

This work was written as part of one of the author's official duties as an Employee of the United States Government and is therefore a work of the United States Government. In accordance with 17 U.S.C. 105, no copyright protection is available for such works under U.S. Law.

Public Domain Mark 1.0

<https://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/mark/1.0/>

Access to this work was provided by the University of Maryland, Baltimore County (UMBC) ScholarWorks@UMBC digital repository on the Maryland Shared Open Access (MD-SOAR) platform.

Please provide feedback

Please support the ScholarWorks@UMBC repository by emailing scholarworks-group@umbc.edu and telling us what having access to this work means to you and why it's important to you. Thank you.

Sub-Alfvenic/Super-Sonic Impulsive Structures in the Magnetosphere:

*First Results from Hybrid Fluid-Kinetic Modeling and
Comparison with MMS Observations*

Alexander S. Lipatov^{a,b}, Levon A. Avanov^b and Barbara L. Giles^b

^a Goddard Planetary Heliophysics Institute UMBC, Baltimore MD


^b NASA GSFC, Greenbelt, MD 20771

Fall AGU 2019. Updated for MMS Seminar (1.7.20 - GSFC)

2. Motivation and Applications:


- **1. Unique MMS observations of impulsive structures.**
- **2. Plasma physics of impulsive structures inside the inner magnetosphere and ionosphere. Active experiments in space (AMPTE). Plasma clouds in the ionosphere (HANE).**
- **3. Interaction between the moon's exosphere and planetary magnetospheres. Formation of the whistler and Alfvén wing near the Parker Solar Probe.**
- **4. Astrophysical explosions. Observations and modeling on the Large Plasma Device (UCLA). Magnetic reconnection modeling on the Large Plasma Device (UMBC).**

3. Scheme of the Earth magnetosphere, radiation belts and interplanetary shock




4. MMS satellite position at time 2016-03-07 20:00:00 UTC

MMS Location for 2016-03-07 20:00:00 UTC




5. MMS observations of the impulsive structures at time 2016-03-07

20:00:00 UTC. Density, bulk velocities and magnetic field



6. MMS observations of the impulse at time 2016-03-07 20:15:00–20:19:00 UTC. Density, bulk velocities and magnetic field




7. Questions to be answered with hybrid modeling:

- 1. **Plasma Cloud Formation and ionospheric plasma? Percolation/Reconnection at the magnetopause**
(Akhavan-Tafti et al. [2018, 2019]).
- 2. **Formation of the shock-like impulses, whistler/shear Alfvenic waves, collapsing diamagnetic cavern triggered by plasma cloud** (Recent Rev. by Winske, Huba, Niemann et al. [2019], Ed. by J.E. Borovsky).
- 3. **Particle velocity distribution function dynamics and wave-particle interactions in plasma clouds environment.**

8. Hybrid Model

- *Kinetic - ions.*
- *Fluid - electrons. Scalar (tensor) electron pressure. Electron inertia.*
- *Interpenetrating flows*
- *Effects of finite ion gyroradius estimated with thermal and bulk velocities: $k_{\perp} \rho_{ci} \geq 1$, $k_{\parallel} \rho_{ci} \geq 1$ and $l \approx \rho_{ci}$; $\omega \approx \Omega_{ci}$*
- *Energetic ion gyroradii are about 10^4 km in the ring current and outer radiation belt*
- *The modeling tool: (a) **Standard PIC** [Harlow, 1957, LANL Report]; (b) **Shape Function Kinetics (SFK)** [Larson & Young 2015] is a logical extension of **Complex Particle Kinetics (CPK)** [Hewett 2003; Lipatov 2012] and **Finite Mass Method (FMM)** [Yserentant et al. 1996-2007]*
- *The SFK and CPK aim to bridge the gap between continuum and kinetic methods. This method may save a computational resources by factor more than 100 in compare with Standard PIC method*

9. Scheme of the plasma cloud expansion. From Winske [2014].



10. Early research for dense plasma cloud/debris expansion in low density ambient background plasma.




Figure 1: Dense plasma cloud expansion in low density ambient plasma at the initial stage. **Excitation of the right-hand-polarized whistler waves along the magnetic field and left-hand polarized whistler waves in the opposite direction.** 2-D cuts (left), 1-D cuts (right) of 3-D modeling from [Lipatov 1996; 2002]. 2.5-D in [Lipatov, Sharma, & Papadopoulos 1994]. **Shock formation by explosive debris** was observed in 2.5-D [Winske, Gary 2007], in 3-D Hewett, Larson, Brecht [2011]. See also Golubev et al. [1979]; Bashurin et al. [1983]; Antonov et al. [1985]; Brecht, Thomas [1987].

11. Set up the plasma cloud and ambient plasma configuration for

our modeling:

Ambient upstream plasma:

density: $N_p = 2.5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$;

thermal velocity: $V_{th,p} = 100.0 \text{ km/s}$;

$V_{th,e} = 1000.0 \text{ km/s}$;

Alfvenic velocity: $V_A = 1217 \text{ km/s}$;

bulk U: $U = (80; 80; 20) \text{ km/s}$

B-field: $B = (40; 40; 20) \text{ nT}$



Plasma cloud:

Gaussian 3-D profile for density:

$N_i = 400.0 \text{ cm}^{-3}$;

$V_{th,i} \approx 120 \text{ km/s}$; $V_{th,e} \approx 2500 \text{ km/s}$;


bulk U: $U = (350.0; 250.0; 0.0) \text{ km/s}$



Modeling System of Coordinates


12. After the passage of the cloud. Perturbations in magnetic field and density

profiles. $U_0 = 100$ km/s at time $t=2.5$ sec.





13. After the passage of the cloud. Perturbations in magnetic field and density pro-



files. $U_0 = 100$ km/s at time $t=3.75$ sec.





14. Non-Maxwellian ion VDF's (a,b,c-ambient) along x axis at time $t=3.5$ sec.




15. Non-Maxwellian ion VDF's (a,c,d-cloud) along x axis at time $t=3.5$ sec.









16. Non-Maxwellian ion VDF's (a,b,c -ambient) along y axis at time $t=3.5$ sec.



17. Non-Maxwellian ion VDF's (a,c,d-cloud) along y axis at time $t=3.75$ sec.







18. 1-D cuts of profiles for velocity and magnetic field along x axis at time $t=3.5$ s.




19. 1-D cuts of profiles along x axis at time $t=3.5$ sec.

Quasi-overshoot and fluctuations in density profile





20. After the passage of the cloud. Perturbations in magnetic field and density pro-



files. $U_0 = 100$ km/s at time $t=4.58$ sec.




21. Comparison between MMS observation and modeling. Density profiles.



Quasi-overshoot and fluctuations in density profile



22. Ion VDFs. MMS observations (top) & modeling (bottom, left).



23. Summary

- 1. The interaction between plasma cloud and moving low-plasma beta ambient plasma produced a complicated 3-D structure of the plasma cloud.
- 2. The plasma cloud forms a strong whistler/shear Alfvén waves directed along the external magnetic field while a strong compression waves are formed across the external magnetic field.
- 3. A strong heating and acceleration of the ambient ions are observed in the regions at the interface between the plasma cloud and ambient plasma.
- 4. The modeling shows a strong depletion in the ambient plasma due to the cloud expansion. Such effect is a similar to a formation of the diamagnetic cavern observed in the active experiment for plasma injection (AMPTE) into the magnetosphere and plasma cloud generation in the ionosphere.
- 5. The anisotropy of the ion VDF's may trigger EMIC waves and other instabilities like the mirror-ballooning instability.

Research was supported by the NASA.