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Supplementary Table 1 | Number of neurons recorded for each problem across 15 days

| Day | Problem \#1 | Problem \#2 | Problem \#3 | Problem \#4 | Problem \#5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 282 | 285 | 215 | 202 | 155 |
| 2 | 259 | 288 | 225 | 191 | 185 |
| 3 | 267 | 237 | 242 | 223 | 186 |
| 4 | 264 | 236 | 237 | 172 | 175 |
| 5 | 281 | 235 | 258 | 143 | 188 |
| 6 | 270 | 241 | 260 | 192 | 204 |
| 7 | 275 | 232 | 248 | 215 | 157 |
| 8 | 264 | 224 | 256 | 208 | 187 |
| 9 | 280 | 185 | 238 | 238 | 197 |
| 10 | 281 | 213 | 206 | 205 | 182 |
| 11 | 292 | 223 | 259 | 231 | 160 |
| 12 | 290 | 224 | 242 | 232 | 183 |
| 13 | 279 | 150 | 231 | 230 | 187 |
| 14 | 283 | 206 | 218 | 237 | 173 |
| 15 | 188 | 208 | 235 | 180 | 213 |

Supplementary Table 2 | Number of neurons recorded from each rat across 15 days

| Day | J016 | J086 | J067 | J081 | J083 | J088 | J089 | J091 | J092 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 85 | 216 | 153 | 99 | 71 | 120 | 150 | 73 | 172 |
| 2 | 111 | 204 | 143 | 99 | 63 | 121 | 149 | 88 | 170 |
| 3 | 99 | 207 | 140 | 133 | 35 | 116 | 163 | 85 | 177 |
| 4 | 96 | 149 | 141 | 131 | 38 | 108 | 157 | 94 | 170 |
| 5 | 95 | 160 | 156 | 144 | 40 | 118 | 152 | 97 | 143 |
| 6 | 89 | 202 | 161 | 158 | 35 | 117 | 148 | 111 | 146 |
| 7 | 84 | 205 | 164 | 157 | 36 | 111 | 147 | 71 | 152 |
| 8 | 65 | 205 | 160 | 155 | 29 | 114 | 151 | 72 | 188 |
| 9 | 86 | 197 | 153 | 150 | 34 | 115 | 151 | 98 | 154 |
| 10 | 71 | 201 | 155 | 150 | 28 | 119 | 121 | 55 | 187 |
| 11 | 82 | 188 | 155 | 154 | 32 | 117 | 148 | 97 | 192 |
| 12 | 84 | 213 | 145 | 150 | 35 | 116 | 149 | 89 | 190 |
| 13 | 107 | 204 | 148 | 130 | 32 | 88 | 145 | 68 | 155 |
| 14 | 79 | 212 | 138 | 146 | 38 | 102 | 155 | 67 | 180 |
| 15 | 69 | 213 | 131 | 156 | 37 | 115 | 124 | 87 | 92 |

