.23E-02	3.23E-02	3.23E-02
114+131	6.99E-03	6.99E-03	6.99E-03	6.99E-03	8.97E-02	8.97E-02	8.97E-02	8.97E-02
146	1.61E-03	1.61E-03	1.61E-03	1.61E-03	9.62E-03	9.62E-03	9.62E-03	9.62E-03
153	2.75E-03	2.75E-03	2.75E-03	2.75E-03	1.65E-02	1.65E-02	1.65E-02	1.65E-02
132	4.97E-03	4.97E-03	4.97E-03	4.97E-03	1.87E-02	1.87E-02	1.87E-02	1.87E-02
105	7.08E-04	7.08E-04	7.08E-04	7.08E-04	2.15E-03	2.15E-03	2.15E-03	2.15E-03
141	3.30E-03	3.30E-03	3.30E-03	3.30E-03	4.82E-02	4.82E-02	4.82E-02	4.82E-02
137+176+130	1.29E-03	1.29E-03	1.29E-03	1.29E-03	1.18E-02	1.18E-02	1.18E-02	1.18E-02
163+138	1.68E-03	1.68E-03	1.68E-03	1.68E-03	1.24E-02	1.24E-02	1.24E-02	1.24E-02
158	1.21E-03	1.21E-03	1.21E-03	1.21E-03	1.25E-02	1.25E-02	1.25E-02	1.25E-02
178+129	2.30E-03	2.30E-03	2.30E-03	2.30E-03	4.55E-02	4.55E-02	4.55E-02	4.55E-02
175	8.76E-04	8.76E-04	8.76E-04	8.76E-04	1.08E-02	1.08E-02	1.08E-02	1.08E-02
187+182	9.76E-04	9.76E-04	9.76E-04	9.76E-04	1.36E-02	1.36E-02	1.36E-02	1.36E-02
183	4.39E-04	4.39E-04	4.39E-04	4.39E-04	5.47E-03	5.47E-03	5.47E-03	5.47E-03
128	1.28E-03	1.28E-03	1.28E-03	1.28E-03	2.86E-03	2.86E-03	2.86E-03	2.86E-03
185	4.80E-04	4.80E-04	4.80E-04	4.80E-04	5.21E-03	5.21E-03	5.21E-03	5.21E-03
174	7.77E-04	7.77E-04	7.77E-04	7.77E-04	1.28E-02	1.28E-02	1.28E-02	1.28E-02
177	2.63E-03	2.63E-03	2.63E-03	2.63E-03	1.74E-02	1.74E-02	1.74E-02	1.74E-02
202+171+156	1.19E-03	1.19E-03	1.19E-03	1.19E-03	1.53E-02	1.53E-02	1.53E-02	1.53E-02
157+200	9.47E-04	9.47E-04	9.47E-04	9.47E-04	7.42E-03	7.42E-03	7.42E-03	7.42E-03
180	2.15E-03	2.15E-03	2.15E-03	2.15E-03	3.41E-02	3.41E-02	3.41E-02	3.41E-02
193	3.24E-03	3.24E-03	4.82E-03	4.82E-03	3.25E-02	3.25E-02	4.84E-02	4.84E-02
191	3.75E-02	3.75E-02	1.03E-03	1.03E-03	6.31E-01	6.31E-01	1.74E-02	1.74E-02
199	9.50E-04	9.50E-04	9.50E-04	9.50E-04	6.71E-03	6.71E-03	6.71E-03	6.71E-03
170+190	9.99E-04	9.99E-04	9.99E-04	9.99E-04	7.74E-03	7.74E-03	7.74E-03	7.74E-03
198	2.73E-04	2.73E-04	2.73E-04	2.73E-04	3.21E-03	3.21E-03	3.21E-03	3.21E-03

Table A2 continued

		Water	(pg/L)		Air (pg/m ³)				
PCB Congener	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Fall 2017	Winter 2017/18	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Fall 2017	Winter 2017/18	
201	6.25E-04	6.25E-04	6.25E-04	6.25E-04	1.85E-02	1.85E-02	1.85E-02	1.85E-02	
203+196	4.55E-04	4.55E-04	4.55E-04	4.55E-04	1.07E-02	1.07E-02	1.07E-02	1.07E-02	
208+195	1.61E-04	1.61E-04	1.61E-04	1.61E-04	1.91E-03	1.91E-03	1.91E-03	1.91E-03	
207	7.71E-05	7.71E-05	7.71E-05	7.71E-05	1.13E-03	1.13E-03	1.13E-03	1.13E-03	
194	1.31E-04	1.31E-04	1.31E-04	1.31E-04	5.50E-03	5.50E-03	5.50E-03	5.50E-03	
205	1.18E-04	1.18E-04	1.18E-04	1.18E-04	1.29E-03	1.29E-03	1.29E-03	1.29E-03	
206	2.75E-03	2.75E-03	3.41E-05	3.41E-05	8.62E-02	8.62E-02	1.07E-03	1.07E-03	
209	2.58E-05	2.58E-05	2.58E-05	2.58E-05	3.50E-04	3.50E-04	3.50E-04	3.50E-04	

	PE blanks ((n=7)	PE blanks (ng/g) (n=7)		nks (Water, ng/L) n=17)	Procedure Blanks (Air, pg/m3) (n=9)	
PCB Congener	Average	SD	Average	SD	Average	SD
1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
(4+10)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
(7+9)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
6	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
(8+5)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
19	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
(12+13)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
18	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
(17+15)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
(24+27)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
(16+32)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
26	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
25	0.89	1.21	8.19E-05	3.38E-04	0.75	2.06
31	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
28	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
(21+33+53)	0.74	1.26	ND	ND	ND	ND
51	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
22	0.10	0.19	ND	ND	ND	ND
45	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
46	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
(52+43)	0.20	0.53	ND	ND	ND	ND
49	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
47	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
48	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
44	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
37	0.76	0.07	ND	ND	ND	ND
42	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
(41+71)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
64	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

Table A3. Average Concentrations of PCB Congeners detected in PE and procedure blanks

Table A3 continued

	PE blanks (1	PE blanks (ng/g) Procedure Blank		ks (Water, ng/L)	Procedure Blanks (Air, pg/m3)	
	(n=7)	-	(n=	-17)	(n=9)	
PCB Congener	Average	SD	Average	PCB Congener	Average	SD
40	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
100	ND	ND	2.36E-06	9.73E-06	ND	ND
63	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
74	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
(70+76)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
(66+95)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
91	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
(56+60)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
(92+84+89)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
101	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
99	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
83	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
97	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
(81+87)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
85	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
136	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
(110+77)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
(82+151)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
(135+144)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
107	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
(149+123)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
118	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
134	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
(114+131)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
146	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
153	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
132	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
105	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
141	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
(137+176+130)	2.07	N/A	ND	ND	ND	ND

Table A3 continued

	PE blanks (n (n=7)	ng/g)	Procedure Blan (n=	ks (Water, ng/L) =17)	Procedure Blanks (Air, (n=9)	, pg/m3)
PCB Congener	Average	SD	Average	PCB Congener	Average	SD
(163+138)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
158	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
(178+129)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
175	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
(187+182)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
183	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
128	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
185	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
174	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
177	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
(202+171+156)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
(157+200)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
180	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
193	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.10	0.14
191	ND	ND	2.47E-06	9.10E-06	ND	ND
199	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
(170+190)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
198	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
201	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
(203+196)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
(208+195)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
207	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
194	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.03	0.09
205	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
206	ND	ND	1.61E-07	6.66E-07	ND	ND
209	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

Notes:

466 • ND: Not Detected

• N/A: Standard deviation not available as compound detected in only 1 sample (n=1)

Initial PRC concentration (ng/g PE)		Final PRC concentration (ng/g PE)									
		RT-1	RT-2	MMR-1	MMR-2	HP-1	HP-2	LBC-1A	LBC-1B	UMBC-1	
PRC-29	260.29	1.20	1.28	1.21	1.18	1.23	1.15	0.69	1.16	1.67	
PRC-69	201.08	0.38	0.43	0.41	0.40	0.48	0.36	0.52	0.41	0.61	
PRC-155	1038.75	33.84	31.02	11.93	12.95	50.55	30.87	12.08	23.85	68.62	
PRC-192	279.17	251.75	239.67	230.13	231.87	235.93	249.83	294.20*	231.47	276.38	
t (da	ays)	115	115	115	115	115	115	103	103	53	
m	(g)	2.37	2.48	2.33	2.50	2.39	2.15	2.22	2.31	2.01	
Τ (291.52	291.52	291.52	291.52	291.52	291.52	293.59	293.59	296.55		
Sampling R	ate (L/day)	13858	15611	27158	17116	14799	12756	13163	13426	11697	

Table A4. Initial and final PRC concentrations and calculated sampling rates for air samplers in Spring 2017

469 * $PRC_{\text{final}} > PRC_{\text{initial}}$. PRC loss assumed to be % equal to that of LBC1A.

470 **Table A5.** Initial and final PRC concentrations and calculated sampling rates for air samplers at AU site in Spring 2017

Initial DDC as a south	ection (ng/g DE)	Final PRC concentration (ng/g PE)			
Initial PKC concenti	ation (ng/g PE)	AU-1*	AU-2*		
PRC-29	214.30	1.40	1.41		
PRC-69	166.06	0.45	0.56		
PRC-155	846.03	34.35	54.10		
PRC-192	273.31	249.70	239.06		
t (days	5)	64.00	64.00		
m (g)		2.05	2.25		
T (K)		294.98	294.98		
Sampling Rat	e (L/day)	13654	15166		

* PE batch used for AU was different from that used for other locations in Spring 2017.

Initial PRC concentration (ng/g PE)							Final PRO	C concenti	ration (ng	/g PE)			
		AU1	AU2	RT1	RT2	MMR1	MMR2	ECC1	ECC2	LBC-1A	LBC-1B	UMBC-1	UMBC-2
PRC-29	125.72	0.13	0.15	0.16	0.14	0.15	0.20	0.15	0.21	0.21	0.16	0.15	0.05
PRC-69	96.42	0.00	0.02	0.07	0.03	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.04
PRC-155	358.07	4.11	3.30	2.31	4.96	1.41	1.70	4.48	3.03	13.71	5.19	13.27	10.36
PRC-192	37.33	28.78	25.39	29.68	30.11	27.18	24.58	30.09	27.33	33.19	26.50	26.44	30.80
t (da	ys)	105	105	105	105	105	105	105	105	100	100	100	100
m (g	g)	2.28	2.18	2.22	2.39	2.19	2.32	2.55	2.19	2.26	2.25	2.54	2.17
Т (К	K)	296.70	296.70	296.70	296.70	296.70	296.70	296.70	296.70	296.61	296.61	296.07	296.07
Sampling (L/da	g Rate ay)	10278	12756	9532	9908	11140	14575	10602	10941	8250	12641	14836	9581

Table A6. Initial and final PRC concentrations and calculated sampling rates for air samplers in Summer 2017

 Table A7. Initial and final PRC concentrations and calculated sampling rates for air samplers in Fall 2017

