

Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 1.0 Generic (CC BY-NC-SA 1.0)
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/1.0/>

Access to this work was provided by the University of Maryland, Baltimore County (UMBC) ScholarWorks@UMBC digital repository on the Maryland Shared Open Access (MD-SOAR) platform.

Please provide feedback

Please support the ScholarWorks@UMBC repository by emailing scholarworks-group@umbc.edu and telling us what having access to this work means to you and why it's important to you. Thank you.

Multi-Satellite Observations of Cygnus X-1 to Study the Focused Wind and Absorption Dips

Manfred Hanke*, **Jörn Wilms**, **Moritz Böck**, ...[†]

Dr. Karl Remeis-Observatory, Bamberg / Erlangen Centre for Astroparticle Physics, Germany
E-mail: Manfred.Hanke@sternwarte.uni-erlangen.de

Michael A. Nowak, **Norbert S. Schulz**

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Kavli Institute for Astrophysics and Space Research, CXC

Katja Pottschmidt

CRESST & NASA Goddard Space Flight Center / CSST UMBC / CASS UCSD

Julia C. Lee

Harvard University, Department of Astronomy (Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics)

High-mass X-ray binary systems are powered by the stellar wind of their donor stars. The X-ray state of Cygnus X-1 is correlated with the properties of the wind which defines the environment of mass accretion. *Chandra*-HETGS observations close to orbital phase 0 allow for an analysis of the photoionized stellar wind at high resolution, but because of the strong variability due to soft X-ray absorption dips, simultaneous multi-satellite observations are required to track and understand the continuum, too. Besides an earlier joint *Chandra* and *RXTE* observation, we present first results from a recent campaign which represents the best broad-band spectrum of Cyg X-1 ever achieved: On 2008 April 18/19 we observed this source with *XMM-Newton*, *Chandra*, *Suzaku*, *RXTE*, *INTEGRAL*, *Swift*, and *AGILE* in X- and γ -rays, as well as with VLA in the radio. After superior conjunction of the black hole, we detect soft X-ray absorption dips likely due to clumps in the focused wind covering $\geq 95\%$ of the X-ray source, with column densities likely to be of several 10^{23} cm^{-2} , which also affect photon energies above 20 keV via Compton scattering.

VII Microquasar Workshop: Microquasars and Beyond

September 1-5 2008

Foça, Izmir, Turkey

*Speaker.

[†]Felix Fürst, Laura Barragán, Ingo Kreykenbohm and Stefan Pirner from the Dr. Karl Remeis-Observatory / ECAP have also contributed to this work.

Introduction

One of the currently still puzzling issues in our understanding of microquasars is the existence of the different states (low/hard, high/soft, very high/steep power-law, ...), which distinguish themselves by the soft X-ray luminosity, the X-ray spectrum, the timing properties, and also the nature of the jet and its radio spectrum. One assumes that these states with their in many ways different properties correspond to different configurations of the accretion flow which cause different channels or efficiencies of energy dissipation. **For a high-mass X-ray binary system, the global framework of mass accretion onto the black hole is defined by the powering wind of the donor star.** One possibility is that changes in the wind properties (like density, velocity, ionization parameter, ...) might finally trigger state transitions.

This contribution focuses on the wind of the well-known persistently bright X-ray source *Cygnus X-1*. Its companion star HDE 226868, a $\sim 18 M_{\odot}$ O9.7 type supergiant [11], fills 97 % of its Roche lobe [6]. The stellar wind is therefore strongly focused due to tidal interactions [5, 7]. The detailed structure of this wind defines the environment of mass accretion onto the black hole on the largest scale. At a luminosity of $L_{0.5-250\text{keV}} = 3 \times 10^{37} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (which is obtained already in the low/hard state [9]), the X-ray source produces a considerable feedback on the wind by photoionization [2], which can create a complex wind structure within the binary system. The parameters inferred from the $H\alpha$ emission line are (anti-)correlated with the X-ray flux: in the low/hard state, the wind is probably denser and therefore less strongly ionized, which allows for a more efficient wind acceleration and thus a lower accretion rate onto the black hole [8].

We have obtained multi-satellite observations of Cyg X-1 in the hard state close to superior conjunction of the black hole, i.e., at phase $\phi_{\text{orb}} \approx 0$ in the 5.6 day binary orbit [3, 8], which are ideal to investigate the wind: at the low inclination $i \approx 35^\circ$ [11], no eclipses of Cyg X-1 by its companion star can be observed. Nevertheless, absorption dips, which are likely related to the focused stream covering the X-ray source, are often detected close to $\phi_{\text{orb}} = 0$ [1].