Supplementary Table 3 | Statistical results for Fig. 1

| Figure | Description | Number of Samples | Test | Statistic | P value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fig. 1d | Effect of 24 trial types and training days (Day 1 vs. Day 15) on \%Correct | Day 1: 37 <br> sessions <br> Day 15: 36 <br> sessions | Two-way ANOVA <br> Factor 1: Trial <br> Type ( $\mathrm{n}=24$ ) <br> Factor 2: Day $(\mathrm{n}=2)$ | Trial Type: $F(23,1704)=86.12$ <br> Day: $F(1,1704)=1073.62$ <br> Interaction: $F(23,1704)=40.49$ | Trial Type: $p=3.4 \times 10^{-265}$ <br> Day: $\mathrm{p}=5.0 \times 10^{-183}$ <br> Interaction: $p=4.6 \times 10^{-143}$ |
| Fig. 1d | \%Correct of each trial type between Day 1 and Day 15 | Day 1: 37 <br> sessions <br> Day 15: 36 <br> sessions | Post-hoc test Tukey's honest significant difference (HSD) | Mean differences (Day 15 Day 1): <br> P1 (S1a, S1b, S2a, S2b): <br> 11.5, 0.27, 2.2, 3.1 <br> P2 (S1a, S1b, S2a, S2b): <br> 44.3, 46.6, 49.5, 41.5 <br> P3 (S1a, S1b, S2a, S2b): <br> 41.3, 46.3, 38.5, 41.8 <br> P4 (S1a, S1b, S2a, S2b): <br> 3.1, 3.6, -1.5, 67.0 <br> P5 (S1a, S1b, S2a, S2b): <br> 33.0, 35.9, 66.1, 3.3 <br> P6 (S1a, S1b, S2a, S2b): <br> 4.3, 4.6, 0.5, 0.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P1: } 0.49,1,1,1 \\ & \text { P2: } 5.9 \times 10^{-6}, 5.9 \times 10^{-6}, 5.9 \times 10^{-6} \text {, } \\ & \text {, } 5.9 \times 10^{-6} \\ & \text { P3: } 5.9 \times 10^{-6}, 5.9 \times 10^{-6}, 5.9 \times 10^{-6} \text {, } \\ & , 5.9 \times 10^{-6} \\ & \text { P4: } 1,1,1,5.9 \times 10^{-6} \\ & \text { P5: } 5.9 \times 10^{-6}, 5.9 \times 10^{-6}, 5.9 \times 10^{-6} \text {, } \\ & 1 \\ & \text { P6: } 1,1,1,1 \end{aligned}$ |
| Fig. 1e | \%Correct changes with trial types (reward vs. non-reward) and training days | Session numbers from Day 1 to Day 15: 37, 40, 40, $38,38,39,38$, 39, 39, 38, 39, 40, 36, 38, 36 | Two-way ANOVA Factor 1: Reward ( $\mathrm{n}=2$ ) <br> Factor 2: Day (n $=15$ ) | Trial Type: $F(1,1120)=1308.32$ <br> Day: $F(14,1120)=44.91$ <br> Interaction: $F(14,1120)=33.47$ | Trial Type: $\mathrm{p}=2.0 \times 10^{-190}$ <br> Day: $\mathrm{p}=4.3 \times 10^{-98}$ <br> Interaction: $p=3.0 \times 10^{-75}$ |
| Fig. 1 f | \%Correct changes with trial types (S2a4 vs. S2b5) and training days | Session numbers from Day 1 to Day 15: 37, 40, 40, $38,38,39,38$, 39, 39, 38, 39, 40, 36, 38, 36 | Two-way ANOVA <br> Factor 1: Trial <br> Type ( $\mathrm{n}=2$ ) <br> Factor 2: Day (n = 15) | Trial Type: $F(1,1120)=20.33$ <br> Day: $F(14,1120)=0.73$ <br> Interaction: $F(14,1120)=0.17$ | Trial Type: $\mathrm{p}=0$ <br> Day: $p=0.74$ <br> Interaction: $p=1$ |
| Fig. 1g | \%Correct changes with trial types (S2b4 vs. S2a5) and training days | Session numbers from Day 1 to Day 15: 37, 40, 40, $38,38,39,38$, 39, 39, 38, 39, 40, 36, 38, 36 | Two-way ANOVA <br> Factor 1: Trial <br> Type ( $\mathrm{n}=2$ ) <br> Factor 2: Day (n $=15$ ) | Trial Type: $F(1,1120)=385.24$ <br> Day: $F(14,1120)=32.96$ <br> Interaction: $F(14,1120)=3.43$ | Trial Type: $p=6.0 \times 10^{-74}$ <br> Day: $\mathrm{p}=3.4 \times 10^{-74}$ <br> Interaction: $p=1.8 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| Fig. 1h | Effect of past and future rewards on poke latency on Day 1 | 37 sessions | Linear regression with four predictors: reward on prior, current, next, and next +1 trials | Prior: $\beta=-0.04$ <br> Current: $\beta=-0.55$ <br> Next: $\beta=-0.18$ <br> Next +1 : $\beta=-0.12$ | Prior: $p=0.16$ <br> Current: $p=1.3 \times 10^{-65}$ <br> Next: $p=2.3 \times 10^{-9}$ <br> Next +1 : $p=2.9 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| Fig. 1 i | Effect of past and future rewards on poke latency on Day 15 | 36 sessions | Linear regression with four predictors: reward on prior, current, next, and next +1 trials | Prior: $\beta=-0.04$ <br> Current: $\beta=-0.71$ <br> Next: $\beta=-0.23$ <br> Next +1 : $\beta=-0.067$ | Prior: $p=0.12$ <br> Current: $p=6.7 \times 10^{-107}$ <br> Next: $p=6.4 \times 10^{-16}$ <br> Next $+1: p=0.014$ |