Initial PRC concentration (ng/g PE)							Final PRO	C concenti	ration (ng	g PE)			
		AU1	AU2	RT1	RT2	MMR1	MMR2	ECC1	ECC2	LBC-1A	LBC-1B	UMBC-1	UMBC-2
PRC-29	67.53	0.06	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.05	0.03	0.09	0.16	0.74	0.39
PRC-69	49.58	2.42	2.26	1.81	1.71	0.48	1.10	1.16	1.42	1.65	2.41	6.90	5.27
PRC-155	134.30	63.65	64.57	63.06	63.01	47.36	58.63	57.36	57.10	62.90	64.96	85.64	81.21
PRC-192	7.67	6.24	6.34	6.41	6.68	6.40	6.40	7.01	6.43	6.89	6.73	6.73	6.82
t (day	vs)	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92
m (g	g)	2.07	2.18	2.02	1.98	2.05	1.99	2.12	2.11	2.08	2.12	2.06	2.15
T (K	C)	279.38	279.38	279.38	279.38	279.38	279.38	279.38	279.38	279.38	279.38	278.86	278.86
Sampling (L/da	g Rate y)	24567	25404	24255	23556	33211	26103	27420	28224	24608	24037	16743	19329

Table A8. Initial and final PRC concentrations and calculated sampling rates for air samplers in Winter 2017/18

						-	Final PRC	C concent	ration (ng	g/g PE)			
Initial PRC concentration (ng/g PE)		AU1	AU2	RT1	RT2	MMR1	MMR2	ECC1	ECC2	LBC-1A	LBC-1B	UMBC-1	UMBC-2
PRC-29	107.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PRC-69	95.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.34	0.08
PRC-155	59.94	14.20	13.08	12.26	9.90	9.31	7.52	10.29	13.43	11.59	12.66	15.95	14.10
PRC-192*	29.19	33.10*	32.43*	33.82*	32.96*	30.67*	33.83*	33.58*	34.30*	33.87*	29.20*	32.92*	31.74*
t (da	ys)	112	112	112	112	112	112	112	112	112	112	112	112
m (g	g)	2.05	2.12	2.07	2.04	2.21	2.09	2.10	2.22	2.13	2.15	2.13	2.33
T (ŀ	K)	281.99	281.99	281.99	281.99	281.99	281.99	281.99	281.99	281.99	281.99	281.52	281.52
Sampling Ra	te (L/day)	23390	25210	25497	27464	30436	30781	27786	26073	27087	26308	23522	27611

477 * $PRC_{final} > PRC_{initial}$. PRC loss assumed to be 0.

478Table A9. Average air-phase \sum PCB concentrations measured in the present study and the uncertainties in these concentrations479 (pg/m^3)

			(pg/m^3))			
	LBC1	AU	RT	MMR	ECC	UMBC	HP
Spring 2017	490 ± 58	140 ± 19	101 ± 14	110 ± 12	-	410 ± 49	3400 ± 440
Summer 2017	5400 ± 1100	240 ± 30	330 ± 34	260 ± 28	250 ± 27	680 ± 74	-
Fall 2017	810 ± 150	61 ± 8.6	64 ± 8.3	50 ± 7.2	34 ± 4.2	160 ± 37	-
Winter 2017/18	300 ± 41	32 ± 3.9	28 ± 3.5	35 ± 4.1	32 ± 4.6	110 ± 313	-

480 **Notes:**

Uncertainty in average air concentration based on measured uncertainty in PE concentrations (based on replicates), uncertainties in non equilibirum correction factors, and in PE-air partitioning coefficients

483

	LBC1	AU	RT	MMR	HP	UMBC	LBC1	AU	RT	MMR	HP	UMBC	Average feq	f _{eq} uncertainty
PCB Congener	Α	ir-phas	e PCB	concentra	ation (pg/	['] m ³)		I	Uncerta	ainty (pg/				
1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
4+10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
7+9	7.87	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.27	0.00	1.00	0.00
8+5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
12+13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
17+15	7.81	0.00	5.88	3.49	7.60	0.00	6.96	0.00	2.96	1.79	3.80	0.00	1.00	0.00
24+27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
16+32	14.38	0.00	0.71	0.00	1.34	0.00	7.21	0.00	1.06	0.00	2.02	0.00	1.00	0.00
26	12.10	4.54	8.05	5.12	7.43	5.43	6.10	2.66	4.15	2.56	3.98	2.72	1.00	0.00
25	1.76	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.64	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
31	48.93	0.00	1.11	0.00	14.13	0.00	25.32	0.00	0.56	0.00	7.11	0.00	1.00	0.00
28	34.14	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.50	0.00	17.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.27	0.00	1.00	0.00
21+33+53	21.19	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.62	0.00	10.66	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.33	0.00	1.00	0.00
51	2.99	0.00	0.22	0.17	0.00	0.00	4.49	0.00	0.34	0.26	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
22	16.01	0.00	1.12	1.12	9.91	0.00	12.47	0.00	0.68	0.57	4.95	0.00	1.00	0.00
45	3.45	0.00	2.96	1.00	3.34	0.00	1.75	0.00	1.53	0.64	1.87	0.00	1.00	0.00
46	0.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.31	0.00	0.53	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.46	0.00	1.00	0.00
52+43	21.66	0.00	0.00	0.23	130.33	10.48	10.95	0.00	0.00	0.34	66.01	5.24	1.00	0.00
49	8.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.06	0.00	4.44	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.05	0.00	1.00	0.00
47	5.73	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.46	0.00	3.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.73	0.00	1.00	0.00
48	5.14	0.00	5.62	2.49	5.95	3.37	4.76	0.00	2.82	1.61	3.05	1.69	1.00	0.00
44	16.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	63.79	2.84	8.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	32.32	1.42	1.00	0.01

Table A10. Air-phase concentrations of individual PCB congeners (pg/m³) measured in the present study (Spring 2017)

Table A10 continued

	LBC1	AU	RT	MMR	НР	UMBC	LBC1	AU	RT	MMR	HP	UMBC	Average feq	f _{eq} uncertainty
PCB Congener	A	ir-phas	e PCB c	oncentra	tion (pg/1	n ³)			Uncert	ainty (pg				
37	6.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.20	0.00	3.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.64	0.00	0.98	0.04
42	9.13	0.00	0.53	0.69	10.26	0.00	4.74	0.00	0.27	0.36	5.19	0.00	1.00	0.01
41+71	3.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.14	0.00	5.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.58	0.00	0.99	0.02
64	8.85	0.00	0.13	0.25	19.44	0.00	4.44	0.00	0.19	0.38	9.81	0.00	1.00	0.01
40	2.69	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.83	0.00	1.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.42	0.00	0.99	0.02
100	3.46	4.40	2.60	2.70	2.94	2.69	1.82	2.21	1.34	1.37	1.48	1.35	0.98	0.04
63	6.31	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.82	0.00	8.64	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.42	0.00	0.96	0.07
74	4.98	2.01	1.50	1.53	32.74	5.80	3.34	1.02	0.77	0.78	16.80	2.94	0.95	0.08
70+76	7.65	1.87	0.89	2.07	83.47	12.51	3.94	0.96	0.46	1.08	43.13	6.38	0.93	0.09
66+95	26.44	6.74	3.23	6.71	260.72	39.53	14.00	3.44	1.63	3.42	133.23	19.94	0.96	0.06
91	3.62	3.50	2.18	2.49	41.03	8.67	1.87	1.79	1.12	1.27	21.11	4.36	0.97	0.05
56+60	3.99	0.12	0.10	0.58	73.06	9.46	2.66	0.18	0.05	0.33	38.42	4.91	0.89	0.12
92+84+89	7.75	12.25	2.38	5.95	126.02	19.30	5.48	6.26	1.24	3.04	66.18	9.86	0.93	0.10
101	10.69	8.27	3.56	7.01	271.29	36.58	5.64	4.36	1.88	3.73	145.30	19.28	0.86	0.14
99	5.59	5.35	2.54	3.59	93.32	13.52	2.99	2.86	1.37	1.96	50.79	7.22	0.83	0.16
83	0.76	0.37	0.10	0.44	14.86	1.92	0.59	0.20	0.11	0.31	8.13	1.03	0.81	0.16
97	2.18	1.45	0.53	1.25	61.90	7.58	1.27	0.79	0.31	0.70	34.31	4.12	0.79	0.17
81+87	5.73	4.27	2.18	3.58	165.89	17.82	3.23	2.38	1.23	2.05	94.39	9.94	0.73	0.18
85	2.33	1.07	0.38	1.01	57.45	6.67	1.29	0.59	0.24	0.58	32.64	3.70	0.74	0.18
136	1.93	1.36	0.94	1.49	31.07	3.55	1.08	0.73	0.56	0.80	16.76	1.86	0.87	0.14
110+77	11.70	7.64	4.07	6.95	346.12	35.17	6.69	4.37	2.36	4.09	202.21	20.09	0.67	0.19
82+151	3.59	1.90	1.17	1.94	72.49	8.92	2.06	1.04	0.68	1.08	40.67	4.87	0.78	0.17
135+144	3.42	1.97	1.31	2.00	70.56	9.21	2.16	1.09	0.76	1.11	40.10	5.05	0.77	0.17
107	0.70	0.42	0.19	0.43	26.99	2.29	0.43	0.27	0.15	0.33	17.14	1.40	0.50	0.18
149+123	4.42	3.02	1.55	2.56	115.00	11.03	2.83	1.89	0.98	1.72	74.08	6.92	0.44	0.16
118	8.34	4.01	2.11	3.98	259.15	19.85	5.24	2.50	1.32	2.62	165.48	12.26	0.48	0.17
Table A10 continued

	LBC1	AU	RT	MMR	НР	UMBC	LBC1	AU	RT	MMR	НР	UMBC	Average feq	feq uncertainty
PCB Congener	А	ir-phas	e PCB c	oncentra	tion (pg/	m ³)		J	Jncerta	ninty (pg/	[/] m ³)			
134	2.21	0.76	1.17	2.06	13.90	1.31	1.37	0.80	0.65	1.24	7.94	0.72	0.75	0.18
114+131	2.27	6.99	2.56	3.06	40.63	0.49	2.70	3.65	1.37	1.73	21.87	0.26	0.88	0.13
146	6.58	9.23	4.95	3.69	35.75	13.09	4.20	5.89	3.15	2.49	23.37	8.32	0.40	0.16
153	7.23	6.46	4.36	4.41	128.31	14.50	4.69	4.14	2.89	3.29	85.47	9.28	0.37	0.15
132	1.93	0.13	0.14	1.02	81.35	3.86	1.20	0.11	0.08	0.64	51.00	2.34	0.53	0.18
105	0.70	0.54	0.30	0.34	12.94	1.41	0.45	0.34	0.19	0.23	8.54	0.90	0.39	0.16
141	0.48	0.09	0.08	0.24	9.06	1.46	0.40	0.14	0.06	0.13	5.15	0.80	0.78	0.17
137+176+130	2.68	0.98	0.75	2.11	18.50	0.98	2.47	0.79	1.06	1.32	11.41	0.58	0.60	0.19
163+138	9.79	4.20	2.51	4.33	271.54	17.42	6.64	2.69	1.61	2.98	181.22	11.14	0.38	0.15
158	1.24	0.44	0.21	0.62	40.91	2.76	0.78	0.36	0.13	0.41	26.53	1.71	0.46	0.17
178+129	1.20	0.43	0.27	0.55	28.89	1.71	0.76	0.42	0.16	0.36	17.99	1.02	0.57	0.18
175	0.75	0.09	0.04	0.06	2.16	0.49	0.86	0.14	0.03	0.05	1.93	0.31	0.38	0.15
187+182	2.33	2.37	1.27	1.27	12.10	3.76	1.61	1.54	0.82	0.83	7.93	2.37	0.42	0.16
183	0.34	0.02	0.07	0.14	9.31	0.54	0.22	0.04	0.10	0.09	6.25	0.35	0.36	0.15
128	1.26	0.45	0.31	0.42	29.62	2.12	0.86	0.30	0.23	0.31	20.61	1.41	0.25	0.11
185	0.27	0.25	0.31	0.13	1.20	0.39	0.17	0.18	0.31	0.09	0.81	0.25	0.39	0.15
174	1.57	0.54	0.80	0.57	15.29	0.88	1.26	0.33	0.82	0.35	9.77	0.53	0.53	0.18
177	0.25	0.04	0.28	0.18	8.18	0.43	0.23	0.07	0.35	0.13	5.70	0.28	0.28	0.12
202+171+156	5.31	0.46	0.52	0.25	1.06	1.74	7.07	0.32	0.55	0.18	0.70	1.13	0.34	0.14
157+200	1.57	0.76	0.54	0.46	4.51	1.60	1.37	0.51	0.37	0.35	3.13	1.07	0.25	0.11
180	6.68	7.22	4.55	3.39	21.34	10.71	4.46	4.73	3.04	2.40	14.49	7.02	0.30	0.13
193	39.68	15.78	11.90	5.30	14.49	22.77	29.16	10.42	9.95	3.56	9.60	14.93	0.30	0.13
191	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.66	0.00	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.49	0.00	0.14	0.06
199	0.06	0.00	0.09	0.04	0.62	0.17	0.10	0.00	0.14	0.03	0.44	0.11	0.20	0.09
170+190	0.85	0.69	0.45	0.35	8.84	1.28	0.63	0.46	0.31	0.27	6.29	0.86	0.22	0.10
198	0.02	0.09	0.08	0.04	0.09	0.18	0.03	0.08	0.05	0.03	0.14	0.12	0.20	0.09

