


This work was written as part of one of the author's official duties as an Employee of the United States Government and is therefore a work of the United States Government. In accordance with 17 U.S.C. 105, no copyright protection is available for such works under U.S. Law. Access to this work was provided by the University of Maryland, Baltimore County (UMBC) ScholarWorks@UMBC digital repository on the Maryland Shared Open Access (MD-SOAR) platform.

Please provide feedback

Please support the ScholarWorks@UMBC repository by emailing [scholarworks-group@umbc.edu](mailto:scholarworks-group@umbc.edu) and telling us what having access to this work means to you and why it's important to you. Thank you.

# Characterizing 15 Years of Saharan-like, Dry, Well-Mixed Air Layers in North Africa

**2A.5**



NASA GSFC Data  
Visualization Studio


**Stephen D. Nicholls (JCET/UMBC)**  
Karen I. Mohr (GSFC), Jaiinn J. Shi  
(MSU/GESTAR), Scott A. Braun  
(GSFC)

33<sup>rd</sup> Conference on Climate Variability and  
Change (13 January 2020)




# North Africa's Climate System (1)

- Vast piece of real estate
  - Spans Equator to 39N, 20W to 50E
  - ~15 million km<sup>2</sup>
- Diverse ecosystems
  - Rainforests to savannas to Desert
  - Sahara 9.4 million km<sup>2</sup> ~ US
- Diverse topography (Sea-level to 3000m+)



Source: dailymail.co.uk




Messenger et al. 2009

# North Africa's Complex Climate System (2)

## West African Monsoon

- Monsoon (W. African Rainbelt Complex)
- Strong thermal contrasts (African Easterly Jet)
- African easterly waves (AEJ instability)
- Mid-latitude systems
- Aerosol-cloud interactions
- Saharan heat low (SHL) and Saharan air layer (SAL)




### Dust Aerosols




### Seasonal Precipitation


#### Abidjan, Ghana



#### Bamako, Mali




### Climate System Overview




# North African Rawinsonde Network (1): “Useful Launches”

## 1948-2017




## 2003-2017




# North African Rawinsonde Network (2): Limitations

**Rawinsonde Launch Frequency  
(2003-2017)**






**AIRS Data Frequency  
(2003-2017)**



# Last Time (AMS 2018)

- Highest in Sahara, lowest at Guinea Coast
- ECMWF & MERRA2 excessively frequent
- AIRS well-matched to rawinsondes
- Potent seasonal cycle (generally highest summer, lowest winter)
- Saharan-like, dry WML can be, but often not dusty (75<sup>th</sup> = 0.37 ODU)
- **Limitation on conclusion:** Non-common vertical resolution




# The follow-on act since AMS 2018

- Original: RAOB, AIRS, AIRS+AMSU, MERRA-2
- Added: JRA-55, ERA-I, ERA-5
- Refined the WML detection methodology
  - Biggest challenge: Mixing ratio lapse ratio (constant vs scaled)
  - Published: Nicholls and Mohr (2019) - JAO Tech
- Unlike 2018, all data evaluated at coarsest vertical resolution
- Presentation goals: Cross-platform assessment of WML-detection and WML-related properties at rawinsonde locations (Reanalysis & AIRS)
- Analysis Period: WAM season (May – Sept) 2003 - 2018

# Saharan-like, Dry Well-mixed Layer Detection Algorithm


- Search for well-mixed layers (WMLs) that could be SAL (ideally not from monsoon-, subsidence-, or mid-latitude-based causes)
- Searches for nearly adiabatic temperature lapse rates with near constant water vapor mixing ratio ( $\leq 7$  g/kg) (i.e., “Saharan-like”).
- Start surface through 500 hPa, each color = new WML
- Continuous WML if temperature and water vapor properties are roughly conserved, otherwise not a WML or a new WML



Constant water vapor mixing ratio      Adiabatic lapse rate


# Dry, Well-Mixed Layer Properties (1): JRA-55

- Shown at native resolution
- 4 model products (JRA-55, MERRA-2, ERA-I, ERA-5)
- Simplified WML algorithm
- Spatial extent of WML insensitive to resolution (synoptic)
- Vertical WML extent sensitive (up to 2km!)
- While largely similar, how does each capture WMLs vs rawinsondes.




# Dry, Well-Mixed Layer Properties (2): MERRA2

- Shown at native resolution
- 4 model products (JRA-55, MERRA-2, ERA-I, ERA-5)
- Simplified WML algorithm
- Spatial extent of WML insensitive to resolution (synoptic)
- Vertical WML extent sensitive (up to 2km!)
- While largely similar, how does each capture WMLs vs rawinsondes.