Supplementary Table 4 | Statistical results for Fig. 2

| Figure | Description | Number of Samples | Test | Statistic | $P$ value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fig. 2d | \% of variance explained by the first 5 LCs between Day 1 and Day 15 | 500 repeats | Two-way <br> ANOVA <br> Factor 1: LC (n =5) <br> Factor 2: Day (n = 15) | LC: <br> $F(4,4990)=274897.13$ <br> Day: <br> $F(1,4990)=26020.86$ <br> Interaction: $F(4,4990)=80786.91$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LC: } \\ & p=0 \\ & \text { Day: } \\ & p=0 \\ & \text { Interaction: } \\ & p=0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Fig. 2 e | Change of \% of variance with training days | 500 repeats | One-way ANOVA | $F(14,7485)=24160.84$ | $\mathrm{P}=0$ |
| Fig. $2 \uparrow$ | Change of \# of LCs with training days | 500 repeats | One-way ANOVA | $F(14,7485)=7975.03$ | $\mathrm{P}=0$ |
| Fig. 2g | Change of \# of LCs with sequences (S1 vs. S2) and training days | 500 repeats | Two-way ANOVA <br> Factor 1: <br> Sequence ( $\mathrm{n}=$ <br> 2) <br> Factor 2: Day (n = 15) | Sequence Type: $F(1,14970)=30470.9$ <br> Day: $F(14,4990)=4884.4$ <br> Interaction: $F(14,4990)=90.07$ | Sequence Type: $p=0$ <br> Day: $p=0$ <br> Interaction: $\mathrm{p}=0$ |

Supplementary Table 5 | Statistical results for Fig. 3

| Figure | Description | Number of Samples | Test | Statistic | P value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fig. 3c | Change of the correlation between CCs and task features over training days | 500 repeats | One-way ANOVA | $\begin{aligned} & C C \# 1: F(14,7485)=210.5 \\ & C C \text { \#2: } F(14,7485)=416.9 \\ & C C \# 3: F(14,7485)=270.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & C C \# 1: p=0 \\ & C C ~ \# 2: p=0 \\ & C C \# 3: p=0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Fig. 3 e | Effect of manifold alignment and training days on decoding accuracy for cross-problem decoding | 500 repeats | Two-way <br> ANOVA <br> Factor 1: <br> Alignment ( $\mathrm{n}=$ <br> 2) <br> Factor 2: Day (n $=15)$ | Alignment: $F(1,14970)=27332.18$ <br> Day: $F(14,14970)=101.59$ <br> Interaction: $F(14,4990)=38.06$ | Alignment: $p=0$ <br> Day: $p=1 \times 10^{-281}$ <br> Interaction: $\mathrm{p}=8.6 \times 10^{-103}$ |
| Fig. 3 e | Differences between aligned and misaligned on each day for cross-problem decoding | 500 repeats | Post-hoc test <br> Tukey's HSD | Mean differences (Aligned Misaligned; from Day 1 to 15) 7.9, 11.4, 10.6, 12.2, 10.6, <br> 11.9, 11.9, 11.2, 14.0, 13.7, <br> 14.3, 14.3, 12.7, 12.9, 14.1 | $\mathrm{p}<2.3 \times 10^{-6}$ on all days |