Table A10 continued

	LBC1	AU	RT	MMR	HP	UMBC	LBC1	AU	RT	MMR	HP	UMBC	Average feq	f _{eq} uncertainty
PCB Congener	A	Air-phas	e PCB c	oncentra	tion (pg/r	n ³)		τ	Uncerta	ainty (pg/	m ³)			
201	3.33	1.43	0.86	0.67	3.32	2.90	3.71	0.99	0.63	0.50	2.40	2.00	0.12	0.06
203+196	1.54	1.44	0.86	0.66	3.36	2.81	1.06	0.96	0.61	0.48	2.31	1.86	0.28	0.12
208+195	0.06	0.00	0.04	0.03	0.32	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.07	0.04	0.23	0.00	0.21	0.09
207	0.11	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.00	0.09	0.05
194	1.49	1.37	0.82	0.47	1.29	1.98	1.74	0.96	0.67	0.33	0.89	1.38	0.11	0.05
205	0.38	0.19	0.13	0.09	0.16	0.22	0.36	0.13	0.10	0.07	0.12	0.15	0.24	0.11
206	0.45	0.14	0.06	0.06	0.18	0.24	0.49	0.12	0.04	0.05	0.13	0.17	0.04	0.02
209	0.07	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.04

Notes:

• Average f_{eq} based on average sampling rate across the sites, average mass of samplers, and average deployment time.

	LBC1	AU	RT	MMR	ECC	UMBC	LBC1	AU	RT	MMR	ECC	UMBC	Average f _{eq}	f _{eq} uncertainty
PCB Congener	Air	-phase	PCB con	ncentrati	on (pg/r	n ³)		I	U ncerta i	inty (pg/ı	n ³)			
1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
4+10	1448.82	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	728.52	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
7+9	116.26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
6	178.98	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.62	92.23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.94	1.00	0.00
8+5	1341.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	709.53	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
19	63.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.57	0.00	33.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.86	0.00	1.00	0.00
12+13	1.45	10.34	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.17	6.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
18	292.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	149.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
17+15	308.00	5.08	22.72	2.99	7.49	5.56	154.36	7.63	11.38	4.49	11.23	2.79	1.00	0.00
24+27	9.89	0.00	0.00	5.73	0.00	0.79	5.34	0.00	0.00	3.45	0.00	1.19	1.00	0.00
16+32	278.81	0.00	18.82	0.00	0.00	0.00	142.11	0.00	9.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
26	45.14	6.21	18.19	8.74	8.07	6.67	22.75	3.10	9.49	4.74	4.04	3.43	1.00	0.00
25	17.11	0.00	3.09	1.43	0.00	0.00	8.71	0.00	2.25	2.15	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
31	204.32	0.00	18.94	0.00	1.76	2.85	102.81	0.00	9.63	0.00	0.91	4.27	1.00	0.00
28	169.75	0.00	8.76	0.00	0.00	0.00	85.60	0.00	4.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
21+33+53	114.27	0.00	4.79	0.00	0.00	0.00	57.47	0.00	2.61	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
51	2.83	0.00	1.26	0.90	0.45	0.51	2.13	0.00	1.90	0.69	0.27	0.76	1.00	0.00
22	93.12	0.00	11.24	0.00	0.00	3.80	46.56	0.00	5.95	0.00	0.00	2.88	1.00	0.00
45	26.93	0.00	10.11	3.52	1.70	1.82	13.61	0.00	5.86	1.76	1.16	1.42	1.00	0.00
46	6.93	0.00	2.51	0.00	0.00	1.08	3.59	0.00	3.76	0.00	0.00	1.62	1.00	0.00
52+43	89.42	5.22	11.78	9.50	8.00	40.75	45.13	2.71	6.69	4.78	4.01	20.43	1.00	0.00
49	47.88	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.78	24.26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.91	1.00	0.00
47	18.85	0.00	2.45	0.18	1.43	1.38	9.60	0.00	2.10	0.27	0.72	0.86	1.00	0.00
48	23.70	2.70	16.08	5.06	4.43	3.49	12.03	1.59	9.45	2.62	2.68	2.28	1.00	0.00
44	62.76	3.32	8.15	4.76	3.42	19.27	31.59	1.68	5.21	2.40	2.08	9.65	1.00	0.00

Table A11. Air-phase concentrations of individual PCB congeners (pg/m³) measured in the present study (Summer 2017)

Table A11 continued

	LBC1	AU	RT	MMR	ECC	UMBC	LBC1	AU	RT	MMR	ECC	UMBC	Average feq	feq uncertainty
PCB Congener	Ai	r-phase	PCB con	ncentrati	on (pg/r	n ³)		U	ncertai	nty (pg/n	1 ³)			
37	13.44	7.01	20.52	8.33	15.55	7.89	6.91	10.51	11.67	12.49	7.87	11.83	0.99	0.01
42	28.60	1.67	1.03	2.56	0.49	3.26	14.33	2.51	1.55	1.45	0.74	2.13	1.00	0.00
41+71	23.08	0.00	2.01	0.00	0.00	2.19	11.87	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.54	1.00	0.00
64	29.12	0.83	4.63	2.92	2.06	6.08	14.73	0.42	2.33	1.59	1.21	3.18	1.00	0.00
40	12.55	0.00	1.65	1.41	0.91	1.55	6.43	0.00	1.25	0.72	1.36	2.33	1.00	0.00
100	8.83	9.71	7.47	8.75	7.71	6.03	4.42	4.86	3.84	4.38	3.89	3.51	0.99	0.02
63	2.59	0.00	0.68	1.79	1.28	1.30	1.47	0.00	1.02	0.91	0.65	1.94	0.98	0.03
74	15.30	5.68	4.79	5.06	5.67	9.44	7.76	2.86	2.54	2.54	2.95	4.92	0.98	0.04
70+76	18.41	5.14	3.96	5.51	4.66	20.99	9.31	2.67	2.10	2.79	2.41	10.60	0.97	0.05
66+95	54.98	18.72	13.85	18.27	16.25	70.29	27.59	9.92	7.14	9.18	8.33	35.25	0.99	0.03
91	7.39	8.58	6.40	8.17	7.20	14.84	3.71	4.45	3.48	4.09	3.60	7.58	0.99	0.02
56+60	13.25	3.44	2.17	3.20	3.62	17.02	6.73	1.86	1.35	1.64	1.84	8.71	0.94	0.08
92+84+89	21.21	29.45	11.22	19.95	18.20	44.76	10.71	14.99	5.77	10.05	9.17	22.55	0.97	0.06
101	20.38	16.25	9.29	15.65	13.61	59.16	10.44	8.43	4.83	8.12	6.99	30.42	0.92	0.10
99	9.32	10.07	6.55	8.66	7.61	21.45	4.87	5.25	3.43	4.54	3.93	11.12	0.90	0.12
83	1.23	1.33	0.62	1.48	1.46	4.14	0.64	0.71	0.76	0.85	0.76	2.16	0.89	0.12
97	4.30	3.07	1.66	3.11	2.57	12.98	2.28	1.61	0.99	1.67	1.35	6.86	0.87	0.13
81+87	9.14	7.92	5.12	7.74	6.47	27.61	4.92	4.28	2.78	4.23	3.47	14.89	0.82	0.16
85	3.82	2.49	1.37	2.49	2.04	10.13	2.04	1.33	0.85	1.38	1.09	5.44	0.84	0.15
136	3.92	3.19	2.50	4.18	5.18	8.66	2.08	1.63	1.65	2.31	2.70	4.63	0.93	0.09
110+77	17.28	13.49	9.17	14.10	12.92	48.88	9.51	7.50	5.06	7.87	7.08	27.04	0.77	0.17
82+151	6.03	3.54	2.84	4.09	4.44	13.22	3.18	1.89	1.53	2.21	2.34	6.93	0.87	0.14
135+144	4.58	3.76	3.35	4.45	4.60	11.71	2.41	2.04	1.82	2.41	2.44	6.16	0.86	0.14
107	0.86	0.86	0.60	0.86	1.06	4.53	0.50	0.54	0.37	0.52	0.63	2.70	0.61	0.19
149+123	7.89	4.42	3.33	4.87	5.16	14.87	4.83	2.75	2.01	3.04	3.13	9.09	0.54	0.18
118	8.12	6.78	4.27	7.48	6.59	24.90	4.86	4.14	2.54	4.60	3.92	15.26	0.58	0.19