1. The *Chandra* and *RXTE* observation of 2003 April

1.1 Advantages of a multi-satellite observation

In the first part of this contribution, we show some of the results from a simultaneous observation of Cyg X-1 performed by *Chandra* and *RXTE* in 2003 April shortly before $\phi_{\text{orb}} = 0$. (More details are given by Hanke et. al [9, 10].) The light curves shown in Fig. 1 clearly show the **advantages of a multi-satellite observation**: Due to *RXTE*'s low Earth orbit, there are data gaps of ~ 45 minutes length in each *RXTE*-orbit (lasting ~ 90 minutes) from passages through the South Atlantic Anomaly (SAA). The high variability which is present on timescales of hours and which is related to the stellar wind could hardly be investigated with the *RXTE* data alone. The (continuous) soft X-ray light curve taken by *Chandra* is shaped by absorption dips. Only the joint spectral analysis of the non-dip continuum allows to combine the strength of the different instruments. For example, the PCA spectrum clearly requires an Fe $K\alpha$ emission line at 6.4 keV, but the resolution is not good enough to decide whether it is narrow or relativistically broadened. In the simultaneous *Chandra* spectrum, a narrow component is detected (see Fig. 2), but its flux is not sufficient to explain the PCA feature, from which we infer the presence of a broad iron line as well. (More details on iron lines in Cyg X-1 are given by M. A. Nowak in his contribution to this volume [16].)




Figure 1: Light curves of Cyg X-1 during the simultaneous *Chandra*/*RXTE* observation of 2003 April. Top: *RXTE*-*HEXTE* (20–250 keV). Middle: *RXTE*-*PCA* (4–20 keV). Bottom: *Chandra*-*HETGS* (0.5–12 keV 1st order events). The dashed lines define the non-dip times.

1.2 The non-dip spectrum

The non-dip spectrum can be obtained by filtering for high count rates, see the dashed horizontal line in Fig. 1. The results of this subsection are thoroughly described by Hanke et al. (2008) [9].

The *Chandra*-*HETGS* spectrum of the bright source Cyg X-1 shows *neutral absorption* signatures of the interstellar medium (and probably also source environment). We detect structured absorption edges, namely the Fe L₂ and L₃ edges at 17.2 and 17.5 Å (0.721 and 0.708 keV) – which J. C. Lee describes in detail in her contribution in this volume –, the O K-edge at 22.8 Å (0.544 keV) with the Kα resonance absorption line, and the Ne K-edge at 14.3 Å (0.867 keV) with the Kβ resonance absorption line. These complex absorption edges can be modelled with *tbnew*¹, an improved version of *tbvarabs*, which contains those fine structures.

The non-dip spectrum is dominated by *absorption lines from the stellar wind* of highly ionized ions, namely H- and He-like O, Ne, Na, Mg, Al, Si, S, Ar, Ca, and Fe, as well as many Fe L-shell absorption lines, see Fig. 2. We fit these features with Gaussian absorption lines and infer equivalent widths and Doppler shifts with respect to the rest wavelengths of the identified transitions. In a second approach, we have defined a fit-function (in ISIS [12, 15]) for the whole series of absorption lines of an ion. Theoretical Voigt profiles were used which take into account the oscillator strengths of the strongest transitions. The ion’s column density is a direct fit-parameter of the model, avoiding an explicit curve of growth analysis. The *velocity shifts* inferred from both methods are *rather low*, mostly $|v| < 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The latter result can be understood within a simple model for the *spherical wind*, e.g., a generalized CAK-model [4, 14]:

$$\vec{v}(\vec{r}) = v(r) \cdot \frac{\vec{r}}{r} \quad \text{with} \quad v(r) = v_0 + (v_\infty - v_0) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{R_\star}{r}\right)^\beta \quad (1.1)$$

¹ See <http://pulsar.sternwarte.uni-erlangen.de/wilms/research/tbabs>.



4




Figure 3: Wind velocity, projected against the black hole. Absorption lines with low velocity v_{rad} can be observed from the dark purple region close to the black Thales circle of $v_{\text{rad}} = 0$, $v_{\text{rad}} > 0$ can only be observed from the red region inside this circle, and $v_{\text{rad}} < 0$ only from the blue region outside of it. The brighter the red or blue, the higher is $|v_{\text{rad}}(\vec{r})|$. The dashed gray lines are contours of constant v_{rad} in steps of 200 km s^{-1} . The highlighted area with the ϕ_{orb} scale shows the region in the orbital plane with $|\cos \vartheta| \leq \sin i$, which equivalently corresponds to all possible lines of sight (for $i = 35^\circ$).