Supplementary Table 6 | Statistical results for Fig. 4

| Figure | Description | Number of Samples | Test | Statistic | $P$ value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fig. 4c | Change of the correlation between CCs and task features over training days | 500 repeats | One-way ANOVA | $\begin{aligned} & C C \# 1: F(14,7485)=321.7 \\ & C C \# 2: F(14,7485)=295.6 \\ & C C \# 3: F(14,7485)=288.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & C C ~ \# 1: p=0 \\ & C C ~ \# 2: ~ p=0 \\ & C C ~ \# 3: p=0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Fig. 4 e | Effect of manifold alignment and training days on decoding accuracy for cross-rat decoding | 500 repeats | Two-way <br> ANOVA <br> Factor 1: <br> Alignment ( $\mathrm{n}=$ <br> 2) <br> Factor 2: Day (n = 15) | Alignment: $F(1,14970)=25295.79$ <br> Day: $F(14,14970)=62.02$ <br> Interaction: $F(14,4990)=22.19$ | Alignment: $p=0$ <br> Day: $p=3.6 \times 10^{-171}$ <br> Interaction: $\mathrm{p}=3.0 \times 10^{-57}$ |
| Fig. 4 e | Effect of manifold alignment and training days on decoding accuracy for cross-rat decoding | 500 repeats | Two-way <br> ANOVA <br> Factor 1: <br> Alignment ( $\mathrm{n}=$ <br> 2) <br> Factor 2: Day (n = 15) | Alignment: $F(1,14970)=25295.79$ <br> Day: $F(14,14970)=62.02$ <br> Interaction: $F(14,4990)=22.19$ | Alignment: $\mathrm{p}=0$ <br> Day: $p=3.6 \times 10^{-171}$ <br> Interaction: $\mathrm{p}=3.0 \times 10^{-57}$ |
| Fig. 4 f | Differences between aligned and misaligned on each day for cross-rat decoding | 500 repeats | Post-hoc tests Tukey's HSD | Mean differences (Aligned misaligned; from Day 1 to Day 15): 8.2, 9.8, 11.0, 12.4, 11.0, 11.1, 11.6, 11.6, 12.9, 11.3, 12.6, 12.9, 13.0, 12.1, 13.0 | $\mathrm{p}<2.3 \times 10^{-6}$ on all days |