496 Table A11 continued

	LBC1	AU	RT	MMR	ECC	UMBC	LBC1	AU	RT	MMR	ECC	UMBC	Average feq	feq uncertainty
PCB Congener	Air	r-phase	PCB co	ncentrati	on (pg/r	n ³)		l	Jncerta	inty (pg/ı	n ³)			
134	3.16	0.36	2.91	2.37	0.59	3.36	1.67	0.19	1.54	3.13	0.32	1.80	0.85	0.15
114+131	2.25	2.51	1.25	1.67	1.47	0.96	1.17	1.30	0.65	0.98	1.28	0.53	0.94	0.08
146	3.08	2.72	2.55	2.37	3.17	4.41	2.00	1.69	1.56	1.47	2.00	2.77	0.50	0.18
153	7.93	4.98	3.95	5.17	6.05	12.49	4.96	3.41	2.44	3.32	3.76	8.09	0.47	0.17
132	3.35	0.89	1.16	2.31	1.00	8.79	1.95	1.36	0.68	1.37	1.53	5.36	0.64	0.19
105	0.49	0.40	0.31	0.40	0.40	1.27	0.31	0.25	0.19	0.25	0.25	0.83	0.49	0.18
141	0.84	0.46	0.38	0.59	0.74	5.89	0.47	0.31	0.20	0.32	0.40	3.35	0.87	0.13
137+176+130	0.81	1.30	0.97	3.13	2.83	3.18	0.46	0.74	1.30	1.83	1.61	1.91	0.71	0.18
163+138	11.88	6.26	5.03	7.81	7.58	23.74	7.39	3.94	3.11	5.05	4.68	15.33	0.48	0.17
158	1.56	0.93	0.62	1.18	1.33	5.20	0.93	0.56	0.37	0.74	0.83	3.23	0.57	0.19
178+129	1.15	0.79	0.46	0.96	0.90	3.58	0.66	0.45	0.27	0.57	0.57	2.11	0.69	0.19
175	0.34	0.08	0.00	0.05	0.08	0.58	0.21	0.05	0.00	0.03	0.12	0.36	0.49	0.17
187+182	1.83	1.33	1.01	1.36	1.59	2.69	1.11	0.84	0.61	0.88	0.97	1.66	0.53	0.18
183	1.10	0.43	0.30	0.51	0.58	1.13	0.68	0.28	0.20	0.36	0.37	0.72	0.46	0.17
128	0.98	0.76	0.64	0.92	0.90	2.25	0.67	0.52	0.41	0.64	0.59	1.53	0.32	0.13
185	0.29	0.23	0.28	0.28	0.26	0.43	0.21	0.15	0.18	0.19	0.16	0.28	0.50	0.18
174	1.60	0.75	0.81	1.06	1.14	1.81	0.94	0.52	0.51	0.73	0.68	1.05	0.65	0.19
177	0.67	0.21	0.29	0.38	0.51	1.04	0.45	0.18	0.22	0.29	0.33	0.68	0.37	0.15
202+171+156	0.60	0.80	0.47	0.45	0.63	2.74	0.42	0.58	0.39	0.31	0.41	1.81	0.44	0.16
157+200	0.15	0.24	0.20	0.23	0.37	0.76	0.09	0.20	0.15	0.16	0.27	0.52	0.34	0.14
180	7.73	3.86	4.26	4.00	4.38	4.73	4.91	2.56	2.71	2.63	2.78	3.16	0.40	0.16
193	31.75	7.41	9.77	6.96	6.80	7.84	20.16	4.90	6.23	4.51	4.34	4.98	0.40	0.16
191	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.24	0.40	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.38	0.19	0.09
199	0.13	0.11	0.19	0.18	0.16	0.39	0.10	0.08	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.33	0.27	0.12
170+190	1.72	0.73	0.73	0.77	0.78	1.06	1.13	0.56	0.48	0.54	0.52	0.78	0.30	0.13
198	0.00	0.11	0.07	0.07	0.04	0.27	0.00	0.08	0.11	0.05	0.06	0.25	0.27	0.12

497 Table A11 continued

	LBC1	AU	RT	MMR	ECC	UMBC	LBC1	AU	RT	MMR	ECC	UMBC	Average feq	f _{eq} uncertainty
PCB Congener	Ai	r-phase	PCB con	ncentrati	on (pg/r	n ³)		τ	J ncerta i	inty (pg/r	n ³)			
201	1.17	1.82	1.34	1.47	1.55	3.28	0.82	1.31	0.91	1.03	1.06	2.40	0.17	0.08
203+196	1.44	1.45	1.22	1.19	1.32	2.90	0.93	0.97	0.78	0.80	0.85	1.96	0.37	0.15
208+195	0.12	0.10	0.06	0.10	0.11	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.10	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.29	0.12
207	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.08	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.05	0.14	0.06
194	3.32	1.00	1.30	0.89	0.91	0.35	2.28	0.69	0.91	0.61	0.63	0.27	0.15	0.07
205	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.33	0.14
206	0.12	0.14	0.13	0.10	0.13	0.33	0.09	0.11	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.24	0.06	0.03
209	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.06

Notes:

• Average f_{eq} based on average sampling rate across the sites, average mass of samplers, and average deployment time.

	LBC1	AU	RT	MMR	ECC	UMBC	LBC1	AU	RT	MMR	ECC	UMBC	Average f _{eq}	f _{eq} uncertainty
PCB Congener	Ai	r-phase	PCB co	ncentrati	ion (pg/i	m ³)		ι	J ncertai	nty (pg/n	1 ³)			
1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
4+10	234.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	117.23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
7+9	22.47	4.49	2.21	4.14	2.01	2.50	11.51	2.71	3.32	2.37	1.26	0.00	1.00	0.00
6	18.26	0.00	0.00	1.77	0.00	2.99	9.40	0.00	0.00	2.66	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
8+5	161.73	7.81	6.80	2.46	0.00	32.10	81.57	5.61	3.69	3.70	0.00	33.40	1.00	0.00
19	5.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
12+13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
18	39.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.98	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
17+15	42.33	0.00	2.31	0.82	0.00	0.00	24.53	0.00	1.25	1.23	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
24+27	0.22	0.47	0.00	0.00	0.34	0.00	0.32	0.24	0.00	0.00	0.52	0.00	1.00	0.00
16+32	37.06	0.00	0.91	0.00	0.00	0.78	18.84	0.00	0.93	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
26	7.35	1.69	2.37	1.55	1.57	2.67	3.90	1.24	1.19	0.78	1.14	1.46	1.00	0.01
25	2.65	0.46	0.00	0.00	0.60	0.76	1.52	0.69	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.52	0.99	0.02
31	37.38	2.11	4.65	1.52	1.82	4.08	19.20	1.36	2.33	0.76	1.05	1.97	0.99	0.02
28	31.39	0.22	2.58	0.00	0.12	1.49	16.11	0.33	1.29	0.00	0.17	1.22	0.99	0.03
21+33+53	19.56	0.19	1.28	0.00	0.00	1.08	10.17	0.29	0.64	0.00	0.00	0.63	0.99	0.03
51	0.40	0.54	0.28	0.18	0.18	0.19	0.20	0.51	0.14	0.27	0.27	0.00	0.99	0.02
22	16.99	0.89	1.90	0.43	0.64	1.95	8.72	0.76	0.96	0.22	0.40	0.97	0.98	0.04
45	4.63	0.98	0.93	0.65	0.64	0.99	2.32	0.90	0.47	0.33	0.32	0.50	1.00	0.01
46	0.80	0.46	0.23	0.06	0.12	1.29	0.40	0.37	0.12	0.09	0.17	0.65	0.99	0.03
52+43	16.71	2.96	3.15	2.88	2.02	9.77	8.56	1.53	1.62	1.54	1.03	4.79	0.95	0.08
49	9.46	1.52	1.25	0.65	0.56	2.42	4.90	0.80	0.75	0.39	0.31	1.39	0.93	0.09
47	3.80	0.76	0.80	0.44	0.43	0.79	2.01	0.40	0.43	0.23	0.25	0.39	0.91	0.11
48	3.81	0.16	1.07	0.42	0.45	0.66	2.02	0.24	0.56	0.23	0.23	0.61	0.93	0.09
44	12.40	1.36	1.91	1.09	0.55	4.89	6.72	0.71	1.04	0.56	0.28	2.72	0.91	0.11

Table A12. Air-phase concentrations of individual PCB congeners (pg/m³) measured in the present study (Fall 2017)

503 Table A12 continued

	LBC1	AU	RT	MMR	ECC	UMBC	LBC1	AU	RT	MMR	ECC	UMBC	Average feq	feq uncertainty
PCB Congener	Α	ir-phase	PCB co	ncentrati	ion (pg/	m ³)		τ	J ncertai	nty (pg/n	1 ³)			
37	3.59	0.00	2.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.24	0.00	3.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.78	0.17
42	6.49	1.48	1.29	1.23	0.96	1.76	3.50	0.77	1.10	0.64	0.50	1.18	0.89	0.12
41+71	5.30	0.16	0.82	0.30	0.27	1.63	2.95	0.24	0.50	0.16	0.15	1.70	0.85	0.14
64	5.80	0.93	1.04	0.72	0.47	1.50	3.08	0.53	0.56	0.39	0.26	0.91	0.90	0.12
40	2.28	0.29	0.77	0.45	0.24	0.71	1.23	0.31	0.45	0.25	0.14	0.84	0.85	0.14
100	2.14	2.91	2.36	2.11	0.83	1.65	1.18	1.60	1.31	1.17	1.26	0.86	0.76	0.18
63	0.27	0.22	0.26	0.22	0.19	0.00	0.33	0.17	0.15	0.13	0.12	0.00	0.68	0.19
74	3.37	1.41	1.18	0.96	0.81	1.48	1.95	0.81	0.69	0.56	0.47	0.80	0.65	0.19
70+76	4.61	0.85	0.90	0.94	0.54	3.46	2.70	0.50	0.53	0.55	0.34	1.92	0.62	0.19
66+95	12.75	3.54	3.10	3.77	2.46	13.21	7.25	2.01	1.76	2.14	1.45	7.22	0.69	0.19
91	2.01	1.76	1.34	1.52	1.16	3.52	1.14	0.98	0.75	0.85	0.66	2.01	0.73	0.18
56+60	2.98	0.41	0.39	0.48	0.31	2.70	1.79	0.25	0.24	0.30	0.20	1.69	0.55	0.18
92+84+89	4.59	4.72	2.95	3.12	2.19	8.77	2.71	2.77	1.74	1.83	1.29	5.33	0.61	0.19
101	4.05	2.34	1.65	2.58	1.76	9.05	2.49	1.45	1.01	1.59	1.08	5.58	0.49	0.18
99	2.59	2.34	1.80	1.94	1.47	3.73	1.62	1.46	1.12	1.21	0.92	2.46	0.45	0.17
83	0.39	0.26	0.17	0.23	0.47	0.64	0.25	0.18	0.17	0.15	0.33	0.39	0.44	0.17
97	0.86	0.35	0.12	0.42	0.34	1.84	0.54	0.22	0.10	0.28	0.22	1.06	0.42	0.16
81+87	1.59	0.92	0.55	0.97	0.63	4.60	1.02	0.59	0.36	0.63	0.41	3.55	0.36	0.15
85	0.63	0.24	0.04	0.20	0.18	2.56	0.40	0.15	0.06	0.14	0.14	2.59	0.38	0.15
136	0.68	0.70	0.28	0.50	0.73	2.35	0.43	0.43	0.24	0.31	0.55	1.71	0.50	0.18
110+77	3.82	2.09	1.47	2.37	1.80	8.47	2.49	1.37	0.96	1.54	1.17	5.47	0.32	0.13
82+151	1.03	0.53	0.18	0.43	0.46	1.91	0.67	0.34	0.15	0.28	0.32	1.13	0.40	0.16
135+144	0.74	0.60	0.25	0.47	0.40	1.78	0.51	0.39	0.20	0.32	0.26	1.04	0.39	0.15
107	0.27	0.22	0.09	0.13	0.12	0.48	0.21	0.16	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.39	0.21	0.09
149+123	1.23	0.67	0.36	0.74	0.43	2.36	0.85	0.46	0.26	0.51	0.32	1.45	0.17	0.08
118	1.68	0.77	0.50	0.84	0.47	2.88	1.14	0.53	0.35	0.57	0.35	1.80	0.19	0.09

504 Table A12 continued

	LBC1	AU	RT	MMR	ECC	UMBC	LBC1	AU	RT	MMR	ECC	UMBC	Average feq	feq uncertainty
PCB Congener	Ai	ir-phase	PCB co	ncentrati	ion (pg/I	m ³)		J	Jncertai	nty (pg/n	1 ³)			
134	0.31	0.53	0.43	0.61	0.27	0.51	0.36	0.34	0.28	0.39	0.39	0.32	0.37	0.15
114+131	0.12	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.14	0.18	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.51	0.18
146	0.55	0.49	0.42	0.37	0.38	0.66	0.39	0.33	0.29	0.26	0.26	0.41	0.15	0.07
153	0.98	0.45	0.35	0.51	0.37	1.34	0.67	0.31	0.24	0.35	0.26	0.85	0.14	0.07
132	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.30	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.24	0.22	0.10
105	0.11	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.16	0.07	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.10	0.15	0.07
141	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.38	0.15
137+176+130	0.12	0.07	0.04	0.19	0.05	0.07	0.09	0.06	0.03	0.13	0.07	0.04	0.25	0.11
163+138	1.42	0.36	0.29	0.64	0.33	1.75	0.98	0.25	0.20	0.44	0.23	1.10	0.14	0.07
158	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.11	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.07	0.18	0.08
178+129	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.10
175	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.03	0.14	0.06
187+182	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.07
183	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.06
128	0.15	0.06	0.07	0.09	0.05	0.19	0.11	0.04	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.13	0.09	0.04
185	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.07
174	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.09
177	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.05
202+171+156	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.06
157+200	0.00	0.48	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.04
180	0.75	0.35	0.38	0.40	0.35	0.51	0.53	0.25	0.27	0.28	0.25	0.35	0.10	0.05
193	4.83	0.35	0.90	0.57	0.51	1.20	3.48	0.24	0.63	0.40	0.36	0.76	0.10	0.05
191	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.02
199	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.03
170+190	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.03
198	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.06	0.06	0.03

505 Table A12 continued

	LBC1	AU	RT	MMR	ECC	UMBC	LBC1	AU	RT	MMR	ECC	UMBC	Average feq	feq uncertainty
PCB Congener	Ai	ir-phase	PCB co	ncentrati	ion (pg/ı	m ³)		τ	Uncertai	nty (pg/n	1 ³)			
201	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.02
203+196	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.04
208+195	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.03
207	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.01
194	0.31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.02
205	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.04
206	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01
209	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.01

506

507 Notes:

• Average f_{eq} based on average sampling rate across the sites, average mass of samplers, and average deployment time.