For the velocity observed in X-ray absorption lines, one has to consider the **projection against the black hole**, $v_{\text{rad}}(\vec{r}) = \cos \alpha(\vec{r}) \cdot v(\vec{r})$, where $\alpha(\vec{r})$ is the angle between wind velocity $\vec{v}(\vec{r})$ and the line of sight towards the black hole. Figure 3 shows $v_{\text{rad}}(\vec{r})$ as a colorscale plot. On the circle passing through the center of the star and the black hole, $\alpha = 90^\circ$ by Thales' theorem, and thus $v_{\text{rad}} = 0$ – independent of the assumed velocity law, as long as it is spherically symmetric. The circle separates (possible) absorbing regions of the wind seen at a redshift (inside) from those seen at a blueshift (outside). The location of absorbers seen at a low velocity is thus well constrained. It is important to realize that this result does not depend on the model for the wind velocity, provided that $\vec{v}(\vec{r})$ points radially away from the star.

Due to the system's inclination, not every line of sight in the orbital plane can actually be observed: the angle ϑ between the inclined line of sight $\vec{l} = (\sin i, 0, \cos i)$ and the binary axis $\vec{a} = (\cos(2\pi\phi_{\text{orb}}), \sin(2\pi\phi_{\text{orb}}), 0)$ is given by $\cos \vartheta = \vec{l} \cdot \vec{a} = \sin i \cdot \cos(2\pi\phi_{\text{orb}})$. The range of possible ϑ values is obviously limited by a low inclination. (For a face-on geometry with $i = 0^\circ$, there is clearly no orbital variation, i.e. $\vartheta \equiv 90^\circ$, while every $0 \leq \vartheta \leq 180^\circ$ is possible for edge-on systems with $i = 90^\circ$.) The region with $|\cos \vartheta| \leq \sin i$ is highlighted in Fig. 3 between the crossing solid gray lines. For a spherically symmetrical wind, this region in the orbital plane is equivalent to all lines of sight experienced by an inclined observer. The green labels show the position of these lines of sight for given orbital phases ϕ_{orb} , where ϕ_{orb} and $1 - \phi_{\text{orb}}$ are identical.

As a result, the observation of low Doppler velocities in absorption lines in front of the X-ray source constrains the location of the absorber – rather independently of the wind model. This location is in good agreement with **XSTAR simulations of the photoionization zone**. The number density of H-atoms, n_{H} , is obtained from the velocity profile (Eq. 1.1) and the continuity equation, $\dot{M}_* = \mu m_{\text{H}} \cdot n_{\text{H}}(r) \cdot 4\pi r^2 \cdot v(r)$. Here, $\mu = 1.4$ is the mean molecular weight per H-atom and m_{H} is the mass of an H-atom. Inserting the numbers $v_\infty = 2100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $R_* = 17 R_\odot$ [11] gives:

$$n_{\text{H}}(r) = 2.2 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3} \cdot d(r/R_*) , \text{ where } d(x) = \frac{x^{-2}}{v_0/v_\infty + (1 - v_0/v_\infty) \cdot (1 - 1/x)^\beta} \quad (1.2)$$

Between the stellar surface and the black hole, $x = r/R_*$ varies between 1 and 2.4 and the average wind density is $n_{\text{H}} \approx 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ for $v_0/v_\infty = v_{\text{therm}}/v_\infty = 0.01$ and a reasonable $\beta \approx 1$.

Table 1: Multi-satellite observation of Cygnus X-1 on 2008 April 18/19.

Satellite	Start	Stop	Notes
<i>XMM</i>	2008-04-18, 12:07:27	2008-04-19, 04:25:46	
<i>INTEGRAL</i>	2008-04-18, 12:35:32	2008-04-19, 23:00:45	\subseteq {obs. of the Cyg-region}
<i>RXTE</i> {	2008-04-18, 13:21:36	2008-04-19, 04:22:39	} with SAA-interruptions
	2008-04-19, 17:37:20	2008-04-19, 20:04:47	
<i>Suzaku</i>	2008-04-18, 16:22:33	2008-04-19, 10:08:08	with SAA-interruptions
<i>Chandra</i> {	2008-04-18, 18:27:19	2008-04-19, 02:48:58	
	2008-04-19, 14:57:27	2008-04-19, 20:17:26	

Note that, according to [8], $\phi_{\text{orb}}(2008-04-18, 15:53) = 0$ and $\phi_{\text{orb}}(2008-04-19, 20:00) = 0.20$.

