

This work was written as part of one of the author's official duties as an Employee of the United States Government and is therefore a work of the United States Government. In accordance with 17 U.S.C. 105, no copyright protection is available for such works under U.S. Law. Access to this work was provided by the University of Maryland, Baltimore County (UMBC) ScholarWorks@UMBC digital repository on the Maryland Shared Open Access (MD-SOAR) platform.

Please provide feedback

Please support the ScholarWorks@UMBC repository by emailing scholarworks-group@umbc.edu and telling us what having access to this work means to you and why it's important to you. Thank you.

Online Table A: Likelihood Ratio Tests

Null Hypothesis [#]	Test Statistic, λ	$\chi^2_{0.95}$ -value	Decision	Implication
$H_0: \gamma = 0$	46.631	17.670	Reject	Use SFA rather than OLS
$H_0: u = 0$	4.264	3.841	Reject	Assume truncated-normal distribution for residuals
$H_0: \rho_{ij} = 0$	49.722	17.670	Reject	Use translog model rather than Cobb-Douglas

[#] These results were obtained by comparing the model that was based on the assumptions of a translog cost function and truncated-normal error with teaching and the AHRQ QIs. We tested 10 other models and obtained similar results