	LBC1	AU	RT	MMR	ECC	UMBC	LBC1	AU	RT	MMR	ECC	UMBC	Average f _{eq}	f _{eq} uncertainty
PCB Congener	А	ir-phase	e PCB co	ncentrat	ion (pg/r	n ³)		1	Uncertai	nty (pg/n	n ³)			
1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
4+10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
7+9	7.83	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.39	4.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.74	1.00	0.00
6	9.85	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.91	6.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
8+5	57.94	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	29.73	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
19	3.84	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
12+13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
18	20.59	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
17+15	25.03	2.25	4.09	3.07	2.47	3.15	12.83	1.21	2.06	1.54	1.32	1.50	1.00	0.00
24+27	1.74	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.53	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
16+32	24.55	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.54	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
26	5.25	1.70	2.68	1.70	1.48	1.54	2.63	0.88	1.39	0.89	0.75	0.89	1.00	0.00
25	2.99	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
31	20.89	0.32	1.51	0.55	0.76	1.87	10.62	0.17	0.83	0.28	0.45	0.92	1.00	0.00
28	15.66	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.64	7.98	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.35	1.00	0.00
21+33+53	10.84	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.41	5.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.20	1.00	0.00
51	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.06	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
22	9.33	0.00	0.62	0.00	0.19	0.90	4.74	0.00	0.31	0.00	0.19	0.46	1.00	0.01
45	2.76	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.28	1.41	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.48	1.00	0.00
46	0.97	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.32	0.13	0.49	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.48	0.00	1.00	0.00
52+43	8.33	0.33	0.00	0.00	0.27	6.35	4.32	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.41	3.15	0.99	0.02
49	3.79	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.71	2.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.34	0.99	0.02
47	1.90	0.07	0.00	0.04	0.04	0.13	1.02	0.10	0.00	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.99	0.03
48	2.30	0.43	0.82	0.57	0.49	0.50	1.21	0.27	0.46	0.29	0.33	0.23	0.99	0.02
44	5.14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.35	2.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.12	0.98	0.03

Table A13. Air-phase concentrations of individual PCB congeners (pg/m³) measured in the present study (Winter 2017/18)

511 Table A13 continued

	LBC1	AU	RT	MMR	ECC	UMBC	LBC1	AU	RT	MMR	ECC	UMBC	Average feq	feq uncertainty
PCB Congener	А	ir-phase	PCB co	ncentrat	ion (pg/r	n ³)		1	Uncertai	nty (pg/n	n ³)			
37	1.92	1.57	1.32	1.07	1.47	0.00	0.99	1.01	0.68	0.55	0.98	0.00	0.93	0.10
42	2.97	0.42	0.33	0.32	0.10	0.61	1.52	0.32	0.17	0.20	0.10	0.40	0.98	0.04
41+71	2.76	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.78	0.00	1.41	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.68	0.00	0.96	0.06
64	2.88	0.37	0.39	0.30	0.36	0.96	1.48	0.24	0.20	0.21	0.22	0.46	0.98	0.04
40	1.10	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.12	0.44	0.55	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.12	0.25	0.96	0.06
100	1.07	0.85	0.71	0.75	1.14	0.84	0.56	0.44	0.37	0.40	0.90	0.42	0.91	0.11
63	0.33	0.02	0.05	0.14	0.09	0.19	0.19	0.02	0.05	0.11	0.09	0.12	0.86	0.14
74	1.86	0.42	0.35	0.55	0.12	1.68	1.01	0.26	0.19	0.34	0.18	0.93	0.84	0.15
70+76	2.64	0.56	0.36	0.76	0.43	3.54	1.51	0.30	0.21	0.43	0.26	1.93	0.81	0.16
66+95	6.97	1.98	1.30	2.42	1.61	10.87	3.87	1.04	0.74	1.30	0.89	5.80	0.87	0.14
91	1.13	1.10	0.74	1.02	0.82	2.04	0.60	0.57	0.41	0.53	0.43	1.07	0.90	0.12
56+60	2.30	0.82	0.49	0.55	0.45	2.63	1.33	0.46	0.28	0.31	0.25	1.43	0.75	0.18
92+84+89	2.85	3.67	2.07	2.65	2.35	7.72	1.59	2.02	1.14	1.45	1.32	4.19	0.80	0.16
101	2.58	1.94	1.16	2.35	1.70	8.55	1.52	1.10	0.66	1.36	0.96	4.96	0.69	0.19
99	1.42	1.26	0.83	1.23	1.02	3.03	0.84	0.73	0.48	0.72	0.59	1.81	0.65	0.19
83	0.33	0.33	0.32	0.42	0.50	0.75	0.20	0.19	0.20	0.26	0.32	0.69	0.64	0.19
97	0.63	0.40	0.22	0.46	0.35	2.32	0.39	0.24	0.14	0.30	0.22	1.53	0.61	0.19
81+87	1.00	0.68	0.38	0.80	0.49	3.61	0.65	0.41	0.24	0.51	0.30	2.23	0.54	0.18
85	0.53	0.23	0.07	0.20	0.11	1.54	0.34	0.14	0.08	0.14	0.11	0.98	0.56	0.18
136	0.46	0.72	0.51	0.54	0.56	1.27	0.28	0.41	0.38	0.31	0.47	0.84	0.71	0.18
110+77	2.82	2.16	1.21	2.24	1.58	8.41	1.82	1.34	0.77	1.41	1.02	5.36	0.49	0.18
82+151	0.85	0.69	0.27	0.56	0.50	1.50	0.52	0.41	0.21	0.35	0.38	1.58	0.59	0.19
135+144	0.60	0.80	0.38	0.61	0.52	1.48	0.36	0.48	0.25	0.38	0.36	1.00	0.58	0.19
107	0.20	0.29	0.11	0.16	0.14	0.62	0.13	0.19	0.07	0.11	0.09	0.39	0.33	0.14
149+123	0.87	0.73	0.37	0.87	0.59	2.40	0.60	0.48	0.25	0.59	0.39	1.61	0.28	0.12
118	1.44	0.83	0.49	1.18	0.66	3.46	1.00	0.54	0.32	0.81	0.44	2.36	0.31	0.13

512 Table A13 continued

	LBC1	AU	RT	MMR	ECC	UMBC	LBC1	AU	RT	MMR	ECC	UMBC	Average feq	feq uncertainty
PCB Congener	A	ir-phase	e PCB co	ncentrat	ion (pg/r	n ³)		1	Uncertai	nty (pg/n	n ³)			
134	0.43	0.27	0.06	0.07	0.19	0.38	0.27	0.16	0.04	0.04	0.19	0.48	0.56	0.18
114+131	0.52	0.69	0.61	0.63	0.54	1.22	0.36	0.39	0.35	0.37	0.32	0.65	0.71	0.18
146	0.37	0.34	0.29	0.35	0.34	0.52	0.26	0.22	0.19	0.24	0.23	0.34	0.25	0.11
153	0.75	0.45	0.33	0.72	0.45	1.73	0.54	0.30	0.22	0.51	0.31	1.15	0.23	0.10
132	0.66	0.37	0.35	0.66	0.36	1.55	0.45	0.24	0.23	0.45	0.24	0.98	0.36	0.14
105	0.10	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.06	0.20	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.14	0.25	0.11
141	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.68	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.57	0.57	0.19
137+176+130	0.15	0.36	0.56	0.94	1.78	0.28	0.19	0.23	0.35	0.61	1.13	0.48	0.40	0.16
163+138	1.33	0.66	0.53	1.20	0.67	3.41	0.97	0.44	0.37	0.85	0.45	2.37	0.24	0.11
158	0.19	0.08	0.09	0.15	0.08	0.79	0.13	0.05	0.08	0.11	0.06	0.52	0.29	0.13
178+129	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.39	0.05	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.24	0.37	0.15
175	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.25	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.20	0.23	0.10
187+182	0.07	0.00	0.01	0.08	0.03	0.27	0.08	0.00	0.01	0.07	0.02	0.17	0.26	0.11
183	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.13	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.08	0.22	0.10
128	0.19	0.09	0.04	0.10	0.05	0.35	0.16	0.07	0.03	0.11	0.05	0.24	0.15	0.07
185	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.01	0.06	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.04	0.24	0.11
174	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.01	0.18	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.01	0.11	0.34	0.14
177	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.17	0.08
202+171+156	0.54	0.04	0.02	0.08	0.02	0.29	0.45	0.05	0.03	0.06	0.02	0.19	0.20	0.09
157+200	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.08	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.05	0.15	0.07
180	0.56	0.31	0.27	0.34	0.29	0.37	0.43	0.21	0.18	0.24	0.20	0.26	0.17	0.08
193	7.16	0.00	0.00	1.04	1.06	1.41	4.89	0.00	0.00	0.71	0.72	1.03	0.17	0.08
191	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.04
199	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.05
170+190	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.06
198	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.05

513 Table A13 continued

	LBC1	AU	RT	MMR	ECC	UMBC	LBC1	AU	RT	MMR	ECC	UMBC	Average feq	feq uncertainty
PCB Congener	A	ir-phase	e PCB co	ncentrat	ion (pg/r	n ³)		1	Uncertai	nty (pg/n	n ³)			
201	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.03
203+196	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.15	0.07
208+195	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.05
207	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.02
194	0.70	0.36	0.21	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.53	0.55	0.27	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.06	0.03
205	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.06
206	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.01
209	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.02

514

515 Notes:

• Average f_{eq} based on average sampling rate across the sites, average mass of samplers, and average deployment time.

