## **Senate Recommendation to the Provost**

Originating Body: Faculty Senate	Originator: Elizabeth Ragan				
Date Submitted: February 13. 2014	Requested Effective Date: AY 2014				
Recommendation: Faculty Evaluation of Administrators					
Attached: Faculty Welfare Committee's	Evaluation of Administrators Salisbury University report				
Date Approved by the Faculty Senate: F	ebruary 11, 2013				
President, Faculty Senate	2/13/14				
President, Faculty Senate	Date				
Action Taken by Provost	Date: <u>02-20-14</u>				
Recommendation Accepted	Recommendation Not Accepted				
Recommendation returned to the	ne Originating Body for further review (see attached)				
Disposition for Approved Recommendate	tion:				
President	VP Student Affairs				
Faculty Senate President	VP Finance				
Consortium Chair	School Deans				
Webmaster	Graduate Council				
Catalogue Editor	Provost Council				
Diane D. aller	02-20-14				
Provost	Date				

## **Evaluation of Administrators Salisbury University**

## Faculty Welfare Committee February 5, 2014

The Faculty Welfare Committee was charged by the senate a number of years ago to develop a procedure and policy so that faculty would evaluate administrators. This charge lost precedence over the years to other more pressing issues, but now we are in a position to formally suggest that:

The Faculty Senate ask the Administration to implement annual faculty evaluations of all chairs, academic deans, the provost, and the president using the attached form. Each faculty member would evaluate his/her chair or supervisor, in the case of library faculty; dean; provost; and president each year. The survey would be administered anonymously via Gullnet or MyClasses and the cumulative results made available to the person being evaluated and, with the exception of the president, to his/her supervisor.

Evaluation form for Academic Administrators: Please check the appropriate boxes: Strongly Disagree Strongly N/A The administrator being evaluated: don't Agree Disagree know 1. supports the unit and the university 2. treats everyone with respect and courtesy. 3. supports development of quality teaching. 4. supports development of quality research. 5. supports quality service at the academic unit level and beyond (including external service) 6. promotes good faculty morale 7. is receptive to faculty input. 8. handles conflict effectively. 9. uses an objective and fair method when allocating resources. 10. is a good manager of financial resources 11. acts fairly and decisively on important issues 12. manages the academic unit well. 13. keeps communication lines open/effectively uses memos, reports and other contacts. 14. works effectively with faculty 15. advocates effectively for the academic unit 16. conducts effective faculty meetings 17. encourages individual initiative. 18. is fair in evaluations 19. makes effective use of committees 20. is accessible to faculty (and staff). 21. is accessible to students. 22. defines priorities. 23. delegates responsibility and authority when appropriate. 24. displays effective planning ability. 25. provides leadership and uses good executive judgement. 26. possesses a high degree of personal integrity.

28. is supportive of faculty and staff needs
Please use the box below for additional comments:

Expand	lab	le e-	box
--------	-----	-------	-----

27. communicates ideas clearly