Supplementary Table 7 | Statistical results for Fig. 5

| Figure | Description | Number of Samples | Test | Statistic | $P$ value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fig. 5b | Differences between learning curves on Problem \#1 and other problems (\#2 - \#5) | Number of rats Problem \#1: $\mathrm{n}=9$, Day 1 , $\mathrm{n}=6$ Day 15; Problem \#2: $\mathrm{n}=9$, Day 1 , $\mathrm{n}=8$, Day 15 ; Problem \#3: $\mathrm{n}=7$, Day 1, $\mathrm{n}=8$, Day 15; Problem \#4: $\mathrm{n}=6$, Day 1, n = 7, Day 15; Problem \#5: $\mathrm{n}=6$, Day 1 , $\mathrm{n}=7$, Day 15 . | Two-way <br> ANOVA <br> Factor 1: <br> Problem ( $\mathrm{n}=2$ ) <br> Factor 2: <br> Seq. block ( $\mathrm{n}=$ <br> 20) | Problem \#1 vs. \#2: $F(1,320)=0.06$ <br> Seq. block: $F(19,320)=13.0$ <br> Interaction: $F(19,320)=0.66$ <br> Problem \#1 vs. \#3: $F(1,280)=13.7$ <br> Seq. block: $F(19,280)=12.9$ <br> Interaction: $F(19,280)=1.07$ <br> Problem \#1 vs. \#4: $F(1,260)=9.5$ <br> Seq. block: $F(19,260)=7.2$ <br> Interaction: $F(19,260)=2.5$ <br> Problem \#1 vs. \#5: $F(1,260)=32.5$ <br> Seq. block: $F(19,260)=11.6$ <br> Interaction: $F(19,260)=1.7$ | Problem \#1 vs. \#2: $p=0.8$ <br> Seq. block: $p=1.1 \times 10^{-29}$ <br> Interaction: $\mathrm{P}=0.86$ <br> Problem \#1 vs. \#3: $p=2.5 \times 10^{-4}$ <br> Seq. block: $p=1.7 \times 10^{-28}$ <br> Interaction: $p=0.4$ <br> Problem \#1 vs. \#4: $p=0.0023$ <br> Seq. block: $p=1.5 \times 10^{-15}$ <br> Interaction: $p=6.2 \times 10^{-4}$ <br> Problem \#1 vs. \#5: $p=3.3 \times 10^{-8}$ <br> Seq. block: $p=2.7 \times 10^{-25}$ <br> Interaction: $p=0.04$ |
| Fig. 5c | Differences between dimensionality reduction on Problem \#1 and other problems (\#2 - \#5) | 500 repeats | Two-way ANOVA <br> Factor 1: <br> Problem ( $\mathrm{n}=2$ ) <br> Factor 2: <br> Day ( $\mathrm{n}=15$ ) | Problem \#1 vs. \#2: $F(1,14970)=24027.4$ <br> Day: $F(14,14970)=4701.7$ <br> Interaction: $F(14,14970)=839.3$ <br> Problem \#1 vs. \#3: $\mathrm{F}(1,14970)=8777.0$ <br> Day: $F(14,14970)=8172.4$ <br> Interaction: $F(14,14970)=584.7$ <br> Problem \#1 vs. \#4: $F(1,14970)=36191.2$ <br> Day: $F(14,14970)=3476.1$ <br> Interaction: $F(14,14970)=1507.1$ <br> Problem \#1 vs. \#5: $F(1,14970)=13113.6$ <br> Day: $F(14,14970)=4945.4$ Interaction: $F(14,14970)=598.2$ | Problem \#1 vs. \#2: $p=0$ <br> Day: $\mathrm{p}=0$ <br> Interaction: $\mathrm{P}=0$ <br> Problem \#1 vs. \#3: $p=0$ <br> Day: $p=0$ <br> Interaction: $p=0$ <br> Problem \#1 vs. \#4: $p=0$ <br> Day: $\mathrm{p}=0$ <br> Interaction: $p=0$ <br> Problem \#1 vs. \#5: p=0 <br> Day: $\mathrm{p}=0$ <br> Interaction: $p=0$ |
| Fig. 5d | Differences between schema evolution on Problem \#1 and other problems (\#2 - \#5) | 500 repeats | Two-way <br> ANOVA <br> Factor 1: <br> Problem ( $\mathrm{n}=2$ ) <br> Factor 2: <br> Day ( $n=15$ ) | Problem \#1 vs. \#2: $F(1,14970)=5525.8$ <br> Day: $F(14,14970)=7939.9$ <br> Interaction: $F(14,14970)=423.5$ <br> Problem \#1 vs. \#3: $F(1,14970)=6822.1$ <br> Day: $F(14,14970)=9877.7$ <br> Interaction: $F(14,14970)=336.3$ <br> Problem \#1 vs. \#4: $F(1,14970)=6240.8$ <br> Day: $F(14,14970)=6718.9$ <br> Interaction: $F(14,14970)=157.2$ <br> Problem \# vs. \#5: $F(1,14970)=5077.6$ <br> Day: $F(14,14970)=8920$ <br> Interaction: $F(14,14970)=473.6$ | Problem \#1 vs. \#2: $p=0$ <br> Day: $\mathrm{p}=0$ <br> Interaction: $\mathrm{P}=0$ <br> Problem \#1 vs. \#3: $p=0$ <br> Day: $\mathrm{p}=0$ <br> Interaction: $p=0$ <br> Problem \#1 vs. \#4: $p=0$ <br> Day: $\mathrm{p}=0$ <br> Interaction: $p=0$ <br> Problem \#1 vs. \#5: $p=0$ <br> Day: $\mathrm{p}=0$ <br> Interaction: $p=0$ |