	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Fall 2017	Winter 2017/18	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Fall 2017	Winter 2017/18
PCB Congener	Di	ssolved PCBs in s	surface water (ng	g/m ³)		Uncertai	nty (ng/m ³)	
1	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	88.87
3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4+10	33.73	20.29	55.04	0.00	96.90	61.71	120.38	0.00
7+9	0.00	0.53	0.44	0.00	0.00	1.62	0.97	0.00
6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
8+5	5.63	9.27	6.48	0.00	10.94	28.19	14.33	0.00
19	6.80	12.51	9.37	5.04	4.36	8.61	9.15	2.75
12+13	0.99	0.51	0.00	0.00	1.32	1.55	0.00	0.00
18	22.87	29.51	30.03	12.81	18.89	34.15	47.34	8.17
17+15	42.54	45.31	39.79	22.96	26.72	43.17	49.08	12.68
24+27	4.71	6.76	4.84	2.77	3.84	4.52	3.81	1.62
16+32	42.47	58.91	45.17	23.57	29.91	49.61	54.01	13.00
26	9.19	9.19	9.55	5.57	6.57	9.00	12.21	3.05
25	4.31	3.39	3.54	2.05	3.55	4.05	5.44	1.15
31	23.76	26.29	23.61	13.33	17.41	22.03	28.82	7.34
28	34.03	35.42	28.25	19.37	25.56	26.97	28.11	11.14
21+33+53	8.40	9.96	10.28	2.18	6.45	6.97	14.32	1.99
51	20.03	25.09	16.74	7.95	12.47	13.15	8.73	5.73
22	14.38	13.63	12.80	6.63	10.46	13.76	17.00	3.95
45	12.40	10.77	7.68	2.95	10.31	8.35	7.21	1.65
46	6.33	5.28	5.07	1.95	4.39	4.46	4.70	1.16
52+43	60.45	68.74	61.89	28.06	33.73	41.58	54.00	15.70
49	23.20	25.28	20.79	12.54	14.50	14.69	19.61	7.18
47	25.51	28.10	22.73	7.06	15.71	16.35	15.08	4.48
48	4.95	4.06	3.88	3.20	3.32	3.15	4.00	1.89
44	37.63	42.15	35.70	19.03	23.67	29.01	32.38	10.55

Table A14. Average dissolved concentration of individual PCB congeners (ng/m³) measured in the Anacostia River

Table A14 continued

	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Fall 2017	Winter 2017/18	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Fall 2017	Winter 2017/18
PCB Congener	Di	ssolved PCBs in s	urface water (ng	g/m ³)		Uncertai	nty (ng/m ³)	
37	10.51	10.43	10.07	5.73	7.27	6.98	7.01	3.48
42	13.94	15.68	13.43	8.22	9.15	10.94	12.01	4.82
41+71	18.80	20.32	19.52	8.16	11.42	12.76	17.19	4.86
64	10.64	11.40	10.34	5.46	7.34	6.94	8.55	3.28
40	20.98	25.42	17.62	8.81	17.12	16.87	12.75	5.90
100	4.10	1.48	1.14	5.05	3.91	1.76	1.09	3.95
63	1.77	1.20	1.19	0.58	1.40	0.78	0.87	0.57
74	11.92	9.64	7.51	6.56	9.42	6.48	5.31	4.83
70+76	13.67	9.84	10.07	5.53	8.31	6.05	8.89	3.62
66+95	52.91	45.84	43.67	17.52	31.29	27.27	36.49	13.33
91	10.40	8.84	8.02	2.96	6.10	5.34	6.34	2.28
56+60	15.47	12.94	8.79	6.46	9.80	8.18	9.43	4.11
92+84+89	53.41	41.36	21.12	51.92	43.75	26.47	17.46	38.82
101	26.57	17.55	18.86	9.09	17.69	12.11	16.54	6.43
99	10.71	6.46	6.12	4.49	7.10	4.48	5.06	3.10
83	5.47	3.39	2.09	1.33	4.47	2.75	1.64	1.03
97	6.37	4.86	4.56	2.30	4.25	3.37	3.70	1.57
81+87	16.07	11.06	8.72	4.97	10.42	7.27	6.79	3.55
85	6.19	4.65	3.62	2.54	4.11	3.08	2.79	1.71
136	7.41	5.81	5.33	3.01	4.39	4.00	4.57	2.01
110+77	37.30	21.21	20.20	13.91	25.30	14.91	16.40	20.31
82+151	10.69	6.31	6.59	4.37	6.97	4.42	5.58	3.09
135+144	5.84	2.44	2.90	2.41	4.34	1.98	2.52	1.83
107	1.96	0.59	0.78	0.47	1.70	0.53	0.67	0.37
149+123	13.71	5.72	7.02	5.97	10.36	4.60	6.03	4.58
118	14.06	5.16	6.37	5.31	12.47	4.60	5.22	3.82

520 Table A14 continued

	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Fall 2017	Winter 2017/18	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Fall 2017	Winter 2017/18
PCB Congener	Di	ssolved PCBs in s	surface water (ng	g/m ³)		Uncertai	nty (ng/m ³)	
134	8.25	2.41	0.65	0.16	19.01	3.75	0.63	36.41
114+131	5.19	1.31	1.16	8.01	4.65	1.21	1.15	6.44
146	4.81	1.22	1.54	1.33	3.66	1.07	1.23	0.94
153	10.57	3.41	4.63	5.04	8.75	3.07	3.78	3.60
132	8.10	3.65	4.18	2.94	5.86	2.88	3.61	2.26
105	0.98	0.39	0.41	0.36	0.82	0.34	0.33	0.28
141	1.72	0.62	0.72	0.20	1.36	0.57	0.71	0.21
137+176+130	1.63	0.47	0.52	0.78	1.36	0.39	0.48	1.40
163+138	17.89	6.25	8.10	6.04	15.76	5.83	6.79	4.26
158	2.18	0.62	0.74	0.02	2.17	0.65	0.63	0.02
178+129	1.89	0.57	0.52	0.51	1.86	0.59	0.51	0.37
175	0.18	0.04	0.06	Not reported	0.13	0.04	0.06	
187+182	2.38	0.76	0.96	Not reported	1.83	0.86	0.86	
183	1.03	0.33	0.49	0.00	0.75	0.35	0.45	0.00
128	2.63	0.99	1.09	2.63	2.10	0.88	0.93	0.65
185	0.23	0.08	0.11	Not reported	0.17	0.08	0.10	
174	2.11	0.70	0.99	Not reported	1.55	0.67	0.96	
177	1.37	0.47	0.66	Not reported	1.00	0.45	0.61	
202+171+156	0.86	0.65	0.15	Not reported	1.40	0.90	0.28	
157+200	0.51	0.08	0.10	Not reported	0.51	0.12	0.11	
180	2.77	0.90	1.44	Not reported	2.09	1.02	1.12	
193	0.00	1.06	Not reported	Not reported	0.00	1.20		
191	0.00	0.00	Not reported	Not reported	0.00	0.00		
199	0.10	0.04	Not reported	Not reported	0.15	0.07		
170+190	0.95	0.38	Not reported	Not reported	0.72	0.43		
198	0.08	0.01	Not reported	Not reported	0.06	0.01		

521 Table A14 continued

	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Fall 2017	Winter 2017/18	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Fall 2017	Winter 2017/18
PCB Congener	Dis	ssolved PCBs in s	urface water (ng	g/m ³)		Uncertai	nty (ng/m³)	
201	0.41	0.51	Not reported	Not reported	0.29	0.98		
203+196	Not reported	0.43	Not reported	Not reported		0.81		
208+195	0.07	0.03	Not reported	Not reported	0.05	0.04		
207	Not reported	0.01	Not reported	Not reported		0.02		
194	Not reported	0.08	Not reported	Not reported		0.18		
205	Not reported	0.00	Not reported	Not reported		0.00		
206	Not reported	0.01	Not reported	Not reported		0.03		
209	Not reported	0.00	Not reported	Not reported		0.00		

522

523 **Notes:**

• N/A: Congener detected in less than 2 individual samples

• Not reported: Values not reported as $f_{eq} < 0.1$ in most samples

	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Fall 2017	Winter 2017/18	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Fall 2017	Winter 2017/18
PCB Congener		Average feq for	· water samplers			Uncerta	inty in f _{eq}	
1	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
3	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4+10	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
7+9	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
6	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
8+5	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
19	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
12+13	1.00	1.00	0.99	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01
18	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01
17+15	1.00	1.00	0.99	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.01
24+27	1.00	1.00	0.98	0.99	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.03
16+32	1.00	1.00	0.99	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.01
26	0.96	0.98	0.91	0.87	0.06	0.03	0.11	0.13
25	0.96	0.98	0.90	0.87	0.07	0.04	0.11	0.14
31	0.96	0.98	0.90	0.87	0.07	0.04	0.11	0.14
28	0.96	0.98	0.90	0.87	0.07	0.04	0.11	0.14
21+33+53	0.98	0.99	0.94	0.93	0.04	0.02	0.09	0.10
51	0.97	0.99	0.92	0.89	0.05	0.03	0.10	0.12
22	0.98	0.99	0.94	0.92	0.04	0.02	0.09	0.10
45	0.99	0.99	0.95	0.95	0.03	0.02	0.07	0.08
46	0.99	0.99	0.95	0.95	0.03	0.02	0.07	0.08
52+43	0.92	0.96	0.84	0.76	0.11	0.06	0.15	0.17
49	0.89	0.95	0.81	0.71	0.12	0.08	0.16	0.18
47	0.89	0.95	0.81	0.71	0.12	0.08	0.16	0.18
48	0.92	0.96	0.85	0.78	0.10	0.06	0.15	0.17
44	0.93	0.97	0.87	0.80	0.09	0.05	0.14	0.16

527 Table A15. Average f_{eq} for individual PCB congeners for water samplers

528 Table A15 continued

	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Fall 2017	Winter 2017/18	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Fall 2017	Winter 2017/18
PCB Congener		Average feq for	• water samplers			Uncerta	inty in f _{eq}	
37	0.90	0.95	0.82	0.73	0.12	0.07	0.16	0.18
42	0.93	0.97	0.86	0.80	0.10	0.06	0.14	0.17
41+71	0.90	0.95	0.82	0.73	0.12	0.07	0.16	0.18
64	0.83	0.92	0.74	0.61	0.15	0.10	0.18	0.19
40	0.96	0.98	0.91	0.87	0.06	0.03	0.11	0.13
100	0.63	0.79	0.55	0.36	0.19	0.17	0.18	0.15
63	0.68	0.83	0.59	0.41	0.19	0.16	0.19	0.16
74	0.66	0.81	0.57	0.39	0.19	0.16	0.19	0.15
70+76	0.68	0.83	0.59	0.42	0.19	0.15	0.19	0.16
66+95	0.68	0.83	0.59	0.42	0.19	0.15	0.19	0.16
91	0.71	0.85	0.62	0.45	0.18	0.15	0.19	0.17
56+60	0.72	0.85	0.63	0.46	0.18	0.14	0.19	0.17
92+84+89	0.69	0.83	0.60	0.43	0.19	0.15	0.19	0.16
101	0.52	0.71	0.45	0.26	0.18	0.18	0.17	0.11
99	0.51	0.70	0.44	0.25	0.18	0.18	0.17	0.11
83	0.61	0.78	0.53	0.34	0.19	0.17	0.18	0.14
97	0.59	0.76	0.51	0.32	0.19	0.17	0.18	0.13
81+87	0.56	0.74	0.48	0.30	0.18	0.18	0.17	0.13
85	0.58	0.75	0.50	0.31	0.19	0.18	0.18	0.13
136	0.64	0.80	0.56	0.37	0.19	0.16	0.18	0.15
110+77	0.49	0.68	0.42	0.24	0.18	0.19	0.16	0.11
82+151	0.49	0.68	0.42	0.24	0.18	0.19	0.16	0.11
135+144	0.34	0.54	0.29	0.13	0.14	0.18	0.13	0.06
107	0.31	0.51	0.27	0.12	0.13	0.18	0.12	0.06
149+123	0.31	0.51	0.27	0.12	0.13	0.18	0.12	0.06
118	0.29	0.49	0.26	0.11	0.13	0.18	0.11	0.05

