

This work was written as part of one of the author's official duties as an Employee of the United States Government and is therefore a work of the United States Government. In accordance with 17 U.S.C. 105, no copyright protection is available for such works under U.S. Law.

Public Domain Mark 1.0

<https://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/mark/1.0/>

Access to this work was provided by the University of Maryland, Baltimore County (UMBC) ScholarWorks@UMBC digital repository on the Maryland Shared Open Access (MD-SOAR) platform.

Please provide feedback

Please support the ScholarWorks@UMBC repository by emailing scholarworks-group@umbc.edu and telling us what having access to this work means to you and why it's important to you. Thank you.

Turbulent Generation of Outward-Traveling Interplanetary Alfvénic Fluctuations

William H. Matthaeus

Department of Physics, College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, Virginia 23185

and

Melvyn L. Goldstein

*Laboratory for Extraterrestrial Physics, National Aeronautics and Space Administration,
Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland 20771*

and

David C. Montgomery

Department of Physics, College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, Virginia 23185

(Received 18 July 1983)

From an analysis of magnetohydrodynamic turbulent processes, the authors conclude that the frequent observation of outward-propagating Alfvénic fluctuations in the solar wind can arise from early states of *in situ* turbulent evolution, and need not reflect coronal processes as has hitherto been assumed.

PACS numbers: 94.60.Gd, 52.30.+r

Spacecraft observations indicate that in the interplanetary medium a high degree of correlation frequently exists between the fluctuating magnetic field \vec{b} and the fluctuating plasma fluid velocity \vec{v} . These are "Alfvénic fluctuations" with rms fluctuation levels comparable to the mean magnetic field, and have nearly aligned \vec{v} and \vec{b} .^{1,2} Finite-amplitude Alfvénic fluctuations (with $\vec{v} = \pm \vec{b}$, \vec{b} measured in units of an Alfvén speed) are exact solutions to the equations of ideal incompressible magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) turbulence³ and are candidates for preferred final states of MHD.⁴

Though the evolution of MHD turbulence is complex, it can proceed toward final states which can be simply described. It has been recently argued⁵ that MHD turbulence can increase the degree of alignment at large times and that this process produces interplanetary Alfvénic fluctuations. Evidence in support of "dynamic alignment" has accumulated in the form of closure calculations⁶ and from numerical solutions of the MHD equations.^{7,8} Under other conditions, evidence has been presented⁸⁻¹⁰ that MHD turbulence will instead evolve toward a minimum-energy state with a decaying ratio of kinetic to magnetic energy. It is not entirely clear what boundaries separate these two regimes and this remains an unresolved issue in MHD turbulence theory.⁸

Here we present further evidence for dynamic alignment of \vec{v} and \vec{b} in two-dimensional MHD turbulence from which we see that interplanetary fluctuations need not represent time-asymptotic states. Rather, we argue that interplanetary Alfvénic fluctuations are generated *in situ* in the

early stage of dynamic alignment. Our model not only provides an explanation for inertial-range alignment of \vec{v} and \vec{b} in the solar wind, but also accounts for the fact that the sign of this correlation (relative to the direction of the mean magnetic field) corresponds to the observed "direction of propagation": away from the sun.² Plasma compressibility is ignored although the Alfvén speed and sound speed are usually comparable in the solar wind. We find support for the viewpoint that MHD fluctuations in the solar wind resemble turbulence^{11,12} arising from local stirring of the medium.

There are at least two important questions involved in the generation of Alfvénic states: First, does dynamic alignment occur and why? Second, if a high degree of alignment initially exists, does it inhibit further development of turbulent processes, such as spectral transfer? (Perfectly aligned states exhibit no spectral transfer, which has been used to suggest¹³ that interplanetary fluctuations are not, properly speaking, evolving turbulence.)

We have investigated these questions numerically using an incompressible MHD spectral method code.^{9,14} The equations solved are, in a familiar dimensionless form,

$$\partial \vec{v} / \partial t + \vec{v} \cdot \nabla \vec{v} = -\nabla p + \vec{b} \cdot \nabla \vec{b} + \nu \nabla^2 \vec{v}, \quad (1)$$

$$\partial \vec{b} / \partial t + \vec{v} \cdot \nabla \vec{b} = \vec{b} \cdot \nabla \vec{v} + \mu \nabla^2 \vec{b}. \quad (2)$$

p is the mechanical plus magnetic pressure and $\nabla \cdot \vec{v} = 0 = \nabla \cdot \vec{b}$. The magnetic and mechanical Reynolds numbers are $1/\mu$ and $1/\nu$, respectively.