## Supplementary Table 8 | Statistical results for Extended Data Fig. 1

| Problem \#1 | $\mathrm{n}=9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,8,9,8,9,9,7,2$, NA rats from Day 1 to Day 23. (NA: Not Available) $F_{R}(1,330)=201.2, p=5.5 \times 10^{-36} ; F_{D}(21,330)=13.5 ; p=3.4 \times 10^{-33} ;$ interaction: $F(21,330)=10, p=8.0 \times 10^{-25}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Problem \#2 | $\mathrm{n}=9,9,8,8,8,8,8,8,7,8,8,8,5,8,8,7,8,5,3,3,1, N A, N A$ rats from Day 1 to Day 23. $F_{R}(1,248)=143.2, p=2.4 \times 10^{-26} ; F_{D}(20,248)=8 ; p=1.0 \times 10^{-17}$; interaction: $F(20,248)=6.4, p=8.3 \times 10^{-14}$ |
| Problem \#3 | $\mathrm{n}=7,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,7,8,8,8,7,8,8,6,7,7,6, N A, N A, N A$ rats from Day 1 to Day 23. $F_{R}(1,262)=358.9, p=5.3 \times 10^{-51} ; F_{D}(19,262)=8.1 ; p=1.6 \times 10^{-17} ; \text { interaction: } F(19,262)=6.1, p=8.1 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| Problem \#4 | $\mathrm{n}=6,7,8,6,6,7,7,7,8,7,8,8,8,8,8,5,7, N A, N A, N A, N A, N A, N A$ rats from Day to Day 23. $F_{R}(1,208)=254.7, p=5.7 \times 10^{-38} ; F_{D}(16,208)=5.5 ; p=7.7 \times 10^{-10} ;$ interaction: $F(16,208)=3.0, p=1.3 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| Problem \#5 | $\mathrm{n}=6,7,7,7,7,7,6,7,7,7,6,7,6,6,7,7,7, N A, N A, N A, N A, N A, N A$ rats from Day 1 to Day 23. $F_{R}(1,194)=349.3, p=3.0 \times 10^{-45} ; F_{D}(16,194)=7.9 ; p=2.2 \times 10^{-14} ;$ interaction: $F(16,194)=7.2, p=6.0 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| Rat \#J016 | $\mathrm{n}=4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,3,2,1,1,1,1,1,1$ problems from Day 1 to Day 23. $F_{R}(1,96)=31.4, p=2.0 \times 10^{-7} ; F_{D}(22,96)=7.2 ; p=2.3 \times 10^{-12} ; \text { interaction: } F(22,96)=6.0, p=1.9 \times 10^{-10}$ |
| Rat \#J067 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=5,5,5,4,4,5,5,5,5,5,4,5,5,5,5,5,5,3,3,3,2,1,1 \text { problems from Day } 1 \text { to Day } 23 . \\ & \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R}}(1,144)=1108, \mathrm{p}=1.7 \times 10^{-69} ; \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}}(22,144)=13.0 ; p=2.2 \times 10^{-24} ; \text { interaction: } F(22,144)=12.9, p=3.7 \times 10^{-24} \end{aligned}$ |