529 Table A15 continued

	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Fall 2017	Winter 2017/18	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Fall 2017	Winter 2017/18
PCB Congener		Average feq for	• water samplers	5		Uncerta	inty in f _{eq}	
134	0.40	0.60	0.35	0.17	0.16	0.19	0.14	0.08
114+131	0.36	0.56	0.31	0.15	0.15	0.18	0.13	0.07
146	0.23	0.41	0.20	0.07	0.10	0.16	0.09	0.04
153	0.21	0.39	0.19	0.07	0.10	0.15	0.09	0.03
132	0.38	0.59	0.33	0.16	0.15	0.19	0.14	0.08
105	0.34	0.54	0.30	0.14	0.14	0.18	0.13	0.06
141	0.26	0.45	0.22	0.09	0.11	0.17	0.10	0.04
137+176+130	0.27	0.46	0.23	0.09	0.12	0.17	0.10	0.05
163+138	0.22	0.40	0.19	0.07	0.10	0.16	0.09	0.03
158	0.18	0.34	0.16	0.05	0.08	0.14	0.07	0.03
178+129	0.21	0.39	0.18	0.07	0.09	0.15	0.08	0.03
175	0.13	0.28	0.12	0.04	0.06	0.12	0.06	0.02
187+182	0.13	0.27	0.12	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.06	0.02
183	0.13	0.27	0.11	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.06	0.02
128	0.29	0.49	0.26	0.11	0.13	0.18	0.11	0.05
185	0.15	0.30	0.13	0.04	0.07	0.13	0.06	0.02
174	0.15	0.30	0.13	0.04	0.07	0.13	0.06	0.02
177	0.16	0.32	0.14	0.05	0.07	0.13	0.07	0.02
202+171+156	0.13	0.28	0.12	0.04	0.06	0.12	0.06	0.02
157+200	0.12	0.26	0.11	0.03	0.06	0.11	0.05	0.02
180	0.09	0.21	0.09	0.02	0.04	0.10	0.04	0.01
193	0.07	0.17	0.06	0.01	0.03	0.08	0.03	0.01
191	0.06	0.16	0.06	0.01	0.03	0.07	0.03	0.01
199	0.13	0.27	0.11	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.06	0.02
170+190	0.09	0.21	0.08	0.02	0.04	0.10	0.04	0.01
198	0.05	0.14	0.05	0.01	0.03	0.07	0.03	0.01

530 Table A15 continued

	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Fall 2017	Winter 2017/18	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Fall 2017	Winter 2017/18		
PCB Congener		Average feq for	• water samplers		Uncertainty in f _{eq}					
201	0.05	0.14	0.05	0.01	0.03	0.07	0.03	0.01		
203+196	0.05	0.14	0.05	0.01	0.03	0.06	0.02	0.01		
208+195	0.05	0.14	0.05	0.01	0.03	0.07	0.03	0.01		
207	0.04	0.12	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.06	0.02	0.00		
194	0.04	0.11	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.00		
205	0.03	0.08	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.00		
206	0.02	0.07	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.00		
209	0.02	0.06	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.00		

Notes:

Average f_{eq} based on k_e (day⁻¹) values estimated for target analytes using average measured k_e (day⁻¹) for PRCs from multiple samples, average deployment time, and average mass of sampler

	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Fall 2017	Winter 2017/18	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Fall 2017	Winter 2017/18
PCB Congener		Flux from water t	o air (ng/m²/	day)		Uncertainty in f	lux (ng/m²/da	y)
1	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.32	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.51
3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4+10	7.94	4.93	8.96	0.00	22.92	15.05	19.77	0.00
7+9	0.00	0.14	-0.30	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.44	0.00
6	0.00	0.00	-0.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.17	0.00
8+5	1.30	2.22	0.37	0.00	2.56	6.79	2.33	0.00
19	1.54	2.88	1.45	1.01	1.09	2.19	1.49	0.63
12+13	0.22	-0.02	0.00	0.00	0.30	0.46	0.00	0.00
18	5.24	6.99	4.72	2.60	4.60	8.36	7.58	1.83
17+15	9.28	10.07	5.72	4.17	6.57	10.44	7.39	2.77
24+27	1.12	1.61	0.81	0.60	0.97	1.21	0.70	0.39
16+32	9.48	13.48	6.68	4.62	7.27	12.21	8.26	2.90
26	2.01	2.01	1.52	1.10	1.72	2.34	2.24	0.76
25	1.03	0.80	0.58	0.44	0.90	1.03	0.96	0.28
31	5.68	6.33	3.80	2.82	4.50	5.76	5.12	1.80
28	8.03	8.55	4.61	4.08	6.49	7.05	4.88	2.65
21+33+53	1.91	2.30	1.51	0.43	1.57	1.79	2.22	0.42
51	4.46	5.75	2.61	1.59	3.08	3.48	1.59	1.24
22	3.13	3.02	1.67	1.24	2.49	3.31	2.46	0.84
45	2.67	2.33	1.08	0.58	2.41	2.03	1.15	0.37
46	1.31	1.12	0.64	0.33	0.99	1.03	0.66	0.23
52+43	13.48	15.58	9.32	5.55	8.54	10.66	8.87	3.53
49	5.22	5.87	3.22	2.53	3.62	3.84	3.28	1.63
47	5.66	6.42	3.42	1.39	3.88	4.22	2.54	0.97
48	0.95	0.69	0.50	0.56	0.79	0.79	0.61	0.40
44	7.93	9.08	4.64	3.45	5.53	6.94	4.58	2.17

Table A16. Water to air diffusive flux $(ng/m^2/day)$ across the seasons for PCB congeners

538 Table A16 continued

	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Fall 2017	Winter 2017/18	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Fall 2017	Winter 2017/18
PCB Congener		Flux from water t	o air (ng/m²/	day)		Uncertainty in f	lux (ng/m²/da	y)
37	2.29	1.81	1.26	0.91	1.73	1.80	1.04	0.71
42	2.87	3.35	1.57	1.43	2.09	2.58	1.64	0.96
41+71	3.95	4.44	2.57	1.43	2.68	3.10	2.43	0.99
64	2.43	2.61	1.64	1.10	1.83	1.81	1.51	0.75
40	4.55	5.69	2.50	1.67	3.96	4.17	2.00	1.23
100	0.84	0.19	0.03	1.00	0.92	0.43	0.25	0.87
63	0.40	0.26	0.17	0.11	0.34	0.20	0.15	0.12
74	2.64	2.12	1.08	1.30	2.28	1.65	0.92	1.05
70+76	2.94	2.10	1.39	1.02	2.03	1.53	1.38	0.76
66+95	11.79	10.29	6.67	3.42	7.93	7.10	6.21	2.89
91	2.15	1.78	1.09	0.51	1.47	1.31	1.04	0.47
56+60	3.19	2.69	1.05	1.07	2.24	1.95	1.23	0.79
92+84+89	10.79	8.33	2.52	9.16	9.65	6.26	2.55	7.54
101	5.57	3.62	2.71	1.65	4.20	2.94	2.70	1.35
99	2.17	1.24	0.72	0.78	1.67	1.08	0.82	0.64
83	1.09	0.67	0.23	0.19	0.96	0.62	0.22	0.19
97	1.23	0.94	0.54	0.36	0.94	0.77	0.50	0.29
81+87	3.02	2.06	0.95	0.77	2.27	1.66	0.88	0.64
85	1.18	0.89	0.41	0.41	0.89	0.70	0.36	0.31
136	1.39	1.07	0.60	0.46	0.96	0.88	0.61	0.37
110+77	7.28	4.09	2.34	2.25	5.59	3.42	2.23	3.58
82+151	2.22	1.30	0.91	0.78	1.63	1.05	0.86	0.62
135+144	1.23	0.49	0.46	0.46	1.02	0.47	0.45	0.39
107	0.41	0.11	0.10	0.07	0.38	0.12	0.10	0.07
149+123	2.61	1.05	0.82	0.96	2.20	1.01	0.80	0.83
118	2.88	0.99	0.85	0.94	2.81	1.07	0.80	0.77

539 Table A16 continued

	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Fall 2017	Winter 2017/18	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Fall 2017	Winter 2017/18	
PCB Congener		Flux from water t	o air (ng/m²/o	day)	Uncertainty in flux (ng/m²/day)				
134	1.70	0.49	0.06	0.02	4.05	0.83	0.11	6.92	
114+131	1.08	0.28	0.21	1.65	1.09	0.29	0.22	1.43	
146	0.82	0.21	0.18	0.22	0.83	0.25	0.19	0.19	
153	2.05	0.63	0.64	0.90	1.94	0.70	0.59	0.72	
132	1.56	0.70	0.51	0.45	1.24	0.63	0.47	0.40	
105	0.17	0.07	0.04	0.05	0.17	0.07	0.04	0.05	
141	0.39	0.14	0.14	0.04	0.33	0.14	0.14	0.05	
137+176+130	0.31	0.07	0.08	0.11	0.30	0.09	0.08	0.27	
163+138	3.47	1.16	1.10	1.02	3.32	1.26	1.01	0.82	
158	0.47	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.50	0.15	0.12	0.01	
178+129	0.39	0.11	0.09	0.10	0.41	0.13	0.09	0.08	
175	0.04	0.01	0.01	N/A	0.03	0.01	0.01		
187+182	0.49	0.15	0.17	N/A	0.42	0.19	0.16		
183	0.22	0.07	0.09	N/A	0.17	0.08	0.08	0.00	
128	0.43	0.15	0.09	0.11	0.39	0.17	0.09	0.19	
185	0.04	0.01	0.02	N/A	0.04	0.02	0.02		
174	0.45	0.15	0.18	N/A	0.36	0.15	0.19		
177	0.27	0.09	0.09	N/A	0.22	0.10	0.09		
202+171+156	0.17	0.13	0.02	N/A	0.29	0.19	0.05		
157+200	0.10	0.01	0.01	N/A	0.12	0.03	0.03		
180	0.54	0.17	0.24	N/A	0.48	0.23	0.22		
193	-0.09	0.20	N/A	N/A	0.10	0.28			
191	0.00	0.00	N/A	N/A	0.00	0.00			
199	0.02	0.00	N/A	N/A	0.03	0.02			
170+190	0.19	0.07	N/A	N/A	0.16	0.10			
198	0.02	0.00	N/A	N/A	0.01	0.00			

540 Table A16 continued

	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Fall 2017	Winter 2017/18	Spring 2017	Summer 2017	Fall 2017	Winter 2017/18
PCB Congener	Flux from water to air (ng/m²/day)			Uncertainty in flux (ng/m²/day)				
201	0.07	0.09	N/A	N/A	0.07	0.21		
203+196	N/A	0.09	N/A	N/A		0.18		
208+195	0.01	0.01	N/A	N/A	0.01	0.01		
207	0.00	0.00	N/A	N/A	0.00	0.00		
194	N/A	0.01	N/A	N/A		0.04		
205	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
206	N/A	0.00	N/A	N/A		0.01		
209	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				

541 Notes:

542 • N/A: No flux values calculated for congener as water $f_{eq} \! < \! 0.1$

Table A17. Contribution of uncertainties in v_{AW} , C_W , C_A , and K_{AW} to the uncertainty in $\sum PCB$ flux

	Average % contribution to uncertainty in DPCB flux						
	VAW CW CA KAW						
Spring 2017	13%	85%	1.0%	0.7%			
Summer 2017	9.4%	87%	2.3%	0.9%			
Fall 2017	8.3%	86%	4.0%	1.5%			
Winter 2017/18	15%	84%	0.9%	0.8%			