Periodic boundary conditions in the x - y plane are assumed and $\partial/\partial z \equiv 0$. The Fourier coefficients $\tilde{v}(\mathbf{k}, t)$ and $\tilde{b}(\mathbf{k}, t)$ are advanced from initial ($t=0$) values, for each wave number \mathbf{k} , by integrating the truncated, Fourier-transformed versions of (1) and (2). Time is scaled to the Alfvén transit time of unit distance corresponding to the initial magnetic field.

The degree of alignment is measured by the ratio of two ideal "rugged" invariants, the cross helicity, $H_c = \langle \tilde{v} \cdot \tilde{b} \rangle / 2$, and the energy, $E = \langle v^2 + b^2 \rangle / 2$ (brackets denote a spatial average). Extremal values of H_c/E ($\pm 1/2$) indicate perfect alignment.

Four simulations are discussed here, designated A, B, C, and D. Runs A, B, and C were obtained with a 128×128 code with Reynolds numbers of 400. Included wave vectors have integer components ranging from 1 to 64 and their negative counterparts. At $t=0$, all nonvanishing Fourier amplitudes were confined to a circular annulus $9 \leq k^2 \leq 25$ and had a fixed kinetic energy, twice as much magnetic energy, and phases chosen as follows: The phase of $\tilde{b}(\mathbf{k}, 0)$ was chosen randomly and a phase angle θ was chosen between $\tilde{v}(\mathbf{k}, 0)$ and $\tilde{b}(\mathbf{k}, 0)$. This specifies the modal cross helicity, $H_c(\mathbf{k}) = \text{Re} \tilde{v}^*(\mathbf{k}) \cdot \tilde{b}(\mathbf{k})$. Run A is initially highly aligned with $\arccos(0.95) = \theta$ and $H_c/E = 0.45$. Run B is only moderately aligned with $\arccos(0.3) = \theta$ and $H_c/E = 0.14$. Run C has the phases of \tilde{b} and \tilde{v} both randomly chosen so that $H_c \approx 0$. Initially run D (64×64 grid; Reynolds number 400) consisted of the homogeneous turbulence used in A, B, and C superimposed on a large-scale shear flow and a correlated large-scale \tilde{b} . At $t=0$, $H_c/E = -0.4$. Time steps were either $1/256$ or $1/512$. Other runs, not described here, displayed similar results.




FIG. 1. Modal total energy spectra at $t = 0.19$ for runs C ($H_c \approx 0$) and A ($H_c/E = 0.45$). Initial spectra were identical except for the values of $H_c(k)$.

Direction-averaged modal energy spectra for runs A and C are shown in Fig. 1, both at $t = 0.19$. Initial energy spectra in both runs were identical. Spectral transfer out of the initially excited annulus is not noticeably inhibited by the high degree of alignment in run A relative to what it is in run C. The nearly power-law inertial range in run A occurs at a lower level than for the $H_c \approx 0$ case C, indicating that initial alignment can at most slow transfer, but cannot prevent turbulence from occurring. Figure 2 illustrates the temporal development of dynamic alignment. The ratio $|H_c/E|$ for runs A and B increases nearly monotonically, in agreement with previously reported results.⁶⁻⁸

A physical explanation for dynamic alignment is suggested by rewriting (1) and (2) in the Elsässer variables $\tilde{z}^\pm = \tilde{v} \pm \tilde{b}$. We focus on the low- k region, neglecting dissipative terms, and combine Eqs. (1) and (2) into $\partial \tilde{z}^\pm / \partial t = -\tilde{z}^\mp \cdot \nabla \tilde{z}^\pm - \nabla p$. p is determined by using $\nabla \cdot \tilde{z}^\pm = 0$ to yield a Poisson equation.

Consider now the case $|\tilde{z}^+| \gg |\tilde{z}^-|$. Note that \tilde{z}^+ is nearly independent of time. Since ∇p is of order $\tilde{z}^- \cdot \nabla \tilde{z}^+$, the fractional rate $|\partial \tilde{z}^+ / \partial t| / |\tilde{z}^+|$ goes to zero as $\tilde{z}^- \rightarrow 0$. However, the fractional rate $|\partial \tilde{z}^- / \partial t| / |\tilde{z}^-|$ remains of $O(1)$ as $\tilde{z}^- \rightarrow 0$. The spectrum of \tilde{z}^+ is effectively frozen, but that of \tilde{z}^- continues to evolve and to acquire higher wave-number components. In fact, at every time step the \tilde{z}^- spectrum will spread to all additive combinations of wave numbers that comprised those present in $\tilde{z}^+(0)$ and \tilde{z}^- at the previous time step. The transfer of the \tilde{z}^- spectrum to higher wave numbers will continue at a fractional rate that remains finite even as \tilde{z}^- becomes small. Eventually, \tilde{z}^- will be dissipated as it reaches the higher