| Rat \#J081 | $\mathrm{n}=5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,4,3,3,3,2,1,1$ problems from Day 1 to Day 23. $F_{R}(1,148)=166.6, p=5.3 \times 10^{-26} ; F_{D}(22,148)=7.8 ; p=1.1 \times 10^{-15} ;$ interaction: $F(22,148)=6.0, p=7.2 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| Rat \#J083 | $\mathrm{n}=4,4,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,4,5,5,4,5,4,4,4,2,1,1$ problems from Day 1 to Day 23. $F_{R}(1,148)=18.6, p=2.9 \times 10^{-5} ; F_{D}(22,148)=3.5 ; p=3.4 \times 10^{-6}$; interaction: $F(22,148)=1.8, p=0.02$ |
| Rat \#J086 | $\mathrm{n}=2,2,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,4,1,1,1,1,1$ problems from Day 1 to Day 23. $F_{R}(1,10)=61.6, p=1.4 \times 10^{-5} ; F_{D}(22,10)=4.0 ; p=0.01$; interaction: $F(22,10)=3.6, p=0.02$ |
| Rat \#J088 | $\mathrm{n}=4,5,5,4,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,4,4,5,4,5,4,4,4,2,1$, NA problems from Day 1 to Day 23. $F_{R}(1,146)=407.4, p=4.4 \times 10^{-44} ; F_{D}(21,146)=14.6 ; p=3.0 \times 10^{-26} ;$ interaction: $F(21,146)=10.7, p=2.3 \times 10^{-20}$ |
| Rat \#J089 | $\mathrm{n}=5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,4,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,4,4,3,2,1$, NA problems from Day 1 to Day 23. $F_{R}(1,152)=134.1, p=1.3 \times 10^{-22} ; F_{D}(21,152)=7.8 ; p=2.3 \times 10^{-15} ;$ interaction: $F(21,152)=4.4, p=2.6 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| Rat \#J091 | $\mathrm{n}=3,5,5,5,5,5,4,4,5,4,5,5,4,4,5,5,5,4,3,3,1$, NA, NA problems from Day 1 to Day 23. $F_{R}(1,136)=256.1, p=4.5 \times 10^{-33} ; F_{D}(20,136)=16.6 ; p=2.6 \times 10^{-27} ; \text { interaction: } F(20,136)=14.7, p=5.7 \times 10^{-25}$ |
| Rat \#J092 | $\mathrm{n}=5,5,5,5,4,4,4,5,4,5,5,5,4,5,5,3,5, N A, 23, N A, N A$, NA problems from Day 1 to Day 23. $F_{R}(1,128)=1557, p=1.7 \times 10^{-73} ; F_{D}(18,128)=16.8 ; p=1.5 \times 10^{-25} ; \text { interaction: } F(18,128)=14.3, p=1.1 \times 10^{-22}$ |