Site	Dissolved ∑PCBs (ng/L)	Air-phase ∑PCBs (pg/m ³)	Reference	
Anacostia River	0.38-1.9	28-5400	Present Study	
Raritan Bay	1.4-1.8	472-1865	Totten et al. (2001)	
New York Harbor	3.5-4.2	2789-3502	Totten et al. (2001)	
Indiana Harbor	9-18	780-6800	Martinez et al. (2019)	
Northern Chesapeake Bay	0.246-0.94	5.1-370	Bamford et al. (2002)	
Baltimore Harbor	0.1-1.52	67-1400	Bamford et al. (2002)	
Lower Great Lakes	0.0015-0.105	7.7-634	Liu et al. (2016a)	
Delaware River	0.42-1.65	113-1350	Rowe et al. (2007)	
Hudson River Estuary	0.37-1.6	180-3200	Yan et al. (2008)	

Table A18. Dissolved and air-phase \sum PCB concentrations reported at various sites in the United States

 Table A19. Comparison of \sum PCB water-air diffusive fluxes based on PE-based partitioning coefficients to those based onHenry's Law constant

	Air-water excl	hange flux	Air-water transfer rate			
	(∑PCBs, ng/	/m²/day)	(∑PCBs, g/day)			
	KAW calculated as KPE- KAW calculated		KAW calculated as KPE-	K _{AW} calculated using		
	W/KPE-A	using H _C	W/KPE-A	H _C		
Spring 2017	200 ± 35	220 ± 38	0.68 ± 0.12	0.75 ± 0.13		
Summer 2017	180 ± 33	200 ± 36	0.63 ± 11	0.68 ± 0.12		
Fall 2017	107 ± 29	180 ± 46	0.37 ± 0.10	0.61 ± 0.16		
Winter 2017/18	87 ± 25	104 ± 28	0.30 ± 0.09	0.36 ± 0.10		
Annual Air-Water Transfer Rate (∑PCBs, g/year)			180 ± 19	220 ± 23		

Table A20. Comparis	on of ∑PCB concer	ntrations in surfa	ice water and	d air-phase	e, and w	ater-air ex	change f	lux t	based	on
	methods used	l for reporting co	oncentration	s below M	DL					

	Spi	ring 2017	Winter 2017		
	Concentrations below MDL set to zero	Concentrations below MDL set to 1/2 MDL	Concentrations below MDL set to zero	Concentrations below MDL set to 1/2 MDL	
Average C _w in Anacostia River (ng/L)	0.92	0.92	0.46	0.46	
Average C _A over Anacostia River (pg/m ³)	117	119	32	34	
Flux (ng/m²/day)	+198	+198	+87	+87	



- 561 Figure A1. Surface water sampling locations along the Anacostia River and air sampling locations
- 562 in the watershed



Figure A2. Seasonal variation of PCB concentration in air at the four Washington DC sites and at UMBC showing similar variation across seasons. Error bars indicate propagated uncertainty in Σ PCB concentrations based on propagated uncertainty in concentration of individual PCB congeners



569 Figure A3. Homolog distribution of air-phase PCBs (Spring 2017).

563





571 Figure A4. Homolog distribution of air-phase PCBs (Summer 2017).









Figure A6. Homolog distribution of air-phase PCBs (Winter 2017/18).







Figure A8. Homolog distribution of PCBs at RT and ARP101 sites.



581 Figure A9. Seasonal homolog distribution for dissolved PCBs in Anacostia River.



Figure A10. Claysius-Clapeyron plots ($\ln C_A vs 1/T$) for average air-phase concentrations of PCB 26, 48, 101, 146, 180, and 194 in the watershed.



587 Figure A11. Comparison of range of \sum PCB concentrations in air measured in the present study 588 with those reported previously in the US.

Figure A12. Log-transformed seasonal air-water fugacity ratios for PCB homolog groups, based
on average concentration of PCBs on passive samplers deployed in surface water in the Anacostia
River and in the air around the river.

594 Error bars represent propagated uncertainty in fugacity ratios based on 1 standard deviation in 595 measured PCB concentrations in air- and water-phase PE, and uncertainty in non-equilibrium 596 correction factors.


598 Figure A13. Seasonal homolog distribution for air-water exchange flux for the Anacostia River



599

Figure A14. Comparison of reported values for air-water exchange fluxes of PCBs with thosemeasured in the present study.

602 **References**

- Apell, J.N., Gschwend, P.M., 2017. The atmosphere as a source/sink of polychlorinated
 biphenyls to/from the Lower Duwamish Waterway Superfund site. Environ. Pollut. 227,
 263–270. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.04.070
- Baker, J.E., Church, T.M., Ondov, J.M., Scudlark, J.R., 1994. Chesapeake Bay Atmospheric
 Deposition Study Phase 1: July 1990 June 1991. Annapolis, MD.
- Bamford, H.A., Ko, F.C., Baker, J.E., 2002a. Seasonal and Annual Air Water Exchange of
 Polychlorinated Biphenyls across Baltimore Harbor and the Northern Chesapeake Bay.
 Environ. Sci. Technol. 4245–4252. https://doi.org/10.1021/es0206893
- Bamford, H.A., Poster, D.L., Huie, R.E., Baker, J.E., 2002b. Using extrathermodynamic
 relationships to model the temperature dependence of Henry's law constants of 209 PCB
 congeners. Environ. Sci. Technol. 36, 4395–4402. https://doi.org/10.1021/es020599y
- Buehler, S.S., Basu, I., Hites, R.A., 2004. Causes of Variability in Pesticide and PCB
 Concentrations in Air near the Great Lakes. Environ. Sci. Technol. 38, 414–422.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/es034699v
- 617 Chesapeake Bay Program, 1999. Chesapeake Bay Basin Toxics Loading and Release Inventory.618 Annapolis, MD.
- Ghosh, U., Lombard, N., Bokare, M., Pinkney, A.E., Yonkos, L., Harrison, R., 2020. Passive
 samplers and mussel deployment, monitoring, and sampling for organic constituents in
 Anacostia River tributaries: Final Report 2016-2018. Washington, D.C.
- Gigliotti, C.L., Brunciak, P.A., Dachs, J., Glenn, T.R., Nelson, E.D., Totten, L.A., Eisenreich,
 S.J., 2002. Air-water exchange of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the New York-New
 Jersey, USA, Harbor Estuary. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 21, 235–244.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620210203
- Khairy, M., Lohmann, R., 2013. Feasibility of using low density polyethylene sheets to detect
 atmospheric organochlorine pesticides in Alexandria, Egypt. Environ. Pollut. 181, 151–158.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2013.06.031
- Khairy, M., Muir, D., Teixeira, C., Lohmann, R., 2015. Spatial Distribution, Air–Water Fugacity
 Ratios and Source Apportionment of Polychlorinated Biphenyls in the Lower Great Lakes
 Basin. Environ. Sci. Technol. 49, 13787–13797. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b00186
- Khairy, M., Muir, D., Teixeira, C., Lohmann, R., 2014. Spatial trends, sources, and air-water
 exchange of organochlorine pesticides in the Great Lakes basin using low density
 polyethylene passive samplers. Environ. Sci. Technol. 48, 9315–9324.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/es501686a
- Liu, Y., Wang, S., McDonough, C.A., Khairy, M., Muir, D., Helm, P.A., Lohmann, R., 2016a.
 Gaseous and Freely-Dissolved PCBs in the Lower Great Lakes Based on Passive Sampling:
 Spatial Trends and Air-Water Exchange. Environ. Sci. Technol. 50, 4932–4939.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b04586
- Liu, Y., Wang, S., McDonough, C.A., Khairy, M., Muir, D., Lohmann, R., 2016b. Estimation of

Uncertainty in Air-Water Exchange Flux and Gross Volatilization Loss of PCBs: A Case 641 Study Based on Passive Sampling in the Lower Great Lakes. Environ. Sci. Technol. 50, 642 10894–10902. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b02891 643 Liu, Y., Xie, S., Sun, Y., Ma, L., Lin, Z., Grathwohl, P., Lohmann, R., 2021. In-situ and ex-situ 644 645 measurement of hydrophobic organic contaminants in soil air based on passive sampling: PAH exchange kinetics, non-equilibrium correction and comparison with traditional 646 estimations. J. Hazard. Mater. 410, 124646. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.124646 647 Lohmann, R., 2012. Critical review of low-density polyethylene's partitioning and diffusion 648 coefficients for trace organic contaminants and implications for its use as a passive sampler. 649 Environ. Sci. Technol. 46, 606-618. https://doi.org/10.1021/es202702y 650 651 Lohmann, R., Dapsis, M., Morgan, E.J., Dekany, V., Luey, P.J., 2011. Determining Air-Water Exchange, Spatial and Temporal Trends of Freely Dissolved PAHs in an Urban Estuary 652 Using Passive Polyethylene Samplers. Environ. Sci. Technol. 45, 2655–2662. 653 https://doi.org/10.1021/es1025883 654 Martinez, A., Awad, A.M., Herkert, N.J., Hornbuckle, K.C., 2019. Determination of PCB fluxes 655 from Indiana Harbor and Ship Canal using dual-deployed air and water passive samplers. 656 Environ. Pollut. 244, 469-476. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.10.048 657 Minick, D.J., Anderson, K.A., 2017. Diffusive flux of PAHs across sediment-water and water-658 659 air interfaces at urban superfund sites. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 36, 2281–2289. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.3785 660 Perron, M.M., Burgess, R.M., Suuberg, E.M., Cantwell, M.G., Pennell, K.G., 2013. Performance 661 of passive samplers for monitoring estuarine water column concentrations: 1. Contaminants 662 of concern. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 32, 2182-2189. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.2321 663 Rowe, A.A., Totten, L.A., Xie, M., Fikslin, T.J., Eisenreich, S.J., 2007. Air-water exchange of 664 polychlorinated biphenyls in the Delaware River. Environ. Sci. Technol. 41, 1152–1158. 665 https://doi.org/10.1021/es061797i 666 Rowe, M.D., Perlinger, J.A., 2012. Micrometeorological measurement of hexachlorobenzene and 667 polychlorinated biphenyl compound air-water gas exchange in Lake Superior and 668 comparison to model predictions. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 12, 4607–4617. 669 670 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-4607-2012 Ruge, Z., Muir, D., Helm, P., Lohmann, R., 2015. Concentrations, Trends, and Air-Water 671 Exchange of PAHs and PBDEs Derived from Passive Samplers in Lake Superior in 2011. 672 673 Environ. Sci. Technol. 49, 13777-13786. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b02611 Schenker, U., Macleod, M., Scheringer, M., Hungerbühler, K., 2005. Improving data quality for 674 environmental fate models: A least-squares adjustment procedure for harmonizing 675 physicochemical properties of organic compounds. Environ. Sci. Technol. 39, 8434-8441. 676 https://doi.org/10.1021/es0502526 677 Totten, L.A., Brunciak, P.A., Gigliotti, C.L., Dachs, J., Glenn IV, T.R., Nelson, E.D., Eisenreich, 678 S.J., 2001. Dynamic air-water exchange of polychlorinated biphenyls in the New York-New 679 680 Jersey harbor estuary. Environ. Sci. Technol. 35, 3834-3840.

- 681 https://doi.org/10.1021/es010791k
- U.S. EPA, 2016. Definition and procedure for the determination of the method detection limit,
 Revision 2, EPA 821-R-16-006.
- U.S. EPA, 1997. Lake Michigan Mass Balance Study (LMMB) Methods Compendium. Volume
 2: Organic and Mercury Sample Analysis Techniques.
- Yan, S., Rodenburg, L.A., Dachs, J., Eisenreich, S.J., 2008. Seasonal air-water exchange fluxes
 of polychlorinated biphenyls in the Hudson River Estuary. Environ. Pollut. 152, 443–451.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2007.06.074

689