FIG. 2. The ratio H_c/E vs time for runs A and B, showing the nearly monotonic increase in the degree of alignment of \tilde{v} and \tilde{b} due to turbulence.

k values, enhancing the inequality $|\tilde{z}^+| \gg |\tilde{z}^-|$. We believe that this mechanism, by which the majority species cannibalizes the minority one by sending it to high wave numbers to be dissipated, is the essential mechanism involved in dynamic alignment.

The simulations reflect this qualitative behavior: Figure 3 shows the normalized, direction-averaged, cross-helicity spectrum $2H_c(k)/E(k)$ vs k at an early stage of runs A, B, and D. The transfer of excitation to k 's higher than those initially present consists almost entirely of cross-helicity contributions of opposite sign to those in the energy-containing low- k part of the spectrum. For runs A and B, \tilde{z}^- is transferred toward the dissipation range, as is \tilde{z}^+ for run D.

This effect dominates for several Alfvén-transit or eddy-turnover times. Subsequently, the normalized cross-helicity spectra undergo a systematic evolution (see Fig. 4). The inertial range gradually loses its one-signed character as the majority species slowly moves out of the low k 's. For a highly correlated run (A), minority-species domination of the highest computed k 's persists beyond $t = 20$ (not shown).

In runs with less aligned initial correlation such as B, behavior similar to that in Fig. 4 is observed, but with less pronounced minority-species domination of high k 's (not shown). The high- k part of the spectrum can become more or less equally populated with \tilde{z}^+ and \tilde{z}^- . Because \tilde{z}^+ and \tilde{z}^- have equal dissipation rates, dynamic alignment continues, leaving an excess of majority species in the energy-containing scales. In no case have we seen broadband domination of the inertial range by the majority species before $t > 20$. However, the early-time appearance of an inertial range populated by the minority species and the very slow spread of the majority species from energy-containing to higher k are features

common to all our simulations.

These results suggest a model for the turbulent production of interplanetary Alfvénic fluctuations. Consider a volume of solar-wind plasma containing a mean magnetic field lying entirely in a single magnetic sector.¹⁵ The large-scale velocity field is radially outward, so that the sign of the radial component of the mean magnetic field determines the sign of the large-scale contribution to H_c , $H_c(\text{large})$. $H_c(\text{large})$ is generally nonzero in the vicinity of high-speed streams (where Alfvénic fluctuations are usually observed) even in the reference frame moving with the mean solar wind velocity. Now assume that inertial-range fluctuations are due, at least in part, to nonlinear couplings which drain energy in the large-scale fields and pump the small-scale fluctuations. If the energy transfer produces dynamic alignment consistent with the results described above, then at "early times" the inertial range of interplanetary fluctuations would contain a sign of cross helicity opposite to that present in the large-scale energy-containing structures; i.e., the cross helicity of the inertial-range fluctuations, say $H_c(\text{inertial})$, would have a sign corresponding to outward propagation.

This model is consistent with spectral analysis of highly Alfvénic periods which often shows that long-wavelength fluctuations have $H_c(k)$ opposite in sign to the inertial-range fluctuations.¹¹ These "inward propagating" long-wavelength waves would be the transition in the spectrum between the large-scale energy-containing structures which are driving the turbulence and the inertial-range fluctuations which are being driven.

During the later stage, as the turbulence gets "older", the inertial range may become equally populated with both z^+ and z^- as envisioned in Ref. 5. One might anticipate that interplanetary plasma which has spent a longer time being




FIG. 3. Normalized $H_c(k)$ for runs A and B at $t = 0.19$ and D at $t = 0.4$. Data in Figs. 3 and 4 were smoothed by a nine-point moving average before plotting.




FIG. 4. Normalized $H_c(k)$ for run A at three times illustrating the slow transfer of the majority species to high k 's and the early domination of the inertial range by the minority species.

"stirred" is less likely to be Alfvénic. One reported observation at 5 AU behind a high-speed stream is possible evidence of this. In that spectrum, the cross helicity in the inertial range oscillated in sign and was generally not close to its maximal values (Ref. 11, Fig. 11), indicating weak inward and outward propagating Alfvénic fluctuations.