Supplementary Table 9 | Statistical results for Extended Data Fig. 2

| Figure | Description | Number of Samples | Test | Statistic | P value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Extended <br> Fig. 2a | Effect of trial types and training on reaction time | Day 1: $\mathrm{n}=37$ sessions Day 15: $\mathrm{n}=36$ sessions | Two-way ANOVA <br> Factor 1: <br> Trial Type <br> Factor 2: Day | Trial Type: $F(23,1516)=15.0$ <br> Day: $F(1,1516)=138.8$ <br> Interaction: $F(23,1516)=6.9$ | Trial Type: $p=1.2 \times 10^{-52}$ <br> Day: $p=1.0 \times 10^{-30}$ Interaction: $p=4.6 \times 10^{-21}$ |
| Extended <br> Fig. 2b | Effect of trial types and training on reaction time | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=37,40,40, \\ & 38,38,39,38, \\ & 39,39,38,39, \\ & 40,36,38,36 \\ & \text { sessions from } \\ & \text { Day } 1 \text { to } 15 \end{aligned}$ | Two-way ANOVA <br> Factor 1: <br> Trial Type <br> Factor 2: Day | Reward vs. Non-Reward: $\begin{aligned} & F(1,647)=246.9 \\ & \text { Day: } F(14,647)=2.5 \\ & \text { Interaction: } F(14,647)=2.8 \end{aligned}$ | Reward vs. Non-Reward: $p=2.3 \times 10^{-47}$ <br> Day: $p=0.002$ <br> Interaction: $p=5.0 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| Extended <br> Fig. 2c | Effect of trial types and training on reaction time |  | Two-way ANOVA <br> Factor 1: <br> Trial Type <br> Factor 2: Day | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S2a4+ vs. S2b5+: } \\ & F(1,1088)=0.5 \\ & \text { Day: } F(14,1088)=0.53 \\ & \text { Interaction: } \\ & F(14,1088)=0.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S2a4+ vs. S2b5+: } \\ & p=0.48 \\ & \text { Day: } p=0.9 \\ & \text { Interaction: } p=1 \end{aligned}$ |
| Extended <br> Fig. 2d | Effect of trial types and training on reaction time |  | Two-way ANOVA <br> Factor 1: <br> Trial Type <br> Factor 2: Day | S2b4- vs. S2a5-: $F(1,1055)=7.0$ <br> Day: $F(14,1055)=1.44$ <br> Interaction: $F(14,1055)=0.35$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S2b4- vs. S2a5-: } \\ & p=0.008 \\ & \text { Day: } p=0.13 \\ & \text { Interaction: } p=0.99 \end{aligned}$ |
| Extended <br> Fig. 2e <br> left panel | Effect of problems and training on \%correct |  | Two-way ANOVA <br> Factor 1: <br> Problem <br> Factor 2: Day | Problem: $F(4,500)=3.67$ <br> Day: $F(14,500)=39.76$ <br> Interaction: $F(56,500)=0.52$ | Problem: $p=0.006$ Day: $p=4.7 \times 10^{-72}$ Interaction: $p=1$ |
| Extended <br> Fig. 2e <br> middle <br> panel | Effect of problems and training on \%correct |  | Two-way ANOVA <br> Factor 1: <br> Problem <br> Factor 2: Day | Problem: $F(4,500)=11.3$ <br> Day: $F(14,500)=14.0$ <br> Interaction: $F(56,500)=0.68$ | Problem: $p=8.7 \times 10^{-9}$ Day: $p=2.5 \times 10^{-28}$ Interaction: $p=0.96$ |
| Extended <br> Fig. 2e <br> right <br> panel | Effect of problems and training on \%correct |  | Two-way ANOVA <br> Factor 1: <br> Problem <br> Factor 2: Day | Problem: $F(4,500)=2.62$ <br> Day: $F(14,500)=22.3$ <br> Interaction: $F(56,500)=0.83$ | Problem: $p=0.035$ Day: $p=2.6 \times 10^{-44}$ Interaction: $p=0.8$ |
| Extended <br> Fig. $2 f$ <br> left panel | Effect of problems and training on reaction time |  | Two-way ANOVA <br> Factor 1: <br> Problem <br> Factor 2: Day | Problem: $F(4,500)=4.76$ <br> Day: $F(14,500)=1.75$ <br> Interaction: $F(56,500)=0.51$ | Problem: $p=9 \times 10^{-4}$ <br> Day: $p=0.04$ <br> Interaction: $p=1$. |
| Extended <br> Fig. $2 f$ <br> middle <br> panel | Effect of problems and training on reaction time |  | Two-way ANOVA <br> Factor 1: <br> Problem <br> Factor 2: Day | Problem: $F(4,477)=1.84$ <br> Day: $F(14,477)=0.45$ <br> Interaction: $F(56,477)=0.37$ | Problem: p=0.12 <br> Day: $p=0.96$ <br> Interaction: $p=1$. |
| Extended <br> Fig. $2 f$ <br> right <br> panel | Effect of problems and training on reaction time |  | Two-way ANOVA <br> Factor 1: <br> Problem <br> Factor 2: Day | Problem: $F(4,458)=6.16$ <br> Day: $F(14,458)=1.25$ <br> Interaction: $F(56,458)=0.47$ | Problem: $p=7.8 \times 10^{-5}$ <br> Day: $\mathrm{p}=0.24$ <br> Interaction: $p=1$ |