# Dry, Well-Mixed Layer Properties (3): ERA-I

- Shown at native resolution
- 4 model products (JRA-55, MERRA-2, ERA-I, ERA-5)
- Simplified WML algorithm
- Spatial extent of WML insensitive to resolution (synoptic)
- Vertical WML extent sensitive (up to 2km!)
- While largely similar, how does each capture WMLs vs rawinsondes.



# Dry, Well-Mixed Layer Properties (4): ERA-5

- Shown at native resolution
- 4 model products (JRA-55, MERRA-2, ERA-I, ERA-5)
- Simplified WML algorithm
- Spatial extent of WML insensitive to resolution (synoptic)
- Vertical WML extent sensitive (up to 2km!)
- While largely similar, how does each capture WMLs vs rawinsondes.






# Dry, Well-Mixed Layer Analysis (1): Saharan


## Temperature (K)

## Layer Thickness (m)

## Station Locations



## Water Vapor Mixing Ratio (g/kg)






- Evaluate: 4 reanalysis, 2 AIRS products
- No winds evaluated because AIRS lacks it
- Similar environment (sensible heat) with deep PBLs (up to 5.5 km)
- IQR range of similar for Temp and Mix Ratio (Exc. JRA-55, 5K diff mean)
- Model resolution most notably improves temperatures, but can not reproduce a notably number of WMLs in RAOBs
- Despite assimilating AIRS radiances and RAOBs, ECMWF products favor thicker layers than AIRS.

# Dry, Well-Mixed Layer Analysis (2): Non-Saharan


Temperature (K)

Layer Thickness (m)

Station Locations




Water Vapor Mixing Ratio (g/kg)




- Evaluate: 4 reanalysis, 2 AIRS products
- Non-Saharan WML profiles are cooler, moister and thinner than Saharan stations
- With exception of MERRA-2, all data and model products slightly favor thicker WMLs
- Significance decrease (up to 50% less) in WMLs matched by RAOBs
- Of what is matched, both temperature and mixing ratio deviate more from RAOBs

# Dry, Well-Mixed Layer Analysis (3): N. Non-Saharan


Temperature (K)




Layer Thickness (m)



Station Locations




Water Vapor Mixing Ratio (g/kg)




- Slightly warmer, drier shift matched by all data sources

# Dry, Well-Mixed Layer Analysis (4): S. Non-Saharan


Temperature (K)




Layer Thickness (m)



Station Locations




Water Vapor Mixing Ratio (g/kg)



- Slightly cooler, wetter shift matched by all data sources
- WML layers thinner than north of Sahara due to interference from WAM layer


# WML Detection Accuracy Analysis (1): Saharan

- Pie chart:
  - a) Hit = WML in RAOB and data source
  - B) False positive = WML in data, but not in RAOB
- AIRS-derived WMLs have up to 3.8% fewer false positives vs model analysis (ERA-5)
- However lower raw data resolution of AIRS leads to only a 30% of RAOB WMLs being detected
- All reanalysis products have similar WML detection statistics




# WML Detection Accuracy Analysis (2): Non-Saharan

- Pie chart:
  - a) Hit = WML in RAOB and data source
  - B) False positive = WML in data, but not in RAOB
- Sharp increase (up to 19.5%) in false positives: WMLs thinner
- Similar to Saharan locations, AIRS more accurate than reanalysis, but 75% fewer detections
- Models statistics exhibits similar WML detections statistical characteristics




# WML Detection Accuracy Analysis (3): N. Non-Saharan

- Pie chart:
  - a) Hit = WML in RAOB and data source
  - B) False positive = WML in data, but not in RAOB
- Slightly more accurate statistics than overall non-Saharan cases



# WML Detection Accuracy Analysis (4): S. Non-Saharan

- Pie chart:
  - a) Hit = WML in RAOB and data source
  - B) False positive = WML in data, but not in RAOB
- Interference in monsoon layer challenges the models, but especially AIRS.
- AIRS profiles can not correct fast enough to resolve all, but the thickest WMLs




# Summary

- Evaluated WML detection potential given porous radiosonde network (2003–2018)
- Revised WML detection algorithm and applied to RAOB, 4 reanalysis products (JRA-55, ERA-I, ERA-5, MERRA-2) and 2 AIRS products
- Regional WML data products show WML vertical is dependent upon model vertical resolution (up to 2 km difference)
- ROAB-matched WMLs from model reanalysis and AIRS roughly capture interquartile ranges (temp, mix ratio, thickness)
- Model reanalysis products are able to capture a similar number of WMLs as ROABs, but suffers from a higher false positive rate than AIRS products
- Greatest WML detection issues lie south of Sahara where Saharan-like WMLs are typically thinner and monsoon interference

## Where is the snow?

Created: 01/13/20 at 11:29 UTC



## Boston, MA (4 January 2018)



Thank you for your time!!!!  
Any questions for me?

Contact: [stephen.d.nicholls@nasa.gov](mailto:stephen.d.nicholls@nasa.gov)