

(The Senate and Senate Committees should use the following form for officially communicating recommendations to the Provost. Such committees would include: UCC, UPC and Executive Committee of the Senate along with any other committees which provide recommendations directly to the Provost.)

Senate Recommendation to the Provost

Originating Body The Faculty Senate Originator Dr. Michael O'Loughlin, president

Date submitted March 2, 2005 Requested Effective date ASAP

Recommendation To support the "Freshman Reader Program", pilot for fall 2005. Approved policy attached.

Attach any supporting documentation. *Cover letter.*

Action Taken by Provost:

Date 3/7/05

☒ Recommendation Accepted ☐ Recommendation Not Accepted

☐ Recommendation returned to Originating Body for further review (see attached)

Disposition for Approved Recommendation:

☐ President
☒ Faculty Senate Chair
☐ Forum Chair
☐ Webmaster
☐ Catalogue Editor
☐ Student Handbook Editor
☐ Faculty Handbook Editor

☒ VP Student Affairs
☐ VP Finance
☐ School Deans
☐ Graduate Council
☐ Provost Council
☒ Other: Leadership Team 15th Year Experience
(Lawanda Dockins - body)

Signature: [Signature]

provost/scw/121802

Copies mailed
3/11/05

Motion: "The Faculty Senate supports the "Freshman Reader Program", proposed by the New Student Experience Leadership Team, as a pilot program for fall of 2005. The establishment of an ongoing program would be evaluated by **the New Student Experience Leadership Team** and the Senate later in the fall of 2005 after an assessment has been done of the initial experience with the program."

March 3, 2005

To: Dave Buchanan, Provost

From: Michael O'Loughlin, President, Faculty Senate



Re: Recommendation for "Freshman Reader Program"

Dear Dave,

As you know, the proposal for a Freshman Reader Program was developed principally, as I understand, by the New Student Experience Leadership Team and was articulated more fully at the Faculty Development Committee's January 2005 workshop. It generated considerable discussion and excitement at that forum and was similarly embraced at the Faculty Senate's February 22 meeting where the proposed motion (attached) was supported unanimously.

Assuming the program is successful this coming fall, 2005, I would anticipate that, as the recommendation indicates, the Senate and the Committee would take the next step of assessment and establishing details for an ongoing program.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.