Another possibility⁵ is that dynamic alignment enhances a seed correlation of \tilde{v} and \tilde{b} so that by 1 AU highly Alfvénic fluctuations would be seen. In contrast to our model, the majority \tilde{z} field would represent the Alfvénic fluctuations. One difficulty with this model is the limited time available for turbulent evolution as the solar wind flows between the sun and 1 AU. A substantial fractional increase of the \tilde{v} - \tilde{b} correlation requires tens of Alfvén transit times (cf. Fig. 2). For nominal interplanetary conditions at 1 AU, the energy-containing scale is approximately 10^{12} cm^{14,16} and the Alfvén speed is approximately one tenth the flow speed of about 4×10^7 cm/s. Thus only a few Alfvén transit times elapse for each AU of mean plasma motion and it is unlikely that there is time for a substantial degree of dynamic alignment to occur by 1 AU. Furthermore, an additional mechanism would be required to account for the outward traveling sense of the \tilde{v} - \tilde{b} correlation.

Our model naturally generates outward-propagating fluctuations. The early stages of MHD turbulence produce an inertial range with fluctuating velocity-magnetic-field correlation opposite in sign to the correlation of the large-scale velocity and magnetic fields which drive the turbulence. This can account for the widespread occurrence of Alfvénic fluctuations in the solar wind. The analytical model presented is essentially unchanged by the addition of a mean magnetic field and is applicable to any dimensionality.

We acknowledge early and helpful discussions with U. Frisch and the hospitality of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration/Goddard Space Flight Center Laboratory for Extraterrestrial Physics to one of us (W.H.M.). This research was supported in part by NASA Grant No. NSG-7416, by the Department of Energy Contract

No. DE-A505-76ET53045, and by a NASA Solar Terrestrial Theory grant to the Goddard Space Flight Center.

¹P. J. Coleman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **17**, 207 (1966); J. W. Belcher, L. Davis, Jr., and E. J. Smith, J. Geophys. Res. **74**, 2304 (1969); J. W. Belcher and L. Davis, J. Geophys. Res. **76**, 3534 (1971).

²A. Barnes, in *Solar System Plasma Physics*, edited by C. F. Kennel, L. J. Lanzerotti, and E. N. Parker (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1979), Vol. 1.

³T. G. Cowling, *Magnetohydrodynamics* (Adam Hilger, Ltd., Bristol, England, 1976); C. Walén, Ark. Mat. Astron. Fys. **30A**, No. 15 (1944); M. L. Goldstein, A. J. Klimas, and F. D. Barish, in *Proceedings of Solar Wind Three*, edited by C. T. Russell (Univ. of California Press, Los Angeles, 1974), p. 385; S. Chandrasekhar, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **42**, 273 (1956).

⁴L. Woltjer, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **45**, 769 (1959).

⁵M. Dobrowolny, A. Mangeney, and P. Veltri, Phys. Rev. Lett. **45**, 144 (1980).

⁶R. Grappin, U. Frisch, J. Léorat, and A. Pouquet, Astron. Astrophys. **105**, 6 (1982).

⁷A. Pouquet, M. Meneguzzi, and U. Frisch, "The Growth of Correlations in MHD Turbulence" (to be published).

⁸W. Matthaeus and D. Montgomery, in "Statistical Physics and Chaos in Fusion Plasmas" (Wiley, New York, to be published).

⁹W. Matthaeus and D. Montgomery, Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. **357**, 203 (1980).

¹⁰S. Riyopoulos, A. Bondeson, and D. Montgomery, Phys. Fluids **25**, 107 (1982).

¹¹W. Matthaeus and M. Goldstein, J. Geophys. Res. **87**, 6011 (1982).

¹²W. Matthaeus and M. Goldstein, J. Geophys. Res. **87**, 10347 (1982).

¹³M. Dobrowolny, A. Mangeney, and P. Veltri, Astron. Astrophys. **83**, 26 (1980).

¹⁴S. Orszag, Stud. Appl. Math. **50**, 293 (1971); D. Fyfe, D. Montgomery, and G. Joyce, J. Plasma Phys. **17**, 369 (1977); J. Shebalin, W. H. Matthaeus, and D. Montgomery, to be published.

¹⁵T. Holzer, in *Solar System Plasma Physics*, edited by C. Kennel, L. Lanzerotti, and E. Parker (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1979), Vol. 1.

¹⁶P. C. Hedgecock, Sol. Phys. **42**, 497 (1975).