2. The multi-satellite campaign of 2008 April

For a *holistic study* of Cyg X-1 and the focused wind of HDE 226868, further multi-satellite observations had to be performed. The strong variability due to dipping requires to observe Cyg X-1 strictly simultaneously, if one wants to benefit from several different instruments in order to study the wind and the absorption dips. We originally proposed for a joint *XMM*+*Chandra*² observation – with *XMM*’s EPIC-pn camera for a high sensitivity for the spectral continuum shape through the broad iron line region, *Chandra*’s HETGS for high-resolution wind spectroscopy, and *XMM*’s RGS for a high-resolution spectrum at energies below the O-edge. *RXTE* was available to provide the broadband continuum spectrum up to 250 keV. We were also able to obtain simultaneous *INTEGRAL* time – extending the spectral coverage up to ~ 2 MeV –, as well as *Suzaku* – allowing for an independent confirmation of the spectral continuum, see Table 1. We were furthermore awarded a few ks of simultaneous *Swift* TOO time. *AGILE* did not detect the source in the 30 MeV–50 GeV band. Cyg X-1 has also been monitored in the radio by the *VLA* during this observation.

As expected, the observation was again dominated by *dipping events*. Most of them are restricted to the soft X-ray band (*Chandra*, *XMM*), but a few are also detected above 4 keV by the PCA on *RXTE* or even above 20 keV by IBIS on *INTEGRAL*. A color-color diagram reveals the same nose-like shape as was found for the dips in 2003 (Fig. 5b), indicating the same possible origin of the dips in partial covering of the X-ray source [16, 10].

Due to the fast decrease of the photoelectric absorption cross section σ_{bf} above the ionization threshold, X-ray photons with energies well above the iron edge at 7.1 keV can hardly be absorbed by the wind. They can, however, still be scattered out of the line of sight. Figure 7 shows such an X-ray *scattering trough* lasting more than 8 hours: Between April 18, $\sim 16:45$ and April 19, $\sim 1:15$, the 20–40 keV count rate measured with *INTEGRAL*-IBIS, as well as the 12–60 keV count rate measured with *Suzaku*-PIN, decrease until April 18, 20:15 and rise again afterwards. A continuous model joining two linear functions (a descending one followed by an ascending one) can describe both light curves simultaneously (with a scaling factor of 0.23 between the PIN and IBIS count rates) remarkably well ($\chi^2_{\text{red}} = 2$). If the 30 % reduction between the highest and the lowest count rate of this fit (corresponding to non-dip and the strongest dip) is due to Compton scattering, the optical depth $\tau = 0.36$ corresponds to $N_{\text{H}} = 6 \times 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2}$.

² Note that scheduling an simultaneous observation with orbital phase constraints is not easy for these two satellites...




Figure 7: Light curves obtained with *INTEGRAL*-IBIS in the 20–40 keV band (top black data, left y axis) and *Suzaku*-PIN in the 12–60 keV band (lower blue data, right y-axis) with a time resolution of 200 and 96 s, revealing an X-ray scattering trough shortly after superior conjunction, which lasts for 8.5 hours. During this time, the light curves can be described by two continuously jointed linear functions (red lines).




Figure 8: The 0.5 keV–2 MeV broadband spectrum of Cyg X-1, observed simultaneously with *XMM*, *Suzaku*, *RXTE* and *INTEGRAL* in 2008 April. The data below 300 keV can be described consistently by a broken power-law with exponential cutoff at high energies ($E_{\text{fold}} \approx 170$ keV). ISIS’ (model independent) flux-corrected spectra (relying on the response matrices) seem to indicate a non-thermal tail in the SPI data.

The **broadband spectrum** between 0.5 keV and 2 MeV, assembled from various instruments (Fig. 8), reveals that Cyg X-1 was again observed in the hard state. Below 300 keV, it can be described as a simple elbow-shaped broken power-law with high energy cutoff. *RXTE*-PCA’s photon indices below and above $E_{\text{break}} = 9.8$ keV, namely $\Gamma_1 = 1.7$ and $\Gamma_2 = 1.4$, are amongst the hardest ones found in the long term monitoring of Cyg X-1 from 1999 to 2004 [16, 18]. Preliminary *INTEGRAL*-SPI data seem to indicate a non-thermal tail in the spectrum above 400 keV, but further analysis through joint broadband fits with Comptonization models is still ongoing.

Acknowledgments

We thank the organizing committees for this wonderful conference in Foça/Izmir, Turkey! This work was funded by the *Bundesministerium für Wirtschaft und Technologie* through the *Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt* under contract 50OR0701.

References

- [1] M. Bałucińska-Church, M. J. Church, P. A. Charles, F. Nagase, J. LaSala, and R. Barnard, *The distribution of X-ray dips with orbital phase in Cygnus X-1*, MNRAS **311**, 861–868 (2000).
- [2] J. M. Blondin, *The shadow wind in high-mass X-ray binaries*, ApJ **435**, 756–766 (1994).
- [3] C. Brocksopp, A. E. Tarasov, V. M. Lyuty, and P. Roche, *An improved orbital ephemeris for Cygnus X-1*, A&A **343**, 861–864 (1999).
- [4] J. I. Castor, D. C. Abbott, and R. I. Klein, *Radiation-driven winds in Of stars*, ApJ **195**, 157–174 (1975).
- [5] D. B. Friend and J. I. Castor, *Radiation-driven winds in X-ray binaries*, ApJ **261**, 293–300 (1982).
- [6] D. R. Gies and C. T. Bolton, *The optical spectrum of HDE 226868 = Cygnus X-1. II. Spectrophotometry and mass estimates*, ApJ **304**, 371–388 (1986).
- [7] D. R. Gies and C. T. Bolton, *The optical spectrum of HDE 226868 = Cygnus X-1. III. A focused stellar wind model for He II λ 4686 emission*, ApJ **304**, 389–394 (1986).
- [8] D. R. Gies, C. T. Bolton, J. R. Thomson, W. Huang, M. V. McSwain, R. L. Riddle, Z. Wang, P. J. Wiita, D. W. Wingert, B. Csák, and L. L. Kiss, *Wind accretion and state transitions in Cygnus X-1*, ApJ **583**, 424–436 (2003).
- [9] M. Hanke, J. Wilms, M. A. Nowak, K. Pottschmidt, N. S. Schulz, and J. C. Lee, *X-ray spectroscopy of the focused wind in the Cygnus X-1 system with Chandra. I. The non-dip spectrum in the low/hard state*, ApJ (2008), in press (ArXiv:0808.3771).
- [10] M. Hanke, J. Wilms, M. A. Nowak, K. Pottschmidt, N. S. Schulz, and J. C. Lee, *X-ray spectroscopy of the focused wind in the Cygnus x-1 system with Chandra II. The dip spectrum*, ApJ (2009), in preparation.
- [11] A. Herrero, R. P. Kudritzki, R. Gabler, J. M. Vilchez, and A. Gabler, *Fundamental parameters of galactic luminous OB stars. II. A spectroscopic analysis of HDE 226868 and the mass of Cygnus X-1*, A&A **297**, 556 (1995).
- [12] J. C. Houck and L. A. Denicola, *ISIS: An interactive spectral interpretation system for high resolution X-ray spectroscopy* in proceedings of *Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems IX*, (N. Manset, C. Veillet, and D. Crabtree, eds.), ASP Conf. Ser., no. 216, 2000, p. 591.
- [13] S. M. Kahn, M. A. Leutenegger, J. Cottam, G. Rauw, J.-M. Vreux, A. J. F. den Boggende, R. Mewe, and M. Güdel, *High resolution X-ray spectroscopy of zeta Puppis with the XMM-newton Reflection Grating Spectrometer*, A&A **365**, L312–L317 (2001).
- [14] H. J. G. L. M. Lamers and C. Leitherer, *What are the mass-loss rates of O stars?*, ApJ **412**, 771–791 (1993).
- [15] M. S. Noble and M. A. Nowak, *Beyond XSPEC: Toward highly configurable astrophysical analysis*, PASP **120**, 821–837 (2008).
- [16] M. A. Nowak, *Suzaku Observations of Cyg X-1* in proceedings of *VII Microquasar Workshop: Microquasars and Beyond*, 2008, (ArXiv:0810.1519).
- [17] D. Porquet and J. Dubau, *X-ray photoionized plasma diagnostics with helium-like ions. Application to warm absorber-emitter in active galactic nuclei*, A&AS **143**, 495–514 (2000).
- [18] J. Wilms, M. A. Nowak, K. Pottschmidt, G. G. Pooley, and S. Fritz, *Long term variability of Cyg X-1. IV. Spectral evolution 1999-2004*, A&A **447**, 245–261 (2006).